

PRELIMINARY PROPOSALS CONCERNING CFS THEMATIC WORKSTREAMS

PROPOSAL D

Follow-up process focusing on knowledge and lesson sharing on effective multistakeholder partnerships at different scales

a) Proponents

Germany - CFS 45 Plenary suggested to use this HLPE report for a follow-up process and requested the Bureau to define the details (foreseen in May 2019).

b) Outputs associated with the proposed thematic workstream

The proposed workstream is intended to contribute to output 1.1. and maybe also partly to output 2.1. (without a full set of policy recommendations)

c) Rationale for including the proposed thematic workstream, based on the prioritization criteria and building on CFS main comparative advantages, as described in Annex B of the CFS Evaluation Implementation Report

CFS 45 Plenary acknowledged with appreciation the work of the HLPE on the preparation of the Report on Multistakeholder Partnerships to Finance and Improve Food Security and Nutrition in the Framework of the 2030 Agenda. CFS 45 Plenary suggested also to use this HLPE report as starting point of a follow-up process focusing on knowledge and lesson sharing on effective multistakeholder partnerships at different scales and requested the Bureau to define the details of such a process. For a Bureau decision foreseen for early summer 2019 a placeholder is needed in the MYPoW process to allow potential activities to be carried out beyond CFS 46.

d) Expected results and how they will contribute to the achievements of the CFS MYPoW Strategic Objectives and FSN-relevant targets of the 2030 Agenda

It could contribute to the global effort to implement the SDGs by linking SDGs related to FSN (SDG2 and others) with SDG17.

e) Potential activities that could be considered after the adoption of the CFS policy product to promote its use and application

CFS is uniquely positioned to address Multistakeholder Partnerships on FSN, because of its mandate, its ability to bridge evidence-based discussions with a multi-stakeholder political and practice-informed discussion. Moreover, it brings together stakeholders that have important experience in working in partnership. CFS stakeholders could both build awareness of MSPs among key stakeholders and also supporting countries in using MSPs.

f) Budget estimate, human resources and timeline

Timeline: according to Bureau decision in May 2019 to agree on options and a CFS 46 plenary decision, after consultation with AG

Costs: to be defined

g) Indications on whether the preparation of a HLPE report is foreseen and its potential contribution to the proposed CFS thematic workstream

No further HLPE report required.