



#### Global knowledge & use of soil biodiversity: Lessons from a worldwide survey

<u>George G. Brown</u>, Talita Ferreira, M. Elizabeth Correia, Cintia Niva, Ederson Jesus, M. Inês Oliveira, Luiz Antunes, Lucília Vargas, Marcia Coelho, Guilherme Chaer, Juaci Malaquias, O. Dario Silva, Ieda Mendes, Isabelle Verbeke, Julia Mousquer, Natalia Rodriguez Eugenio, Ronald Vargas, Rosa Corona-Cuevas, Jacob Parnell + NET<mark>SOB Board members</mark>





Global Soil Biodiversity Survey (8-29 March 2022)

70K e-mails, 2696 replies (< 4%) 122 questions



#### Other (specify)

11. If you work with applied aspects of soil biodiversity, what is your focus?

Agricultural/forestry/pastoral management

Preservation/conservation practice

Pharmaceutical products

- Food industry/soil biodiversity-based food (insects, invertebrates, mushrooms, etc.)
- Activities associated with ecotourism and/or heritage
- Environmental awareness/tools
- Other (specify)

**1.** General information

- 2. Microbes and microbial activity
- 3. Microfauna (including Protists)
- 4. Mesofauna
- 5. Macrofauna
- 6. Megafauna
- 7. Community level/functional assessments of soil biodiversity
- 8. Soil biodiversity inventory/monitoring activities
- 9. Ecosystem services, applications, and threats to soil biodiversity
- **10. Education/Communication activities**
- **11.** Public policies related to soil biodiversity



# Main Objective: State-of-the-art assessment of knowledge on soil biodiversity work worldwide

- Identify main stakeholders in soil biodiversity work worldwide
  - Who is doing what, where & how?
  - e.g., inventories, monitoring, mapping, databases
- Information on specific taxa & functions of soil biota
- Reveal the main methods used to study soil biota
- Assess main uses of soil biota worldwide
- Evaluate work on ecosystem services & valuation methods
- Verify presence of & knowledge on public policies related to soil biodiversity

#### Responses: 139 countries, >1350 institutions









Q14: Main land covers studied

- Crops dominate (34-52%)
- Natural systems as reference (30-40%)
- Degraded lands, Forest plantations (~25%)
- Few urban, pastoral, reclaimed sites (16%)

## 50 countries have soil biodiversity inventories (at any level & taxa)



#### Take home:

- Low awareness of national inventories
- Microbes dominated (78%), less attention fauna (25-46%)



0%

10%

Q91: National inventories including soil biodiversity

#### Q92: Main groups of organisms included

40%

50%

60%

70%

80%

90%

30%

## 48 countries have monitoring programs (at any level & taxa)





20%

30%

40%

50%

60%

10%

0%

70%

80%

#### Q17: What microbes do you work with?

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Bacteria & fungi most studied (79-85%)
- Other taxa less (7-25%)
- Not surprising => importance in soils
- Historically, most studied
- Taxa with well established molecular markers (ribosomal genes and ITS region).

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

Q24: Culture-dependent methods

#### Take home:

90%

- Molecular and phenotypic methods most used for cultured microbes (67-76%)
- All other methods <18%
- In the molecular methods, genome sequencing, marker gene sequencing, and fingerprinting used by 64%, 59%, and 45% of the respondents

![](_page_13_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Q24: Culture-independent/molecular methods

- Metabarcoding, metagenomics, and qPCR, most used for uncultured microbes (43-62%)
- Fingerprinting = 23% of respondents (n = 634): DGGE/TGGE (33%), PLFA/FAME (27%), T-RLPF/LH-PCR (25%) and RLFP (23%);
- Most used 16S rRNA gene for prokaryotes (88%) and ITS for fungi (68%), with a smaller focus on the 18S rRNA gene for fungi (42%);
- Main platform used for metabarcoding was Illumina (92%).

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

Q32: Methods to evaluate microbial activity/processes

#### Take home:

- Respiration and enzymatic activity main processes evaluated (~60%)
- N mineralization, mycorrhizal colonization & decomposition less common (35-41%)

#### Q40: Microbial biomass methods

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Take home:

- Fumigation/extraction main method used (~46%)
- SIR and Fumigation/incubation less popular (33-36%)

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

Q107: Main practical applications of microbes

#### Take home:

#### Main uses of microbes are:

- Plant growth promotion
- Bioindicators
- BNF
- Inventories
- P-solubilization
- Bioremediation
- Biological control

#### Q48: Microfauna studied

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Nematodes most studied
  - Freeliving = 55%
  - Plant parasitic = 41%
- Protozoa (21%)
- Tardigrades & rotifers <10%
- Most nematodes extracted by wet funnels (57% Baermann)
- Protozoa various methods
- Few active taxonomists (26%)

#### Q61: Mesofauna studied

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Mites & springtails most studied (43-47%)
- Beetles = 30%, ants = 20%
- Others all <18%
- 23% study whole community
- Most extracted with Berlese or Tullgren funnels (55%)
- 30% used Pitfall traps
- Only 33% active in taxonomy

#### Q74: Macrofauna studied

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Take home:

- Mostly ecosystem engineers (16-39%) and predators & detritivores studied (17-25%)
- Phytophages <12%</li>
- 18% study whole community
- Most extracted directly by handsorting (94%) or indirectly by pitfall traps (79%)

Only 31% active in taxonomy

#### Q81: Megafauna studied

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Rodents most studied (46%)
- Birds and snakes less popular (21-26%)
- Methods taxon-dependent
- Few respondents overall
- Only 19% active in taxonomy

![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

Q108: Main applications of soil fauna studies

#### Take home

#### Main uses of soil fauna:

- Bioindicators
- Nutrient cycling
- Plant growth promotion
- Inventories
- Composting
- Monitoring
- Innoculation
- Biological control

#### Q86: Methods to evaluate fauna/microbial functions

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Take home:

90%

- Molecular methods most popular (51%)
- Decomposition widely used (44%)
- Less (~20-26%) use semi-field, foodweb or trait-based approaches
- Few use ecotox or biotubation (<14%)</li>

#### Q100: Do you work with Ecosystem Services?

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

Declared

0%

10%

preference...

Other (specify)

25.64%

30%

40%

50%

n=326

70%

80%

60%

11.86%

- Around 51% study ES
- Most use market-based valuation (56%)
- Less (26-38%) use other techniques

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Soil formation (1)	26.59%	246
Biodiversity conservation (2)	51.68%	478
Nutrient cycling (Decomposition, N2 fixation, Mineralization, etc.) (3)	69.19%	640
Soil erosion and flood control (4)	26.70%	247
Pollination (5)	10.38%	96
Seed dispersal (6)	7.78%	72
Pest and disease regulation (7)	21.08%	195
Atmospheric composition and climate regulation (Emission of GHG, Carbon sequestration, etc.) (8)	26.92%	249
Recycling of waste biomass (9)	22.92%	212
Recycling of waste biomass (9) Pollutant immobilization/degradation and soil bioremediation (10)	22.92% 20.76%	212 192
Recycling of waste biomass (9) Pollutant immobilization/degradation and soil bioremediation (10) Regulation of water supply and quality (11)	22.92% 20.76% 14.59%	212 192 135
Recycling of waste biomass (9) Pollutant immobilization/degradation and soil bioremediation (10) Regulation of water supply and quality (11) Pharmaceutical or biotechnological products (12)	22.92% 20.76% 14.59% 4.86%	212 192 135 45
Recycling of waste biomass (9)   Pollutant immobilization/degradation and soil bioremediation (10)   Regulation of water supply and quality (11)   Pharmaceutical or biotechnological products (12)   Food, fibre and fuel production and quality (13)	22.92% 20.76% 14.59% 4.86% 16.43%	212 192 135 45 152
Recycling of waste biomass (9)   Pollutant immobilization/degradation and soil bioremediation (10)   Regulation of water supply and quality (11)   Pharmaceutical or biotechnological products (12)   Food, fibre and fuel production and quality (13)   Human health (14)	22.92% 20.76% 14.59% 4.86% 16.43% 8.86%	212 192 135 45 152 82
Recycling of waste biomass (9)   Pollutant immobilization/degradation and soil bioremediation (10)   Regulation of water supply and quality (11)   Pharmaceutical or biotechnological products (12)   Food, fibre and fuel production and quality (13)   Human health (14)   Educational, cultural or recreational uses (15)	22.92% 20.76% 14.59% 4.86% 16.43% 8.86% 13.95%	212 192 135 45 152 82 129
Recycling of waste biomass (9)   Pollutant immobilization/degradation and soil bioremediation (10)   Regulation of water supply and quality (11)   Pharmaceutical or biotechnological products (12)   Food, fibre and fuel production and quality (13)   Human health (14)   Educational, cultural or recreational uses (15)   Habitat for organisms (16)	22.92% 20.76% 14.59% 4.86% 16.43% 8.86% 13.95% 22.59%	212 192 135 45 152 82 129 209

Q101: Ecosystem services of soil biodiversity

#### Take home: Most services studied:

- Nutrient cycling
- Biodiversity conservation
- Climate regulation
- Erosion/flood control
- Pedogenesis
- Waste recycling

• Habitat

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

Q102: Main indicators used to evaluate ES

#### Take home: Most common indicators:

- Microbes/biomass (65%)
- Diversity indices (46%)
- Decomposition/mineralization (44%)
- Functional traits (35%)
- Primary production (27%)
- Enzymes, GHG, ecological interactions (25-27%)
- Fauna less used (4-23%)

Q117: Legal frameworks promoting conservation/sustainable management

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

Q118: Public policy on soil biodiversity

Q122: International legal instrument <u>to</u> <u>protect soils</u> relevant to soil biodiversity

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Take home:

 Little knowledge of legal instruments or public policies!

#### Q121: National measures <u>to</u> protect soil biodiversity

![](_page_27_Figure_5.jpeg)

Q121: Main focus of soil biodiversity public policy

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Most policies target sustainable use (75%) and conservation (53%)
- Less protection of soil & its biodiversity (39%)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Conclusions

- Soil BD is mostly government-based or educational research
- Main focus on managed systems & microbes vs. fauna
- Few active taxonomists for all taxa
- Max 50 countries have inventories/monitoring programs & most focus on microbes vs. fauna
  - Few target wide range of taxa
- ES studies are popular and use wide range of indicators
- Little knowledge on public policies & frameworks related to soil biodiversity

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Thank you for your attention!

<u>george.brown@embrapa.br</u> or <u>minhocassu@gmail.com</u>

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_7.jpeg)

MINISTÉRIO DA Agricultura e Pecuária

![](_page_30_Picture_9.jpeg)