

### GER comments MYPoW 2020-23

- The rule of restricting CFS work to one major work stream at a time should be respected, so as not to overload CFS. We therefore support the new diagram with less major workstreams. However, we would prefer due to the same reason that the workstream on “Promoting youth employment in food systems, sustainable rural transformation and territorial approaches” should start after the workstream on “gender equality and women’s empowerment for FSN” has ended.
- The MYPoW 2020-23 should end with CFS 50 in October 2023. Activities after CFS 50 should be included in the MYPoW 2024-27.
- Regarding „1.1.1. Food Security and Nutrition: Building a global narrative towards 2030” the output is not clear. The contribution to HLPF are mentioned; but we are missing in the text a stronger link to the possibilities to enrich the discussions at the international level, especially within HLPF, about the role of agriculture and nutrition to achieve the SDGs. The same applies to „B2.1. CFS Engagement in the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development”.
- Regarding „2.1.1 Food Systems and Nutrition (SDG 2)” the wording of the adopted ToRs should be used to not pre-negotiate in the context of the MYPoW. We therefore suggest to rephrase the second para:  
  
„It is key to promote **policies that shape** food systems to improve nutrition and enable healthy diets (...)”
- Regarding “2.1.4. Promoting youth employment in food systems, sustainable rural transformation and territorial approaches (SDG2 <-> SDG 8)” we acknowledge that there are now some links to digitization and attractiveness of rural regions. These links could be further strengthened as only then the workstream will add value to the current discussions and fulfill CFS mandate and role. The workstream should built on the work of FAO in that regard (e.g. programs „Food for the Cities“, „Territorial Approaches“) as well as the GFFA-Communiqués 2016 and 2019 (see attachments).
- It is still not clear from the description regarding „2.1.7. Data collection and analysis tools (SDG2)” what the role of CFS will be. What is the added value of a stand-alone event?
- The budget should be adapted accordingly to the changes made. Moreover, it would be useful to include the costs of the HLPE reports. Which ones are foreseen (Gender? Youth? Narrative 2020? Critical and emerging issues?). The costs for 2020 for Food systems and nutrition are due to the ToR 105.000 USD. Costs for Communication and Outreach seem not to be realistic. What is meant by „Meeting services“ for the MYPoW process?
- The diagram on p. 13 gives a good overview. Will there be a HLPE report on critical and emerging FSN issues in advance of CFS 49 in 2022 (B2.2. p.11)? If yes, please add this as well in the diagram. In 2021 under the line “uptake and outreach” there should be foreseen an event for the CFS policy recommendations on Water for FSN of 2015. Germany is willing to make suggestions how this event can look like with a focus on “Water management and climate change”.