



Food and Agriculture
Organization of the
United Nations

NATIONAL DIALOGUE

INDIAN AGRICULTURE TOWARDS 2030

Pathways for Enhancing Farmers' Income, Nutritional Security and Sustainable Food Systems

Thematic Session: TRANSFORMING INDIAN AGRICULTURE

Discussion Paper: Transforming Indian Agriculture

Authors: Dr Ashok Gulati and Ms Ritika Juneja

Disclaimer: The views expressed herein are those of the authors. FAO is making these discussion papers available only in the spirit of a National Dialogue and does not necessarily endorse all the positions therein.

I. Introduction

Indian agriculture employs the largest share of the workforce – about 42 percent in 2019 – though its share in overall gross domestic product (GDP) is only 16.5 percent. India is still largely a rural economy with 66 percent of the country's population living in rural areas (World Bank, 2019) and agriculture continues to be the mainstay of a large segment of this section of the population. Agriculture is also important for consumers, as an average Indian household spends about 45 percent of its expenditure on food.¹ Moreover, given that India is going to be the most populous country, surpassing China, by 2027 (according to United Nations population projections, 2019), it would be a major challenge for Indian agriculture to feed this large population especially in the wake of the emerging challenges of climate change and the degradation of natural resources such as air, water and land, etc. This challenge becomes more serious with the expected rise in per capita incomes² as well as increasing urbanisation – the urban population is estimated to be 600 million by 2030 – both of which are likely to increase the demand for food, feed and fibre. Moreover, not only will there be more mouths to feed, but, as per capita income grows, there will be much higher demand for high value agriculture products such as meat, fish, dairy, fruits and vegetables (OECD/FAO, 2019). This would be very much in line with Bennett's Law of food consumption, which states

¹ Computed using data from the Household Consumption of Various Goods and Services in India survey by the National Sample Survey Organisation.

² As per the OECD-FAO Agricultural Outlook (2019-2028), India is expected to witness an increase in per capita incomes at the rate of 6.6 percent per annum (OECD/FAO, 2019). However, this projection predated the COVID-19 pandemic. As a consequence of COVID-19 impact, the growth in per capita incomes may be a bit lower, but it could still be around 5.5 percent per annum, if not more.

that with rising incomes people consume relatively less “starchy staples” and shift to more nutritious food with proteins and vitamins.

This paper tracks the process of structural transformation of Indian agriculture, with a view to seeing how India transformed from being a large food deficit nation to a marginally food surplus one, producing sufficient food, feed and fibre for its large and growing population. It also sheds some light on the pace and process of agricultural intensification, which is posing several challenges for sustainable and productive agriculture as India moves towards 2030. We hope that the information and analysis presented in this paper will help policymakers to make rational choices with a view to building an efficient and competitive agriculture sector that not only achieves self-reliance in feeding India’s population but also augments farmers’ incomes while simultaneously ensuring environmental sustainability.

The paper is organised as follows: After this brief introductory section, Section 2 presents the backdrop and performance of Indian agriculture within the context of the Indian economy. It focuses on key inputs namely land, irrigation, fertilizers, labour, capital and farm mechanisation within agriculture, which define its structural contours. It also examines the changing landscape of agricultural diversification. Section 3 highlights how India traversed from food deficits to food surpluses, especially with regard to the production of staples, milk, poultry, fruits and vegetables, etc. Section 4 focuses on the impact of agricultural intensification in terms of the deteriorating quality of natural resources such as water, soil, air and biodiversity. It also suggests possible remedial measures for developing sustainable agricultural intensification. Section 5 presents the way forward towards developing pathways for resilient and sustainable agriculture that can not only meet the requirements of food, feed and fibre up to 2030, but also create some net surpluses for exports. In this concluding section we also highlight the potential role of the three Is - Innovations (technologies), Incentives (policies) and Institutions in making agriculture productive, profitable, sustainable and resilient, with improved nutrition.


II. Structural transformation and intensification in Indian agriculture

Following the economic reforms in 1991, India’s overall GDP growth picked up momentum, moving from 5.2 percent per annum between 1980/81 and 1991/92 to roughly 7.1 percent between 2010/11 and 2019/20 (Figure 1). This has been accompanied by falling growth rates of population from 2.25 percent per annum during the 1980s to 1.92 percent during the 1990s, 1.6 percent during the 2000s and 1.15 percent per annum between 2010/11 and 2019/20, which has consequently led to a gradual decline in the poverty ratios.

Furthermore, agriculture has undergone slow and gradual transformation from a subsistence-based and labour-intensive system to a modernised, capital and knowledge intensive one. However, this development has been accompanied by a sharp decline in its share in overall GDP (from 30 percent in 1981 to 16.5 percent in 2019).

It is against this backdrop, that this paper focuses more on structural transformation and agricultural intensification in India, especially with respect to key factors of production, namely land, labour, capital, irrigation, fertilizers and farm machinery, over the last three decades. The paper also traces the pace and performance of diversification within the agricultural sector towards livestock, horticulture and fisheries, in response to changing consumer demand with rising incomes.

Figure 1: Average annual growth rate in GDP and agricultural GVA



Source: NSO (2019)

II.1 Land

India is the world’s seventh largest country covering an area of 328 million hectares (mha). Nearly half of this land (156.4 mha) is arable³ (FAO, 2018), and only 42.6 percent of the total geographical area (about 140 mha) is actually cultivated (as of 2015-16). India’s irrigation cover is 48.7 percent of the country’s cultivated area while its agriculture output is valued at USD 524.7 billion in 2017/18⁴ (Gulati and Gupta, 2019). In addition, the agricultural sector has witnessed significant changes over the years, in terms of area under cultivation, land holding and cropping patterns, cropping intensity and productivity, among other things. These are discussed in some detail in this section.

Changing agrarian structure: shrinking landholding size and swelling bottom

According to *Agriculture Census:2015-16* (DoAC&FW, 2019), small and marginal farmers with less than 2 ha of land, account for 86.2 percent (126 million) of the total 146.4 million

³ According to FAO (2018), “Arable land refers to land under temporary crops (double cropped areas are counted only once), temporary meadows for mowing or pasture, land under market and kitchen gardens and land temporarily fallow (less than five years). The abandoned land resulting from shifting cultivation is not included”.

⁴ The value of agricultural output is INR 34.16 trillion (at current prices) in 2017-18 (the latest year for which data is available) and the exchange rate in 2017/18 was USD 1 = INR. 65.12. This would work out to around USD 525 billion. (Central Statistics Office, 2019)

operational land holdings in India (Figure 2(a)). This is a significant and steady increase in share from 70 percent in 1970/71 to 82 percent in 2000/01. Of these 126 million operational land holdings, 68.5 percent belong to only marginal farmers with less than 1 ha of land, highlighting the fact that Indian agriculture is dominated by smallholders. Moreover, in terms of area, small and marginal farmers account for nearly 47 percent of the total operated area in 2015/16, pointing towards significant land inequalities (Figure 2(b)). Increasing fragmentation of land is another major concern of Indian agriculture (MoAFW, 2019). The average size of land holdings has come down continuously from 2.28 hectares in 1970-71 to 1.08 hectares in 2015-16 (Figure 2(a)) – unviable levels that cause farmers to leave land and look for better opportunities elsewhere. As a result, large tracts of productive land are left either uncultivated or used at very low productivity levels due to lack of capital, both physical and human (NITI Aayog, 2016). This makes the adoption of new technologies difficult, and this has adverse impacts on both farm productivity as well as farmers’ incomes. Therefore, the viability of marginal and small farmers is a major challenge for Indian agriculture, begging for substantive reform in the land lease markets with the objective of creating economically viable size of holdings.

Figure 2 (a): Percentage of operational holdings by size class





Figure 2(b): Percentage of operated area by size class




Source: *Agriculture Census: 2015-16* (DoAC&FW, 2019)

Changing cropping pattern and agricultural diversification

With rising incomes, consumption patterns of people shift towards high value products, as mentioned earlier. NSSO (2014) shows that an average Indian household spends about 45 percent of the total monthly expenditure on food. It needs to be noted that even within the food basket, there is a shift in the consumption pattern. There is a sharp decline in the share of monthly expenditure on staples in both rural and urban areas – from 41.1 percent to 10.8 percent in the former and from 23.4 percent to 6.6 percent in the latter between 1972/73 and 2011/12. Given this, the agricultural system has to respond by diversifying towards production of higher value and more nutritious agricultural products.

India has a multiplicity of cropping systems across agro-climatic zones, mainly on the basis of soil type, rainfall, climate, technology, policies and existing socio-economic situation of the farming community. Though the gross cropped area has increased from 172.6 mha in 1981/82 to 198.4 mha in 2015/16 and the net sown area (an indicator of effective utilisation of land) has remained same at around 140 mha over the same period, farmers are gradually shifting from traditional, subsistence farming of non-commercial crops to commercial/cash crops (Majhi and Kumar, 2018) to be able to respond to changing demand patterns and tap opportunities for higher returns.

Figure 3: Changing cropping patterns (percentage area under major crops)




Source: *Land Use Statistics at a Glance* (DES, 2017)

As shown in Figure 3, food grains (cereals, millets, and pulses) used to occupy 73 percent of the gross cropped area in the triennium ending (TE) 1982/83, but this gradually reduced to 68 percent in TE 1992/93 and further to 62 percent in TE 2015/16 (latest data available), even as the share of oilseeds and fruits and vegetables has increased over the same period. This

indicates that farmers are increasingly moving towards more commercial crops such as oilseeds, fruits and vegetables, spices, etc. compared to staples (Majhi and Kumar, 2018).

Though food grain production still dominates in terms of area cultivated, the change in the value of different segments of agriculture, including livestock and fishery, is the real indicator of agricultural diversification. Figure 4 presents these changing shares over the period TE 1982/83 to TE 2016/17 and clearly shows the move away from staple crops to cash crops, horticulture and livestock products. The increase is particularly sharp in the case of livestock and horticulture crops. In fact, the value of livestock today is much higher than the value of food grains, and that of horticulture crops now equal the value of grains.

Figure 4: Changing shares in value of output (percentage)



Note: Cash crops include oilseeds, sugar and fibres and horticulture crops include fruits and vegetables, floriculture, and spices and condiments.

Source: NSO (2019)

II.2 Irrigation and fertilizers


State-wise analysis of cropping intensity, irrigation ratio and fertilizer consumption

Cropping intensity, simply put, represents the number of crops grown on the same field during an agricultural year. It is measured as a percentage of gross cropped area to net sown area (DES, 2017). Higher cropping intensity implies intensive use of land for agriculture (Deshmukh and Tanaji, 2017). The availability of water for irrigating the crops (either through rainfall or other irrigation sources) is one of the most crucial factors affecting cropping intensity. In India, cropping intensity has improved gradually from 123.1 percent in 1980/81 to 141.5 percent in 2015/16 (DES, 2017). The state-wise analysis of cropping intensity (Figure

5) shows large spatial variation. The highest intensity is in Punjab (190.8 percent), followed by Haryana (185.6 percent), West Bengal (185 percent) and Uttar Pradesh (157.5 percent). Medium cropping intensity can be seen in Madhya Pradesh (155.1 percent), Bihar (145.4 percent), Rajasthan (138.3 percent) and Maharashtra (135.3 percent). States like Gujarat, Andhra Pradesh, Chhattisgarh, Karnataka, Telangana, Odisha and Jharkhand suffer from lower cropping intensity, much below the country average, as they have low irrigation cover and low rainfall. This shows that there is a positive correlation between irrigation developments and cropping intensity, with some exceptions like Kerala, which has high rainfall.

At an all-India level, fertilizer consumption (in terms of N, P and K⁵) has increased significantly from 2.17 kg per hectare in 1961/62 to 134 kg per hectare in 2018/19. However, there are significant inter-state variations. Among the major states, the per hectare consumption is the highest in Telangana (261 kg), followed by Bihar (216 kg), Punjab (213 kg), Haryana (210 kg), Andhra Pradesh (203 kg), Uttar Pradesh (178 kg), West Bengal (160 kg) and Tamil Nadu (153.5 kg). In the remaining states, the consumption per hectare is lower than the all India average. Figure 5 shows fertilizer consumption per hectare of the gross cropped area in major states.

Figure 5: State wise cropping intensity, irrigation ratio and per hectare fertilizer consumption, 2014-15⁶



Source: *Land Use Statistics at a Glance*, (DES, 2017)

II.3 Labour

In a developing economy like India, with a large and young population, a shift in the pattern of employment away from the agricultural sector to higher productivity jobs in urban areas is


⁵ N – nitrogen (urea), P – phosphorus and K – potassium

⁶ 2015/16 is the latest year for which data for cropping intensity and irrigation ratio is available, however it was a drought year, hence, we are considering the data for 2014/15 from the land use statistics of the Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Department of Agriculture, Cooperation and Farmers Welfare in the MoAFW.

generally a positive indicator of structural transformation. This is the moral “pull factor” that is displayed in most developing countries over a period of time. But sometimes, there could be a “push factor” too; since agriculture cannot sustain the workforce, job-seekers are pushed to urban areas to take up any work that can give them some sustenance. Over the last four decades, the absolute number of workers in India has increased from 180.7 million in 1971 to 481.7 million in 2011, indicating an addition of close to 6 million workers to the workforce every year (Census of India, various issues). Moreover, the absolute number of workforce employed in the agriculture sector has increased from 125.7 million to 263.1 million during the same period, though in terms of percentage, this share has declined from 66.5 percent in 1981 to 42.3 per cent in 2019 (Figure 6), which points towards the structural transformation in Indian agriculture. This has been accompanied by rather a steep decline in the share of agriculture in total GDP from 31.7 percent in 1981 to 16.5 percent in 2019, a decline of about 48 percent of its former value (Figure 6). What is striking is that rather than converging, the two shares are still on a diverging path; this is a matter of concern because it keeps the labour productivity in agriculture low, severely affecting value addition. Raising labour productivity will require raising land productivity by (a) pumping in more capital; (b) creating employment opportunities in off-farm jobs such as food processing, cold storages, construction sector; (c) skill formation; and (d) ‘diversification’ towards high value agricultural activities such as dairy farming, poultry rearing, horticulture and fisheries.

Surprisingly, within the agriculture workforce, between 1971 and 2001, the composition of cultivators and labourers has always been skewed in favour of cultivators. In 1971, 62.2 percent of the total workforce employed in agriculture were cultivators and only 37.8 percent were agricultural labourers. This ratio kept changing gradually over the years and by 2011, for the first time, the share of cultivators in the total agriculture workforce reduced to 45.2 percent, while those of agricultural labourers increased to 54.8 percent (Figure 6). One of the possible reasons for the declining share of cultivators could be the increasing fragmentation and continuous shrinking size of land holdings, which has reduced profitability in cultivating smaller farms due to lack of economies of scale. As a result, these cultivators either shift to non-farm activities and leave their land fallow or lease it to agri-labourers (Subramanian, 2015). Another factor could be the relatively slow migration of labour out of agriculture due to lack of skills or slower growth of non-agriculture sectors. Yet another factor could be high growth rates of population in rural areas, especially amongst the agri-labour. Understanding the relevant causes for the changing pattern of agriculture workforce is a matter of another study.

Figure 6: Share of agriculture in total GDP, share of workforce employed in agriculture and composition of agriculture workforce




AW = Agriculture workforce

Source: World Bank (2019), NSO (2019) and Census of India (various issues)

II.4 Capital

Capital, and its efficient utilisation, is one of the key variables that determines the growth and performance of a sector. Gross capital formation in agriculture (GCFA) from both the public and private sectors as a percentage of agricultural GDP or GDPA (in current prices) increased from 7.8 percent in 1980/81 to 13.7 percent in 2017/18. It peaked in 2011/12 at 18.2 percent, but has been falling since then, which is a cause of concern (Figure 7). The moot point that arises in this context is whether this is sufficient to provide 4 percent growth in agriculture GDP on a sustainable basis, especially when the capital-output ratio in agriculture hovers around 4:1 (Gulati and Juneja, 2019). The obvious answer is “no”, and that points to the need for propelling investments in agriculture either through government expenditure or by incentivising the private sector.

Figure 7: Gross capital formation in agriculture as a percentage of agriculture GDP



Source: NSO (2019)

It is worth noting that in the early 1980s, the shares of public and private investment in agriculture was almost equal. However, in the following years, the share of public investment fell drastically and came down to 21.6 percent in 2017/18 (Figure 7). What this indicates is that it was largely private investment that enabled and drove agricultural growth over these years. If the private sector is expected to further propel agriculture growth, farmers need to be given the right incentives. This may include higher expenditure on research and development (R&D), better infrastructure, agri-marketing reforms, innovations, switch in policy from input subsidies to direct income support on per hectare basis and opening up of the land lease market. One way to measure the incentive structure for farmers is the producer support estimate (PSE), which, in India, has been found to be negative 14.4 percent of the of gross farm receipts⁷ during the 2000/01 to 2016/17 period (OECD/ICRIER, 2018). This suggests that Indian farmers have been taxed much more than they have been subsidised. The negative PSE (support) is basically the fallout of restrictive marketing and trade policies that do not allow Indian farmers to get remunerative prices for their output (Gulati and Gupta, 2019). This needs the immediate attention of policymakers.

In October 2020, the Government of India legislated three laws to liberalise agri-markets – the Farmers Produce Trade and Commerce (Promotion and Facilitation) Act, 2020 (FPTC), the Farmers (Empowerment and Protection) Agreement on Price Assurance and Farm Services Act, 2020 (FAPAFS) and the Essential Commodities (Amendment) Bill, 2020 (ECA). These Acts can make agri-marketing much more efficient, as they will facilitate private investments in building efficient supply chains for agri-produce. However, some


⁷Gross farm receipts are measured by the value of total production (at farm-gate prices), plus budgetary support.

farmer unions, notably from Punjab and Haryana, have been protesting against these as they fear an adverse impact on the Agricultural Produce Marketing Committee (APMC) *mandi* system and the minimum support price (MSP) for wheat and paddy that they have been getting for decades.

II.5 Farm mechanisation

Another dimension of agricultural transformation is how machine power substitutes human and draught animal power in farming. India has also witnessed a clear shift from traditional agriculture processes to more mechanised processes over the years. The use of animal and human power in agriculture and related activities has reduced drastically from 97.4 percent in 1951 to about 66 percent in 1971 and about 12 percent in 2013/14 (the latest year for which data is available). The contribution of mechanical and electrical sources has increased from 2.6 percent in 1951 to about 34 percent in 1971 and about 88 percent in 2013/14. Out of the total farm power available, tractors contribute about 48 percent in 2013/14 (Figure 8).

Figure 8: Percentage availability of farm power from different farm power sources



Note: For converting various sources of farm power into a comparable yardstick, it is assumed that one human power is equated to 0.05 kilowatt (kW); one draught animal power equals 0.38 kW; one tractor equals 26.1 kW; one power tiller equals 5.6 kW; one electric motor equals 3.7 kW; and one diesel engine equals 5.6 kW.

Source: (Singh, Singh and Singh, 2014)

Knowledge intensive agriculture

Increase in the expenditure on agriculture knowledge and innovation systems is another important indicator of structural transformation in the agricultural sector, as it shows the

sectoral shift towards knowledge-based agricultural systems. In a study conducted by Gulati and Terway (2018) on the impact of investment and subsidies on agricultural GDP growth and poverty reduction, it was estimated that for every rupee invested in agricultural research and education (R&E), agriculture GDP increases by INR 11.2. Moreover, for every million rupees spent on agricultural R&E, 328 people are brought out of poverty. In India, over the years, the ratio of expenditure on agricultural knowledge and innovation systems as a percentage of agricultural gross value added (GVA) improved from 0.38 percent in 2000/01, touched 0.64 percent in 2010/11 but fell back to 0.35 percent in 2018/19. When compared with other countries like China, that spends about 0.8 percent of its agricultural GDP, India's share is quite low. Therefore, in order to improve the sector's total factor productivity, India needs to invest more in agricultural R&E (Gulati and Gupta, 2019).

III. From deficit to surplus


While we have observed long term trends in the structural transformation of agriculture, with respect to land, labour, irrigation, fertilizers, capital and farm mechanisation, the big question is: were they able to provide enough food, feed and fibre to Indians as the population grew from 330 million at the time of independence in 1947 to 1.38 billion in 2020? In this context, this section describes how Indian agriculture made significant strides in the production of staples, milk, poultry, fisheries, fruits and vegetables and, lately, in cotton. All this was made possible with the infusion of innovative technologies, along with supportive policies and institutions.

Staple crops

In 1943, India, then under British rule, faced one of the most severe famines, the Bengal Famine, which is said to have claimed 1.5 to 3 million lives due to starvation. In 1947, when India became independent, its staple supplies were in a precarious state. The First Five Year Plan (1951-56) was mainly devoted to agriculture, with then Prime Minister Jawaharlal Nehru declaring "everything else can wait, but not agriculture". Yet, in the Second Five Year Plan (1956-61), the focus of development shifted towards heavy industrialisation, and India signed a Public Law (PL) 480 with the United States of America for food aid against rupee payments. Unfortunately, during the mid-1960s, India was again hit by consecutive droughts and food grain production fell by 17 million metric tonnes (MMT) from 89.4 MMT in 1964/1965 to 72.4 MMT in 1965/1966 (Gulati and Juneja, 2018b). This plunged the country into an unprecedented 'ship to mouth' crisis as it leaned heavily on food aid of about 11 MMT per year of wheat under PL-480 for survival (Gulati, 2019). This backdrop sowed the seeds of the famous Green Revolution. Imports of high yielding miracle seeds of wheat from Mexico (Lerma Rojo 64-A and Sonora 64) developed by Norman E. Borlaug, and of rice (IR 8) from the Philippines, developed by Peter Jennings and Henry M. Beachell, formed the backbone of the Green Revolution (Gulati and Juneja, 2018b). Commercialisation of these high yielding variety seeds, together with the institutionalisation of the Food Corporation of India (FCI) and

the Agricultural Prices Commission (APC),⁸ extensive irrigation, fertilizers and farm mechanisation played a key role in ensuring food security for the country. As a result of all these interventions, India today is the second largest producer of wheat and rice in the world, with 106.2 MMT and 117.5 MMT production respectively in 2019/20 (Figure 9), and is also the largest exporter of rice with about 12.7 MMT exported at USD 7.7 billion in 2017/18.

Figure 9: Production of wheat and rice in India




Source: *Agricultural Statistics at a Glance*, various issues, Directorate of Economics and Statistics, MoAFW

Livestock

After the Green Revolution, Indian agriculture witnessed significant transformation in the dairy sector during the 1970s through the mid-1990s. It was essentially driven by institutional engineering through ‘Operation Flood’ and expansion in herd numbers. Verghese Kurien, who spearheaded ‘Operation Flood’, transformed the system of milk collection from smallholders under a co-operative structure, homogenising, pasteurising, and distributing it to mega cities as far as 1,200 miles away in bulk coolers designed to keep the temperature controlled at 39 degrees Fahrenheit (3.9 degrees Celsius), through an organised retail network (Gulati and Juneja, 2018b). Subsequently, de-licensing of the dairy sector in 2002 encouraged private participants to enter the sector and further increase the production. As a result of this, India emerged as the largest milk producer in the world with 187.7 MMT in 2018/19, up from 17 MMT in 1950/51 (Figure 10), leaving the United States of America (97.7 MMT) and China (45 MMT) way behind.

⁸ This is now the Commission on Agriculture Costs and Prices (CACPC)

Figure 10: Production of milk in India and United States of America



Source: DoAHD&F (2017)

Another transformational change in the agricultural sector came during 2000/2001 in the poultry sector through policy innovations such as liberalisation of imports of grandparent poultry stock, vertical integration of operations and contract farming between large integrators and small farmers, driven by the private sector that ushered in the Poultry Revolution. As a result, the sector was transformed from a mere backyard activity into a major organised commercial one. As a result, India today is the third largest producer of layers (eggs) in the world, producing around 88 billion eggs as of 2017 and accounting for about 5 percent share in world production. It is also the fifth largest producer of broilers (poultry meat), producing 3.4 MMT in 2017 and accounting for 3 percent share in world production (DoAHD&F, 2017). It is further worth noting that almost 80 percent of eggs and poultry meat production come from organised commercial farms, mainly owned and managed by private entities (Gulati and Juneja, 2018b).


Horticulture Crops

Over the last decade, the horticulture sector comprising of fruits and vegetables, spices and floriculture has contributed significantly to agricultural growth. Horticultural production has now overtaken food grains output. According to many experts, it has been made possible largely because of the National Horticulture Mission (2004/05), which ushered in the Golden Revolution, making India the second largest producer of fruits and vegetables in the world, next only to China. According to the 2018/19 estimates, fruit production has reached 97.97 MMT, up from 28.6 MMT in 1991/92, while vegetable production has increased from 58.5 MMT to 183.17 MMT over the same period.

Cotton

In the case of fibre, cotton is an important commercial crop globally. The introduction and widespread commercialisation of Bt cotton in 2002 (the only genetically modified crop in India so far) along with huge investments in R&D by private seed companies, paved the way for the Gene Revolution in the agricultural sector. This led to a remarkable breakthrough in cotton production, doubling output from 13.6 million bales in 2002/03 to 37.5 million bales in 2019/20 (Figure 11), surpassing China in 2014/15 to become the largest cotton-producing country in the world (DCD, 2017). It is also worth noting that Bt cotton cultivation covers more than 90 percent of the total area under cotton in the country. Moreover, in a forthcoming impact evaluation study by Gulati and Juneja, it was estimated that after the release of Bt cotton in 2002/03, India cumulatively gained USD 84.7 billion in savings on import of cotton as well as extra exports of raw cotton and yarn compared to the business-as-usual scenario


Figure 11: Cotton production in India



Source: USDA (2019-20)

India has thus showcased an impressive growth trajectory from a food scarce country to a food sufficient and to a food surplus one now. All these revolutions in agricultural production, triggered by innovations, incentives and institutions, have successfully made India a net exporter of agricultural produce. As a result, agricultural exports, in nominal US dollar terms, increased significantly from USD 6.1 billion in 2001/02 to USD 43.6 billion in 2013/14 (Figure 12). However, after achieving this peak, exports declined slightly due to falling global prices. On the other hand, agricultural imports also increased sharply, from USD 4 billion in 2001/02 to USD 18.7 billion in 2016/17, and came down slightly thereafter. Overall, however, agricultural trade as a percentage of agricultural GDP showed an increase from 4.7 percent in 1990/91 to 20.9 percent in 2012/13. Thereafter, it slipped from this peak and stood at 15.1 percent in 2018/19 (Figure 12).

Figure 12: Agricultural exports and imports and share of agricultural trade in agricultural GDP



Source: World Bank (2019)

One of the questions for the future decade is whether India will maintain this surplus in food, feed and fibre? A report of a working group set up by NITI Aayog, *Demand and supply projections towards 2033*, assessed the demand requirements of various agricultural commodities and made supply projections for the years 2021/22, 2028/29 and 2032/33 (NITI Aayog, 2018). The findings of the report are summarised in Table 1.

Table 1: Aggregate demand and supply estimates, 2032-33

Commodities	Demand Estimates (MMT)	Supply Projections (MMT)	Net Surplus (MMT)
Rice	120.84	151.6	30.76
Wheat	113.46	138.8	25.34
Coarse Cereals	67.48	61.7	-5.78
Cereals	301.78	352.3	50.52
Pulses	35.23	33.9	-1.33
Food grains	337.01	386.2	49.19
Oilseeds	99.59	59.9	-39.69
Milk and products	292.15	329.7	37.55
Fruits	203.55	202.6	-0.95
Vegetables	360.77	362.8	2.03

Source: NITI Aayog (2018)

According to the working group report, India will have sufficient supply of food grains towards 2032/33 and beyond. However, there will be a marginal deficit of around 5-7 million tonnes of pulses and coarse cereals. In addition, given that the indirect demand of coarse

grains as feed for the growing livestock and poultry sector is likely to increase at a rapid pace, chronic shortage of feed and fodder is also expected. Moreover, in the case of oilseeds, the situation looks grim as the country is going to face a massive deficit of around 40 million tonnes.⁹ In other commodities such as milk, meat, fruits and vegetables, there appears to be a reasonable balance between demand and supply in the years to come.

IV. Impact of agricultural intensification and mitigation measures


As the previous sections set out, agricultural intensification led to the replacement of human labour with machine labour, rainfed lands received higher irrigation cover resulting in increased cropping intensity, fertilizer consumption increased on per hectare basis and, above all, more knowledge flowed into the agriculture sector. However, the process of resource intensification, which gave India the much needed food, feed and fibre security, also caused some unintended negative consequences. In particular, it adversely affected the natural resources and environment, leading to degradation of soil at places, depletion of groundwater, salinisation in irrigated areas, increased resistance to pests and weeds, pollution of soil, air and water and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions (Xie, Huang, Chen, Zhang and Wu, 2019; Aditya, *et al.* 2020). Many experts hold that these negative externalities were caused primarily by high subsidies on inputs such as fertilizers, free power for irrigation, etc. Another reason was the open-ended procurement at MSP, as in the case of paddy in the Punjab-Haryana belt and sugarcane in Maharashtra.

Figure 13 presents a recent assessment of the groundwater table in 6 584 units (blocks), across states in India by the Central Ground Water Board (CGWB) in 2017. It revealed that 1 034 units are ‘over-exploited’,¹⁰ 253 are ‘critical’ and 681 are ‘semi-critical’ (CGWB, 2017). The over-exploited areas are mostly in three parts of the country, namely, north-western India, western India and southern peninsular India. The report also pointed out that the north-western region, which includes parts of Punjab, Haryana, Delhi and western Uttar Pradesh, has abundant replenishable sources, but witnesses indiscriminate withdrawals of groundwater. On the other hand, in the western region, particularly in parts of Rajasthan and Gujarat, the arid climate limits groundwater replenishment. In the southern peninsular region, including parts of Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Telangana and Tamil Nadu, water replenishment is restricted by poor aquifer properties.

⁹ According to the report, the value is calculated without including the imported palm oil

¹⁰ Over-exploited: annual groundwater extraction exceeds net availability and there is a significant long-term decline in groundwater levels either before or after the monsoon, or both. Critical: extraction is above 90 percent of net annual availability and there is a significant long-term decline in groundwater levels both before and after the monsoon. Semi-critical: extraction is above 70 percent and there is a significant long-term decline in groundwater levels either before or after the monsoon.

Figure 13: Status of groundwater level in India, 2017



Source: CGWB (2017)

Further, the increasing use of fertilizers and pesticides has caused rapid accumulation of harmful chemicals in the soil and water, increased land degradation and soil erosion (Aditya, *et al.*, 2020). It is worth mentioning that the imbalanced use of fertilizers has created widespread deficiency of secondary and micro nutrients such as sulphur (41 percent), zinc (48 percent), iron (12 percent) and manganese (5 percent) in the soil. This is a serious matter of concern because deficiency of zinc in food, in particular, results in the stunted growth and impaired development of infants, which could lead to poor productivity of future generations.

India also faces increasing levels of GHG emissions and is the world's third largest emitter. The agricultural sector's share in these emissions is 18 percent, the second highest after the energy sector which accounts for 71 percent (CIMMYT, 2018; OECD/ICRIER, 2018). Of the total GHG emissions caused by agriculture, about 59 percent is generated through livestock rearing, followed by 21 percent from the excessive use of chemical fertilizers and their associated impact on soils. Some 18.3 percent GHG emission is generated from paddy cultivation and 1.7 percent from residue management practices (OECD/ICRIER, 2018). It has been estimated that in the years to come, India is likely to suffer significant impact of climate change, raising serious concerns that the toxic impact on the environment of the increase in emissions will only multiply.

In addition, India also suffers from increasing land degradation. According to the estimates, 37 percent of the land area in the country (that is, about 120.4 million hectares) is affected by various types of degradation (OECD/ICRIER, 2018). Deforestation, poor irrigation and water management techniques, excessive and unbalanced use of fertilizers and pesticides, over-grazing and improper management of industrial wastes are some of the main reasons behind

land degradation in the country. The states of Madhya Pradesh (west-central region), Kerala (south), Himachal Pradesh (north), Nagaland, Mizoram and Tripura (east) are the most affected, with 60 percent of their land experiencing degradation (OECD/ICRIER, 2018). This shows that the existing policy framework lacks a clear incentive structure for efficient and sustainable use of resources (OECD/ICRIER, 2018).

Remedial measures for sustainable agricultural intensification

With the demand for food expected to double and the issue of climate change projected to become severe in the years to come, it is imperative to maintain biologically diverse landscapes for sustainable intensification of agriculture. In order to do so, the government needs to intervene and provide policy incentives that promote efficiency not only in agricultural production but also in input usage for sustainable growth of the sector, with the ultimate goal of achieving overall food-feed-fibre security. Given that livestock is the biggest contributor of GHGs within the agriculture sector, improving the productivity per animal and reducing their population size is one of the important mitigation measures (Patra and Babu, 2017). At present, India has the world's largest livestock population and, consequent to the ban on cattle slaughter, unproductive male and female cattle compete with productive ones for feed and fodder. An innovative solution to tackle this problem is 'selective sex semen' technology, which facilitates the production of genetically improved high-milk-producing females at a faster rate (BAIF, 2015), and eliminates the redundant male cattle population.

After livestock rearing, rice cultivation is the next biggest source of GHG emissions, due to the metabolic activities of methanogen bacteria, which is quite effective in flooded conditions (Patra and Babu, 2017). In order to mitigate emissions from rice cultivation, it is imperative to improve productivity and to plan cultivation in keeping with the climatic and biodiversity scenario across the country. Experts have recommended some specific mitigation measures:

1. The area under rice cultivation should be reduced by at least one million hectares in states like Punjab and Haryana, where 99 percent of rice fields are irrigated through flood irrigation methods, and that cultivation should be shifted to eastern India (Gulati and Gujral, 2012). This will also help to address the issue of groundwater depletion due to over-mining of water in these states.
2. Changing rice cultivation and irrigation practices, including the adoption of 'alternate wetting drying (AWD)' to reduce irrigation water consumption in rice fields without impacting the productivity (IRRI, 2019), can also cut emissions. One analysis undertaken to estimate the economics of this method found that the AWD technique can save up to 20 to 50 percent of water and can reduce GHG emissions by 30 to 50 percent (Kumar and Rajitha, 2019). Besides this, 'direct seeded rice (DSR)' is a much better practice than the conventional puddle rice cultivation because of its low-input demand. The technique has the potential to save 75 percent of water (Polycarpou, 2010), mitigate GHG emissions and also reduce the requirement of labour (Pathak, *et al.*, 2011).

3. Other water saving irrigation technologies like micro irrigation should be also looked at as the stepping stone for developing sustainable agricultural intensification. According to some studies, micro irrigation technology (drip and sprinkler) has an irrigation application efficiency of about 85 percent to 90 percent and can solve the issue of groundwater exploitation and GHG emissions to a large extent. However, a study by Birkenholtz (2017) found that while drip irrigation in Rajasthan did improve crop productivity, it did not really save water. This is because farmers take the water savings through this method as a resource that can be reallocated by bringing more land under cultivation. The study concludes that drip irrigation is a technically efficient innovation in terms of physical productivity but it poses a serious challenge of groundwater overdraft, in the absence of groundwater abstraction regulations (Birkenholtz, 2017).

Imbalance in the use of chemical fertilizers is another daunting challenge for agricultural intensification in India. During the 1980–2017 period, emissions from the use of chemical fertilizers have increased manifold. Absorption of all nitrogenous fertilizers applied to the soil or foliage of crops is quite difficult and hence the surplus or unused amount of nitrogen pollutes water bodies or evaporates in the atmosphere in the form of nitrogen oxide, causing high levels of GHG emission (Patra and Babu, 2017). One of the commonly known practices is judicious use of chemical fertilizers based on soil health (after testing the soil) and the requirements of the crop/variety (Patra and Babu, 2017). Therefore, it makes sense for India to implement the soil health card scheme more seriously.¹¹ Subsidisation of soluble fertilizers instead of granules will be another step in the right direction. Optimally, the amount of fertilizer subsidy should be given directly to farmers in their bank accounts and the prices of N, P and K fertilizers freed up. Short of this direct cash transfer, in lieu of fertilizer subsidy, the nutrient-based subsidy scheme¹² needs to be extended to urea as well so that the unduly high subsidy on nitrogenous fertilizers is brought in line with the subsidy on P and K fertilizers.

Burning of crop residue also contributes to GHG emissions and climate change. This can be mitigated if farmers adopt other efficient ways to deal with crop residue, such as using it for biogas production. However, incentives should be provided for them to do that, especially in the Punjab-Haryana belt, where stubble burning of paddy has become an environmental menace.

In order to tackle the issue of rapid groundwater depletion below subsistence levels, Gujarat presents a success model of decentralised rain water harvesting that could be scaled up at the national level or at least be implemented in those states that are at risk. The technique includes

¹¹ The Government of India introduced the Soil Health Management (SHM) scheme under the National Mission for Sustainable Agriculture (NMSA) to promote Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) through the judicious use of chemical fertilizers (including secondary and micro nutrients) in conjunction with organic manures and bio-fertilizers for improving soil health and its productivity. The scheme includes strengthening of soil and fertilizer testing facilities to provide recommendations to farmers for improving soil fertility, ensuring quality control requirements of fertilizers, bio-fertilizers and organic fertilizers under the Fertilizer Control Order, 1985.

¹² Under the nutrient-based scheme for fertilizer, initiated by the Department of Fertilizers in 2010, a fixed amount of subsidy decided on an annual basis is provided on each grade of subsidised P&K fertilizers, except for urea, based on the nutrient content present in them.

building of check dams, village tanks, and *bori-bunds* (built with gunny sacks stuffed with mud) for storing water. Government authorities in Gujarat, along with grass-roots organisations, built more than 100,000 check dams during the 1990s (Shah, Gulati, Pullabhotla and Shreedhar, 2009).

V. The way forward: pathways for profitable, sustainable and resilient agriculture

Agriculture in India has witnessed an impressive growth trajectory, taking the country from a food deficit one during the 1960s to a marginally food surplus one. With food grain production at 292 MMT in 2019/20, India has not only emerged as the largest exporter of rice, but also a net exporter of agriculture produce. This breakthrough transformation has been the result of rapid development and adoption of modern technologies, investment, infrastructure (including irrigation, markets and roads) and institutions (land, water, mechanisation, extension services and agricultural credit). Notwithstanding the economic success, the sector today is at a crossroads with numerous opportunities as well as concerns. On the one hand, the sector has grown and diversified, while, on the other, its contribution to the overall GDP has declined to 16.5 percent even as it still employs almost 42.3 percent of the total workforce. Moreover, despite India having achieved food sufficiency in agricultural production, there are still 176 million people living under poverty¹³ and over 194.4 million undernourished. Furthermore, a growing population and the pressure of urbanisation is squeezing agricultural land for cultivation and affecting the quality of soil and air as well as quantity of water.

In order to meet these emerging challenges and mould food and agricultural policies, it is important to focus on the role of 3 Is - Innovations, Incentives and Institutions that could help to produce more, diversified and nutritious food economically, and in an environmentally and financially sustainable way. Some of these potential innovations are already on the table, ready to be scaled up for higher efficiency, while others are unfolding.

Innovations

The major innovations in production technologies that can significantly impact overall productivity and production in India include:

Climate resilient seeds: Indian agriculture, in particular, faces serious production risks due to climate change, as the country experiences “prolonged droughts in the Deccan plateau, states of the west, and southern peninsula, and floods in the Himalayan foothills from melting glaciers in the Himalayas” (Gulati, Kapur and Bouton, 2019). Farmers, hence, are always vulnerable to the risk of crop failure and income volatility. Therefore, the key to ensuring food sufficiency for a growing population is raising agricultural productivity through new strategic investments in climate resilient seeds with tolerance against droughts and floods as well as sustainable farming practices. The Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR) has introduced climate-smart rice varieties – CR Dhan 801 and 802 which were notified for

¹³ At USD 1.90 a day, on 2011 purchasing power parity basis

official release by the Government of India in February 2019 (ICAR-NRRI, 2019). These varieties, which have greater tolerance to submergence as well as drought, are a first for rice research and are unique globally. They are recommended for states like Andhra Pradesh, Telangana, Odisha, Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal. There is lot of ongoing research on seed varieties that are resistant to drought and submergence. The farmers just need to be incentivised to use such seeds and adopt climate smart farming practices such as changing sowing and harvesting timings, cropping patterns and inter-cropping.

Protected and sustainable agriculture: Intensified agriculture with high input and high output has resulted in huge stresses on limited natural resources and the rural environment. In India, technologies to address this issue include micro-irrigation, solar pumps, *neem* coating of urea and soil health cards. *Neem* coating of urea, which is said to increase nutrient efficiency by 10 percent, has reduced the quantity of urea required by crops. In addition, unfolding innovations in farming practices such as soil-less farming systems – hydroponics, aeroponics, aquaponics and poly-house farming systems – need to be evaluated before being scaled up.

Incentives

Policies play key role in shaping the incentive structure for farmers. These incentives not only contribute to economic development but also encourage farmers to adopt new technology and augment production. Some innovative incentive policies include:

Direct income/cash transfer: Given the extensive leakages and inefficiencies involved in input subsidies, along with their low impact on poverty alleviation and growth, it is important to shift the priority from subsidies to investment as well as supporting farmers in a more predictable and structured manner. This points to income-support measures, which are less distorting and directly reach the real beneficiaries. The governments of Jharkhand, Odisha, Telangana and West Bengal, as well as the Central government, have implemented income support schemes, but the sustainable implementation of these and scaling to a pan India level is yet to happen.

Incentive for water and energy conservation: Both the Central and state governments have introduced different incentives for farmers to save water and use solar technology. A crucial step in this direction has been the introduction of the *Pradhan Mantri Krishi Sinchai Yojana* in 2015/16 and popularising micro-irrigation to ensure ‘per drop, more crop’. The Government of Punjab has introduced the *paani bachao, paisa kamao* (save water, earn money) scheme under which meters are installed on farmers’ pumps to record the amount of water saved by them and pay farmers a subsidy at the rate of INR 4 per unit for each unit saved, which is directly credited into their bank accounts. The scheme is a step in the right direction towards promoting efficient water and electricity use. But whether or not it is scalable is a matter of further research.

Institutions

Institutions represent the ‘rules of the game’ that enable a given system to function. For innovations in technologies and incentives to be effective, a sector needs a supportive and enabling institutional environment. These institutions govern the access of key inputs and help in the development of a profitable and sustainable agriculture. The government plays an important role in setting up formal institutions, including agriculture-related laws and regulations, international trade agreements, food quality standards, and land and water property rights. Innovation in institutions are required for farmers to better access and manage agricultural land, water, extension services and mechanisation at different stages of crop development and in a manner that is efficient, transparent, inclusive and sustainable.

In the case of the land institution, there is an urgent need to reform land laws, free up the lease market and revoke all restrictions like ceilings on land holdings. This will encourage land consolidation and achieve viable size of holdings, which will also allow farmers to choose how to make the best possible use of their land. Liberalisation of this type will encourage long term investments in land and raise farmers’ productivity and incomes. However, the politico-environment is still opposed to the abolition of land ceilings, though it may be palatable to freeing up land lease markets.

In order to regulate the unsustainable extraction of water for irrigation, the government needs to create an institution that regulates spacing of tube wells, identification of aquifers, size of pumps and the overall rate of exploitation. This should be accompanied by institutional arrangements governing rights over water, land tenure, users’ relationships and financial incentives.

In the light of the need to produce more from limited cultivable land, the innovative idea of supplying farm machinery services to small and marginal farmers at an affordable cost through custom hire centres and ‘Uberisation’¹⁴ platforms should be encouraged.

Last but not the least, the national network of agricultural extension plays a critical role in enabling a system of sharing knowledge, information, technology, policy and farm management practices all along the value chain, in order to enable farmers to realise a remunerative income on a sustainable basis (MoAFW, 2017). As smallholders already face numerous and widely varying challenges, it is essential that they have access to timely, reliable, and relevant information and advice. This requires an efficient agricultural extension system that goes beyond the theoretical scope of technology transfer, into the space of practical application and impact evaluation. Geo-tagging of farms, digitalisation of agri-value chains, big data analytics, Internet of Things, artificial intelligence in agriculture are the next frontiers of knowledge to drive agriculture into a new trajectory. Extension work has to be ready to take all these technologies from start-ups and pilots to farmers’ fields for scaling up.

¹⁴ Uberisation is an innovative on-demand business model that provides farm machinery and equipment (such as harvest combines and tractors) along with operator services to farmers at affordable costs.

References

- Aditya, V., Sumashini, P. S., Aravind, N. A., Ravikanth, G., Krishnappa, C., & Shaanker, R. U.** 2020. Reconciling biodiversity conservation with agricultural intensification: Challenges and opportunities for India. *Current Science*, 118(12): 1870-1873.
- BAIF.** 2015. *The BAIF Journal*, Volume 39 (January-March).
- Birkenholtz, T.** 2017. Assessing India's drip-irrigation boom: efficiency, climate change and groundwater policy. *Water International*, 46(6): 663-677.
- CIMMYT.** 2018. "India could cut nearly 18% of agricultural greenhouse gas emissions through cost-saving farming practices". Press release. <https://www.cimmyt.org/news/new-study-india-could-cut-nearly-18-of-agricultural-greenhouse-gas-emissions-through-cost-saving-farming-practices/>
- CGWB.** 2017. *Dynamic groundwater resources of India*. Faridabad, Central Ground Water Board, Ministry of Water Resources, River Development & Ganga Rejuvenation, Government of India.
- DCD.** 2017. *Status paper of Indian cotton*. Nagpur, Directorate of Cotton Development, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers' Welfare, Government of India.
- Deshmukh, M. S., and Tanaji, V. S.** (2017). Cropping intensity index and irrigation intensity index. *North Asian International Research Journal of Social Science and Humanitie*, 3(2): 1-11.
- DES.** 2017. *Land Use Statistics at a Glance*. Retrieved 23 March 2020, from Directorate of Economics and Statistics: https://eands.dacnet.nic.in/LUS_1999_2004.htm. Department of Agriculture, Cooperation and Farmers Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India.
- DoAC&FW.** 2019. *Agriculture Census: 2015-16*. New Delhi, Agriculture Census Division, Department of Agriculture, Co-operation & Farmers Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India.
- DoAHD&F.** 2017. *Basic Animal Husbandry and Fisheries Statistics*. New Delhi: Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers' Welfare, Government of India.
- FAO.** 2018. FAOSTAT Statistical Database. Rome, United Nations.
- Gulati, A.** 2019. Will India become a big importer of food? *The Hindu Business Line*. April 23. <https://www.thehindubusinessline.com/opinion/columns/will-india-become-a-big-importer-of-food/article26923750.ece>

Gulati, A. and Gujral, J. 2012. India can cut agricultural emissions & subsidies by creating a market for farm offsets. *The Economic Times*. 5 September.

Gulati, A. and Gupta, S. 2019. From Plate to Plough: Market incentives, direct income support for farmers are far more effective in increasing agricultural productivity. *The Indian Express*. 31 October.

Gulati, A. and Juneja, R. 2018a. From Plate to Plough: Timidity and Technology. *The Indian Express*. 6 December.

Gulati, A., and Juneja, R. 2018b. Innovations and revolutions in Indian agriculture: a review. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology B*. 8(2018): 473-482.

Gulati, A., and Juneja, R. 2019. From Plate to Plough: Fielding the right incentives. *The Indian Express*. 18 March.

Gulati, A., Kapur, D., and Bouton, M. M. 2019. Reforming Indian Agriculture. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 55(11): 35-42.

Gulati, A., & Terway, P. 2018. Impact of investments and subsidies on agricultural growth and poverty reduction in India. In A. Gulati, M. Ferroni and Y. Zhou, *Supporting Indian Farms the Smart Way*. Delhi, Academic Foundation.

ICAR- NRRI. 2019. *CR Dhan 801 and CR Dhan 802: Climate-smart rice varieties of NRRI*. Cuttack, ICAR-National Rice Research Institute.

Kumar, K. A. and Rajitha, G. 2019. Alternate wetting and drying (AWD) irrigation - a smart water saving technology for rice: a review. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 8(3): 2561-2571.

Majhi, B. and Kumar, A. 2018. Changing cropping pattern in Indian agriculture. *Journal of Economic and Social Development*, 14(1): 37-45.

MoAFW. 2017. *Doubling Farmers' Income*. Report of the Committee constituted under Dr. Ashok Dalwai. New Delhi, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers' Welfare, Government of India.

NSO. 2019. *National Accounts Statistics*. New Delhi, National Statistical Office, Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, Government of India.

NSSO. 2014. *Household consumption of various goods and services in India, 2011-12*. National Sample Survey, 68th Round. National Sample Survey Organisation, Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, Government of India.

NITI Aayog. 2016. *Report of the Expert Committee on Land Leasing*. New Delhi, NITI Aayog, Government of India.

NITI Aayog. 2018. *Demand and Supply Projections Towards 2030: The Working Group Report*. New Delhi, NITI Aayog, Government of India.

OECD/FAO. (2019). *OECD FAO Agricultural Outlook 2019-2028*. Rome, OECD Publishing.

OECD/ICRIER. 2018. *Agricultural Policies in India*. Paris, OECD Food and Agricultural Reviews, OECD Publishing.

Pathak, H., Tewari, A., Sankhyan, S., Dubey, D., Mina, U., Singh, V. S., Bhatia, A. 2011. Direct-seeded rice: potential, performance and problems – a review. *Current Advances in Agricultural Sciences*, 3(2): 77-88.

Patra, N. K., and Babu, S. C. 2017. Mapping Indian Agricultural Emissions: Lessons for Food System Transformation and Policy Support for Climate-Smart Agriculture. Washington, DC., IFPRI Discussion Paper 01660.

Polycarpou, L. 2010. Direct seeding of rice – a simple solution to India’s water crisis? State of the Planet. *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*, 12(4): 459-470.

Shah, T. N., Gulati, A., Pullabhotla, H., and Shreedhar, G. 2009. Secret of Gujarat's agrarian miracle after 2000. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 44 (52): 45-55.

Singh, S., Singh, R. and Singh, S. 2014. Farm power availability on Indian farms. *Agricultural Engineering Today*, 38(1): 48-52.

Subramanian, S. 2015. *Emerging Trends and Patterns of India's Agricultural Workforce: Evidence from the Census*. Working Paper 347. Bengaluru, Institute for Social and Economic Change.

World Bank. 2019. *World Development Indicators*. Washington DC., The World Bank <https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators>

Xie, H., Huang, Y., Chen, Q., Zhang, Y., and Wu, Q. 2019. Prospects for agricultural sustainable intensification: a review of research. MDPI (Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute), 8(157): 1-27.

Language Editing by Ms Seetha Parthasarthy