PROCYMIDONE (136)

EXPLANATION

Procymidone was reviewed by the JMPR in 1981, 1989, 1990 and 1993. Recommendations from the 1993 review included the withdrawal of draft MRLs for apples, currants (black, red and white), egg plant, kiwifruit, melons, except watermelon, potatoes and rice (polished and husked). These recommendations were confirmed by the CCPR at the 27th (1995) Session.

At the 1996 CCPR, MRLs for nectarines and peaches were withdrawn in the absence of new data (ALINORM 97/24). The Committee was informed by the manufacturer that new data on kiwifruit, peaches, plums, peas and brassica vegetables would be available for evaluation in 1998 (ALINORM 95/24A, para 131, 132, 133 and 134). In addition, residue data for pears have been reported. Information on analytical methods and monitoring was provided by The Netherlands.

Structure of procymidone

METHODS OF RESIDUE ANALYSIS

Analytical methods

Validated methods for the quantification of procymidone in pears, peaches, plums, cabbages and peas were provided (Provot, 1996a,b,c, 1997, 1998). All analytical reports were validations of Method No. BA-70-0020 (Sumitomo Reference No. BA-70-0220). Various methods were reported in the 1981 monograph and reviewed by Ambrus *et al.* (1991). Validation of the methods used for the determination of procymidone in kiwifruit was not provided, and recoveries in all kiwifruit trials were either not or inadequately reported.

The validated methods are all similar. The sample is homogenized with acetone and filtered through celite and the extraction with acetone is repeated with the filter cake. The combined filtrates are washed with 10% aqueous NaCl solution and hexane. The hexane layer is collected, dried and evaporated to near-dryness. The remaining residues are transferred to a Florisil column, eluted with 10:1 hexane/ethyl acetate and evaporated to dryness. The remaining procymidone residues are redissolved in hexane for quantification by GLC with a thermionic nitrogen detector (NPD). The limit of determination in all crops is 0.02 mg/kg; recoveries were 70-110%, as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Recoveries of procymidone from in pears, peaches, plums, cabbages and peas.

| Sample | Fortification levels, mg/kg | Recovery range, % | Mean % ± SD |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Pears | 0.02, 0.2, 2.0 | 78-109 | 88 ± 11.6 |
| Peaches | 0.02, 0.2, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0 | 70-108 | 90 ± 13.2 |
| Plums | 0.02, 0.2, 2.0 | 80-110 | 95 ± 8.7 |
| Cabbages | 0.02, 0.2, 10 | 75-109 | 94 ± 12 |
| Peas, seeds | 0.02, 0.2, 2.0 | 83-110 | 100 ± 8 |
| Empty pods | 0.02, 0.2, 2.0 | 73-102 | 88 ± 10 |
| Whole plants (early harvest) | 0.2, 10, 30 | 72-115 | 93 ± 16 |
| Whole plants (late harvest) | 0.2, 10, 30 | 78-110 | 94 ± 12 |

¹Triplicate samples at each concentration.

A multi-residue method was provided by The Netherlands government (1996). Residues in fruits and vegetables may be extracted by homogenising the commodity with ethyl acetate and sodium sulfate, followed by gravity filtration, or by extraction with acetone followed by CH₂Cl₂ and petroleum ether and separation of the organic phases. The extracts are cleaned on an alumina column, eluted with hexane and ethyl acetate (9:1) and the residue determined by GLC with an ECD, NPD or ion trap detector. The limits of determination ranged from 0.001 to 0.1 mg/kg with recoveries above 80%. Recoveries from lettuce were 98-111% at fortification concentrations from 0.12 to 1.0 mg/kg.

Stability of residues in stored analytical samples

Negligible degradation of residues was found in strawberry samples which were fortified with procymidone at concentrations of 0.05 and 0.5 mg/kg, stored at -26°C and analysed after 0, 6 and 12 months. Recoveries ranged from 77 to 110% at 0.05 mg/kg and from 81 to 96% at 0.5 mg/kg over the 12-month period (Halasz-Laky, 1992).

Procymidone residues were stable in field-treated and fortified cherry samples for 12 months (Kadenczki, 1993). Treated samples were collected 21 days after application of a 50 WP formulation at 0.7 kg ai/ha and stored whole. Untreated samples were pipped and homogenised, then fortified at levels of 0.05 and 0.5 mg/kg. The samples were kept in frozen storage (-20 to -25 °C) for 12 months and analysed at 0, 6, 9 and 12 months. Procymidone residues in field-treated samples ranged from 0.30 to 0.45 mg/kg at 0, 0.28 to 0.49 mg/kg at 6, and 0.37 to 0.50 mg/kg at 12 months. Recoveries from homogenised samples fortified at 0.05 mg/kg ranged from 62 to 96% and from those fortified at 0.5 mg/kg from 64 to 112% over the 12-month storage period. The average recoveries from all the samples at levels of 0.02-3 mg/kg ranged from 63 to 102%.

Procymidone was stable in homogenised lettuce fortified at concentrations of 0.1 and 1.0 mg/kg and stored at -20°C for 12 months (Gillis, 1995a). Analyses after 1, 3, 6 and 12 months gave recoveries of 93 to 104% at 0.1 mg/kg and 91 to 99% at 1.0 mg/kg over the 12-month period.

Homogenised haricot beans were fortified with procymidone at concentrations of 0.1 and 1.0 mg/kg and stored at -20°C for 12 months (Gillis, 1995b). After 0, 1, 3, 6 and 12 months of storage recoveries were 83 to 105% at 0.1 mg/kg and 87 to 99% at 1.0 mg/kg. No degradation of procymidone was detected.

USE PATTERN

Procymidone, N-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)-1,2-dimethylcyclopropane-1,2-dicarboximide, is a fungicide used for the prevention and control of Monilinia, Sclerotinia and Botrytis species in fruits and

vegetables. It is marketed as a 25% suspension concentrate (25 SC), 500g/l suspension concentrate (500 SC), 50% wettable powder (50 WP), 50% water-dispersible granule (50 WG) and 75% water-dispersible granule (75 WG).

Information on registered use patterns in EU member countries was provided by The Netherlands government and on uses in various countries by the manufacturer. The use patterns for pears, peaches, plums, kiwifruit, peas and cabbages are shown in Table 2. Information on GAP for cabbages in Greece and peas in Germany was tabulated but registered product labels were not provided.

Table 2. Use patterns of procymidone

| Crop | Country | Form | | Applicat | tion | | PHI, |
|--------------------|--------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-------|
| | | | Method | Rate, kg ai/ha | Spray conc., kg ai/hl | No. | days |
| Almond | France | 500 g/l Liq, SC, | High volume | 0.75 | 0.075 | _ | 8 |
| | | 50 WP | | 0.75 | 0.075 | _ | 15 |
| Almond | Italy | 50 WP, 50 WG, | High volume | 1.13-1.80 | 0.075-0.10 | _ | |
| | | 75 WG | | 1.5-2.0 | 0.075-0.10 | | |
| Almond | Lebanon | 50 WP | Foliar | | 0.025-0.05 | | 14 |
| Apple | Lebanon | 50 WP | Foliar | | 0.025-0.05 | | 14 |
| Apricot | France | 500 g/l Liq., SC, 50 WP | High volume | 0.75 | 0.075 | _ | 8 |
| Apricot | Italy | 50 WP, 50 WG, | High volume | 1.13-1.80 | 0.075-0.1 | 2-3 | 14 |
| | | 75 WG | | 0.75-1.35 | 0.05-0.075 | 2 | |
| | | | | 1.5-2.0 | 0.075-0.1 | 2-3 | |
| | | | | 1.0-1.5 | 0.05-0.075 | 2 | |
| Apricot | Jordan | 50 WP | Foliar | | 0.025-0.05 | | 14 |
| Apricot | Lebanon | 50 WP | Foliar | | 0.025-0.05 | | 14 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Asparagus | Italy | 50 WP, 50 WG, 75 WG | Foliar | 0.5-0.9 | 0.05-0.075 | | 1 |
| Aubergine | France | 500 g/l Liq., SC, 50 WP | Foliar | 0.75 0.75 | | | 7 3 |
| Aubergine | Italy | 50 WP, 50 WG, 75 WG | Foliar | 0.04-0.05 | 0.24-0.42 | 3 | 14 |
| Aubergine | Jordan | 50 WP | Foliar | | 0.025-0.05 | | 3 |
| Aubergine | Netherlands | EC, 50 WP | Foliar | 0.125-0.375 | 0.025 | 5 | 3 |
| Aubergine | Poland | SC | Foliar | 0.5-0.75 | 0.05 | 2-3 | 3 |
| Beans ² | Australia | 500 g/l Liq. | Foliar | 0.75 | 0.075 | 2 | _ |
| | | <u> </u> | Post-harvest | 50 g ai/100 l s | solution | | _ |
| | | | dip | | | | |
| Beans | France | 500 g/l Liq, SC, 50 WP | Foliar spray | 0.75 | | 1-2 | 14-15 |
| Beans | Germany | 500 g/kg WG | Foliar ³ | 0.38 | 0.063 | 3 | 7 |
| Beans | Italy | 50 WP, 50 WG, 75 WG | Foliar | 0.3-0.6 | 0.05-0.075 | | 14 |
| Beans | Jordan | 50 WP | Foliar | | 0.025-0.05 | | 21 |
| Beans | Lebanon | 50 WP | Foliar | | 0.025-0.05 | | 3 |
| Beans | New Zealand | 25 FL | Foliar | 0.5 | 0.05 | 2 | 3 |
| Beans | Poland | SC | Foliar | 0.51 | 0.083 | 2 | 3 |
| Beans | Romania | 50 WP | Foliar | 0.5 | 0.05 | - | 14 |
| Beans | South Africa | 250 g/l SC | Foliar | 0.375 | 0.075 | 2-3 | 14 |
| Blackberry | Switzerland | 50 WG | Foliar | 0.75-1.0 | 3.372 | 123 | 14 |
| Broad beans | Netherlands | EC, 50 WP | Foliar | 0.73-1.0 | | 2 | 14 |
| Dioad Ocalis | redictions | LC, 30 W1 | 1 Onai | 0.5 | | 1 4 | 17 |

¹ Treatment of vegetation post-harvest.

² Same use pattern for green beans and navy beans.

³ At beginning, middle and end of flowering.

| Crop | Country | Form | | Applicat | ion | | PHI, |
|----------------|--------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------|------|
| • | | | Method | Rate, | Spray conc., | No. | days |
| G 11 | F | 500 4 I : GG | E I' | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | 21 |
| Cabbages | France | 500 g/l Liq, SC, 50 WP | Foliar spray | 0.75 | | _ | 21 |
| Cabbage | Jordan | 50 WP | Foliar | | 0.025-0.05 | | 14 |
| Celery | Jordan | 50 WP | Foliar | | 0.025-0.05 | | 14 |
| Cherry | Italy | 50 WP, 50 WG, 75 WG | High volume | 1.125-1.80 1.5-2.0 | 0.075-0.10 0.075-0.10 | 2-34 | 21 |
| Cherry | Jordan | 50 WP | Foliar | | 0.025-0.05 | | 14 |
| Cherry | Lebanon | 50 WP | Foliar | | 0.025-0.05 | | 14 |
| Colza | Italy | 50 WG, 75 WG | Foliar | 0.50-0.75 | | 1 | |
| Colza | France | 500 g/l Liq. | Foliar | 0.75 | | | |
| Courgette | France | 500 g/l Liq., SC, 50 WP | Foliar | 0.75 | | | 7 3 |
| Courgette | Netherlands | EC, 50 WP | Foliar | 0.125-0.375 | 0.025 | 5 | 3 |
| Cucumber | Italy | 50 WP, 50 WG, 75 WG | Foliar | 0.3 -0.6 | 0.05-0.075 | | 14 |
| Cucumber | Netherlands | EC, 50 WP | Foliar | 0.125-0.375 | 0.025 | 5 | 3 |
| Cucumber | Jordan | 50 WP | Foliar | | 0.025-0.05 | 1 | 3 |
| Cucumber | Poland | SC | Foliar | 0.5-0.75 | 0.05 | 2-3 | 3 |
| Cucumber | Romania | 50 WP | Foliar | 0.5 | 0.05 | | 14 |
| Currants | Poland | SC | High volume | 0.75 | 0.038-0.075 | 2-3 | 14 |
| Faba beans | Australia | 500 g/l Liq. | Foliar | 0.25 | | | |
| Garlic | Australia | 500 g/l Liq. | Pre-plant | 5 g ai/kg clov | es | | |
| | | | treatment | | | | |
| Garlic | France | 500 g/l Liq., SC, | Bulb | 150 g ai/100 l | g of bulbs ⁵ | | |
| | | 50 WP | Foliar | 0.75 | | | 21 |
| Garlic | Italy | 50 WP, | Bulb | 15 g ai/100 kg | 5 | | |
| | | 50 WG, 75 WG | Foliar | 0.3-0.8 | $0.05 - 0.1^6$ | | |
| Garlic | Uruguay | 50 WP | Bulb | 500 g ai/100 k | g cloves | | |
| Gherkin | France | 500 g/l Liq., SC, 50 WP | Foliar | 0.75 | | | 7 3 |
| Gherkin | Netherlands | EC, 50 WP | Foliar | 0.125-0.375 | 0.025 | 5 | 3 |
| Grapes | Australia | 500 g/l | Foliar | 0.5 | 0.038 | 4 | 5 |
| Grapes (vines) | France | 500 g/l Liq. SC, 50 WP | High volume | 0.75 0.75 | 0.075 | 17 | 14 |
| Grapes (vines) | Italy | 25 SC, 50 WP, | Foliar | 0.4.0.55 | 0.050-0.075 | 3-4 | 21 |
| | | 50 WG, 75 WG | | 0.4-0.75 | 0.04-0.075 | 2-4 | |
| C | N. 7. 1 1 | 25 EI | TT'.11 | 0.75 | 0.038-0.075 | 2-4 | |
| Grapes | New Zealand | 25 FL | High volume | 0.75 | 0.05 | 2 | 1.4 |
| Grapes (table) | Romania | 50 WP | Foliar | 0.5-0.75 | 0.05 | 1 | 14 |
| Grapes (table) | South Africa | 250 g/l SC | Foliar | | 0.05 | 3 | 28 |
| (wine) | Crritmonle 1 | 50 WC | Eolie: | 1.0 | 0.05 | 4 | 7 8 |
| Grapes | Switzerland | 50 WG | Foliar | 1.0 | 0.05 | 4 | |
| Grapes | Uruguay | 50 WP 50 WP, 50 WG, | Foliar Foliar | 0.5-0.75 0.3-0.4 | 0.0375-0.05 | + | 28 |
| Green beet | Italy | 75 WG | | | 0.05 0.0525 | | |
| Green peppers | France | SC, 50 WP | Foliar | 0.75 | | 1 | 3 |
| Green peppers | Italy | 50 WP, 50 WG, 50 WG | Foliar | 0.24-0.40 0.225-0.42 | 0.04 -0.05 0.038-0.053 | 1 | 14 |
| Green peppers | Netherlands | EC, 50 WP | Foliar | 0.125-0.375 | 0.025 | 5 | 3 |
| Green peppers | Poland | SC | Foliar | 0.5-0.75 | 0.05 | 1-2 | 3 |
| Hazel nut | France | 500 g/l Liq., SC, 50 WP | High volume | 0.75 | 0.075 | _ | 8 |

No more than 3 sprays per season.
 Bulb treatment before planting.
 Bulb treatment against *Botrytis* and *Sclerotinia*; not indicated as pre-planting, so presumably storage.
 At veraison.
 Last spray end of August.

| Crop | Country | Form | | Applicat | ion | | PHI, |
|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----|------------------|
| | | | Method | Rate, kg ai/ha | Spray conc., kg ai/hl | No. | days |
| Hazelnut | Italy | 50 WG, 75 WG | | | 0.038-0.053 | | |
| Kiwifruit | France | 500 g/l Liq, SC, 50 WP | High volume | 0.75 | 0.075 | _ | 8 ⁹ |
| Kiwifruit | Italy ¹⁰ | 25 SC | Foliar | _ | 0.075-0.100 | 5 | 14 |
| | | 50 WP, 75 WG | | 0.56-0.95 | 0.038-0.053 | 2-3 | |
| | 50 WG | | 0.75-1.0 | 0.038-0.050 | 2-3 | | |
| | | 50 WP, 50 WG | Post-harvest dip | 6 g ai/100 l so | lution | 1 | 60 ¹¹ |
| Lentils | France | 500 g/l Liq, SC, 50 WP | Foliar | 0.75 | | 1-2 | 14-15 |
| Lettuce | Australia | 500 g/l Liq. | Foliar | 0.28-0.6 | 0.038-0.05 | | |
| | | | Drench | _ | 0 seedlings in a | | 2 |
| | | | Soil surface spray | 1.0 | 0.1 | | 2 |
| Lettuce ¹² | France | 500 g/l Liq. SC, 50 WP | Soil, storage | 30 g ai/hl ¹³ 1.5 g ai/m ² 14 | | | 21 |
| | | | Foliar | 0.75 | | | |
| Lettuce | Italy | 50 WP, 50 WG, | Foliar | 0.75-1.0 | | 2 | 14 |
| Lettuce | Jordan | 75 WG 50 WP | Foliar | 0.5-0.75 | 0.025-0.05 | | |
| | | | | | | | - |
| Lettuce Lettuce 12 | Lebanon | 50 WP | Foliar Foliar ¹⁵ | 0.75 | 0.025-0.05 | 2 | 3 |
| Lettuce | Netherlands | EC, 50 WP | Foliar | 0.75 2.0 | | 3 | |
| Lettuce | Uruguay | 50 WP | | 0.05 | | 1 | 7 |
| Melon | France | 500 g/l Liq., SC, | Foliar | 0.75 | | | 7 |
| | | 50 WP | | | | | 3 |
| Melon | Netherlands | EC, 50 WP | Foliar | 0.125-0.375 | 0.025 | 5 | 3 |
| Melon | Poland | SC | Foliar | 0.5-0.75 | 0.05 | 2-3 | 3 |
| Minneola | South Africa | 250 g/l SC | Foliar | | 0.05 | | 120 |
| Onions | Australia | 500 g/l Liq. | Seed treatment | 10 g ai/kg see | d | | 28 |
| | | | In-furrow | 2 kg ai/ha | | | 28 |
| | | | Soil spray | 1 kg ai/ha | | 2 | 28 |
| | - | | Transplant dip | 0.5 kg ai/100 | | | 28 |
| Onions, shallots | France | 500 g/l Liq., SC, 50 WP | Bulb Foliar, soil | 150 g a bulb ¹⁶ (shallot 0.75 (Botrytis | i/100 kg of s) Sclerotinia) | | 21 |
| Onions | Italy | 50 WP, 50 WG, 75 WG | Seed treatment, | 0.5 g ai/kg on | | | |
| | | | Foliar | 0.3-0.6 | 0.05-0.075 | | 28 |
| | | | | | 0.053-0.075 | | 14 |
| Onions | Jordan | 50 WP | Foliar | | 0.025-0.05 | | 1 |
| Onions Shallots | Netherlands | EC, 50 WP | Soil pre- planting | 2-3 | | 1 | 28 |
| | | | Foliar (shallots) | 0.25 | | 1-2 | 28 |
| Onions | Uruguay | 50 WP | Transplanting | 100 g ai/100 l | | | 3 |
| | | | Foliar | 0.75 | 0.075 | | 3 |
| Peaches | Australia | 500 g/l Liq. | Foliar | | 0.025 0.0375 | 4-6 | 8 |

⁹ Reduction in PHI to 3 days has been proposed in EU submission.

10 3 foliar sprays plus a post-harvest dip treatment or 5 consecutive sprays.

11 60 days after harvest or post-harvest treatment.

12 Lettuce includes chicory, witloof, endive and cos lettuce.

13 Foliar spray and root treatment after planting and after harvest for storage or transport.

14 Root treatment and soil/bed treatment at planting or transplanting.

15 Lower rate for 3 sprays, 1st 7 days after planting and 2nd 14 days after planting; higher rate 7 days after planting only.

16 Bulb treatment before planting.

| Crop | Country | Form | | Applica | tion | | PHI, |
|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------|
| • | | | Method | Rate, | Spray conc., | No. | days |
| | | | | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | |
| | | | Post-harvest | 50 g ai/100 l s | solution | | |
| | | | dip | | | | |
| Peaches | France | 500 g/l Liq, SC, 50 WP | High volume | 0.75 | 0.075 | | 8 |
| Peaches | Italy | 25 SC | Foliar spray | _ | 0.075-0.100 | 3 | |
| | | | | _ | 0.05-0.075 | 217 | |
| | | 50 WP, 75 WG | High volume | 1.125-1.80 | 0.075-0.100 | 2-3 | |
| | | 50 WG | | 1.50-2.0 | 0.075-0.100 | 2-3 | 14 |
| Peaches | Jordan | 50 WP | Foliar | | 0.025-0.05 | | 30 |
| Peaches | Lebanon | 50 WP | Foliar | | 0.025-0.05 | | 14 |
| Peaches | Morocco | 50 WP | Foliar | | 0.25 | | |
| Peaches | New Zealand | 25 FL | High volume | 0.75-1.0 | 0.038-0.05 | 3 | 1 |
| Peaches | Romania | 50 WP | Foliar | 0.5-0.75 | 0.05-0.075 | | 14 |
| Peaches | South Africa | 250 g/l SC | Foliar | | 0.0375 | 2 | 7 |
| Peaches | Switzerland | 50 WG | Foliar | 1.0 | | | |
| Peaches | Uruguay | 50 WP | Foliar | 0.5-0.75 | 0.025-0.0375 | | 7 |
| Pears | Italy | 25 SC, 75 WP | High volume | 0.75-1.35 | 0.050-0.075 | | 14 |
| | | 50 WG | | 1.0-1.5 | 0.050-0.075 | | 14 |
| Pears | Lebanon | 50 WP | Foliar | | 0.025-0.05 | | 3 |
| Pears | South Africa | 250 g/l SC | Foliar | | 0.05 | | |
| Pears | Switzerland | 50 WG | Foliar | 1.0 | 0.05 | | |
| Peas | France | 500 g/l Liq, SC, 50 WP | Foliar spray | 0.75 | | 1-2 | 14-15 |
| Peas (field) | Germany | 500 g/kg WG | Foliar | 0.5 | 0.125 | 1 | 14 |
| Peas | South Africa | 250 g/l SC | Foliar | 0.5 | | | 21 |
| Peppers | Jordan | 50 WP | Foliar | | 0.025-0.05 | | 7 |
| Peppers | Lebanon | 50 WP | Foliar | | 0.025-0.05 | | 21 |
| Pimento | France | 500 g/l Liq. | Foliar | 0.75 | | | 7 |
| Plums | Italy | 50 WP, 75 WG | High volume | 1.125-1.80 | 0.075-0.100 | 3 | 21 |
| | | 50 WG | | 1.50-2.00 | 0.075-0.100 | | |
| Plums | France | 500 g/l Liq, SC, 50 WP | | 0.75 | 0.075 | - | 8 |
| Plums | Lebanon | 50 WP | Foliar | | 0.025-0.05 | | 14 |
| Potato | Australia | 500 g/l Liq. | Foliar | 0.25 0.5 | | | 7 |
| Potato | Jordan | 50 WP | Foliar | | 0.025-0.05 | | |
| Potato | Lebanon | 50 WP | Foliar | | 0.025-0.05 | | 45 |
| Potato | South Africa | 250 g/l SC | Foliar | 0.625-0.937 | 0.125 | | 35 |
| Rape seed | France | SC | | | | | 8 |
| Rape seed | Germany | 500 g/kg WG | Foliar | 0.5 | 0.125 | 118 | 56 |
| Rape seed | Italy | 50 WP | Foliar | 0.5-0.75 | | 119 | 7 |
| Rape seed | Poland | SC | High volume | 0.75 | 0.19-0.38 | 1-2 | 56 |
| Raspberry | France | 500 g/l Liq., SC, 50 WP | Foliar | 0.75 | 0.075 | - | 14 |
| Raspberry | Switzerland | 50 WG | Foliar | 0.75-1.0 | | | 14 |
| Strawberry | France | 500 g/l Liq., SC, 50 WP | Foliar | 0.75 | | 3-4 ²⁰ | - |
| Strawberry | Germany | 500 g/kg WG | Foliar | 0.75 | 0.038 | 3 ²¹ | 7 |
| Strawberry | Italy | 25 SC, 50 WP, 50 WG, 75 WG | Foliar | 0.23-0.42 | 0.0375-0.050 0.038-0.053 | 3 3 | 14 |
| Strawberry | Jordan | 50 WP | Foliar | | 0.025-0.05 | 1 | 1 |
| Strawberry | Netherlands | EC, 50 WP | Foliar | 0.19-0.45 | 0.0375-0.05 0.25-0.60 | 3-5 | 14 |

Apply 4 or 5 weeks and 2 or 3 weeks before harvest.

At beginning of infection or when 50 to 60% of buds have opened.

Apply at first flowering.

One of the second of the

| Crop | Country | Form | | Applicat | ion | | PHI, |
|------------|--------------|----------------------------|----------------|------------------|--------------|------------|------|
| | | | Method | Rate, | Spray conc., | No. | days |
| | | | | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | |
| Strawberry | New Zealand | 25FL | Foliar | 0.5 | 0.05 | 2 | |
| Strawberry | Poland | SC | Foliar | 0.75-1.25 | 0.05-0.38 | 1-2 | 7 |
| Strawberry | Romania | 50 WP | Foliar | 0.5-0.75 | 0.05-0.075 | | 14 |
| Strawberry | Switzerland | 50 WG | Foliar | 0.75-1.0 | | | 14 |
| Strawberry | Uruguay | 50 WP | Foliar | 0.5-0.75 | 0.0475-0.05 | | _ |
| Sugar beet | Poland | SC | High volume | 5 g ai/ton of r | oot* | | |
| Sunflower | Italy | 50 WP, 50 WG, | Seed | 50-100 g ai/10 | 00 kg seed | | 7 |
| | • | 75 WG | | (57.75-97.5) | | | |
| | | | Foliar | 0.3-0.4 | 0.05 | | 3 |
| | | | | 0.315-0.42 | 0.053 | 2^{22} | 21 |
| Sunflower | Romania | 50 WP | Seed treatment | 0.5 g ai/kg seed | | | 14 |
| | | | Foliar | 0.5 | | | 14 |
| Tomato | Australia | 500 g/l Liq. | Foliar | 0.28-0.60 | 0.0375-0.05 | | 14 |
| Tomato | France | 500 g/l Liq., SC, 50 WP | Foliar | 0.75 | | | 3 |
| Tomato | Italy | 25 SC, 50 WP, | Foliar | 0.24-0.40 | 0.04-0.05 | _ | 7 |
| | | 50 WG, 75 WG | | 0.225-0.42 | 0.038-0.053 | | |
| Tomato | Jordan | 50 WP | Foliar | | 0.025-0.05 | | 3 |
| Tomato | Morocco | 50 WP | Foliar | | 0.3 | | |
| Tomato | Netherlands | EC, 50 WP | Foliar | 0.125-0.375 | 0.025 | 5 | |
| Tomato | Poland | SC | High volume | 0.5-0.75 | 0.05 | 2-3 | 3 |
| Tomato | Romania | 50 WP | Foliar | 0.5 | 0.05 | | 14 |
| Tomato | South Africa | 250 g/l SC | Foliar | | 0.025 | | 3 |
| Watermelon | Jordan | 50 WP | Foliar | | 0.025-0.05 | | 21 |
| Watermelon | Lebanon | 50 WP | Foliar | | 0.025-0.05 | | 3 |

RESIDUES RESULTING FROM SUPERVISED TRIALS

Data from numerous supervised trials on pears, peaches, plums, kiwifruit, peas and brassica vegetables were submitted for evaluation and are shown in Tables 3-11. All the trials were in France and Italy.

| Table 3 | Pears, Italy. |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Table 4 | Peaches, France and Italy. |
| Table 5 | Plums, France and Italy. |
| Table 6 | Kiwifruit, France and Italy. |
| Table 7 | Kiwifruit, <i>Italy</i> . |
| Table 8 | Cabbage, France. |
| Table 9 | Cauliflower, Brussels sprouts, broccoli, France. |
| Table 10 | Peas, France. |
| Table 11 | Pea plants; legume animal feeds, France. |
| | |

Trials where residues were found in untreated control samples are indicated by c followed by the corresponding residues in the control. Trials unsuitable for evaluation owing to the residues found in untreated samples and/or lack of appropriate information on recoveries are shaded in the Tables. The residues in the peaches and plums were calculated from the ratio of the weight of the stone and flesh to that of the flesh alone.

Residues from trials according to GAP are underlined; those used to estimate STMRs are double underlined. In instances where more than one figure is given, the samples were taken from

²² 2 sprays before flowering.

different replicate plots. All residues are defined as procymidone. The limit of quantification was 0.02 mg/kg, unless otherwise stated.

<u>Pears</u>. Five applications were made at 1.08 to 1.38 kg ai/ha (0.075 kg ai/hl) which complied with Italian GAP. Although a re-treatment interval of 7 to 10 days is specified on the labels provided, the actual intervals between sprays 4 and 5 were 14 or 15 days. In two trials, finite residues were detected in untreated control samples. The results are shown in Table 3 (Sumitomo, 1997c).

<u>Peaches</u>. The trials in France and Italy complied with GAP. Applications were made at rates from 0.075 to 0.1 kg ai/hl. In one of the 1993 French trials recoveries were not adequately reported since an average over a range of fortification levels was reported without indication of recoveries at individual concentrations. The results are shown in Table 4 (Benet and Massenot, 1994a, 1995a).

<u>Plums</u>. Trials according to GAP in France (north and south regions) and Italy were reported. Residues in prunes were reported in one French trial. Application rates ranged from 0.75 to 1.8 kg ai/ha. The results are shown in Table 5 (Benet and Massenot, 1995b; Massenot and Cohadon, 1996a, 1997a).

<u>Kiwifruit</u>. Trials with foliar and post-harvest treatments were reported, but GAP was reported only for post-harvest treatments. The residues were determined in fruit treated up to 4 weeks before harvest and in cold storage for up to 4 months. On registered product labels 2 to 5 consecutive foliar sprays are indicated, but only single applications were made in the trial. The results are shown in Tables 6 and 7 (Massenot and Cohadon, 1987; Maini and Boni, 1985; Gandolfi and Collina, 1989).

The residues in the whole fruit are shown, although individual figures for pulp and peel were given. After post-harvest treatment (Table 7), residues in the pulp were 0.02 mg/kg to 0.15 mg/kg from dip concentrations of 3.25 to 25 kg ai/100 l. Recoveries were not adequately reported for any of the trials nor any storage details for the 1984 Italian trial.

Brassica vegetables. GAP was reported only for head cabbages in France and Jordan, although trials on cauliflower, broccoli and Brussels sprouts were also reported. In all the trials two applications were made at the maximum rate of 0.75 kg ai/ha. The registered labels provided describe specific application to cabbages only; not to other brassica crops. The residues in cabbages are shown in Table 8 and those in cauliflower, Brussels sprouts and broccoli in Table 9 (Benet and Massenot, 1994b,c, 1995c; Massenot and Cohadon, 1996b, 1997b). Recoveries in the two 1993 trials on cabbages were not adequately reported; an average was given over a range of fortifications.

<u>Peas</u>. Supervised trials in France were reported, where a maximum of 2 sprays may be applied at 0.75 kg ai/ha. The residues were determined in whole plants with pods (early harvest and late harvest), whole pods, peas, and empty pods. The residues in whole plants, which are used as animal feed, are shown in Table 11. Differences were noted between the residues in mechanically and hand-harvested pods. The highest residues were found in whole plants and empty pods.

Table 3. Supervised trials on pears in Italy (Sumitomo, 1997c).

| Year, | | A | pplication | | PHI, | Residues, mg/kg |
|------------------------|-------|-----|------------|----------|------|-----------------|
| Trial ID. | Form | No. | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | days | |
| 1995 IIO95/IT/01/01 | 50 WP | 5 | 1.376 | 0.075 | 14 | <u>0.62</u> |
| 1995 IIQ95/IT/01/02 | 50 WP | 5 | 1.082 | 0.075 | 14 | <u>0.16</u> |

| Year, | | A | pplication | | PHI, | Residues, mg/kg |
|----------------|--------|-----|------------|----------|------|---------------------------|
| Trial ID. | Form | No. | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | days | |
| 1995 | 50 WP | 5 | 1.361 | 0.075 | 0 | 1.85, 2.12, 2.24, 2.38 |
| IIQ95/IT/02/A | | | | | 3 | 1.32, 1.33, 1.57, 1.60 |
| | | | | | 7 | 1.10 |
| | | | | | 14 | <u>0.58</u> |
| | | | | | 21 | 0.25, 0.27, 0.32, 0.34 |
| 1995 | 50 WP | 5 | 1.103 | 0.075 | 0 | 1.40, 1.43, 1.66, 1.71 |
| | | | | | | c 0.25 |
| IIQ95/IT/02/B | | | | | 3 | 1.49 c 0.16 |
| | | | | | 7 | 0.77 c 0.11 |
| | | | | | 14 | <u>0.45</u> <i>c</i> 0.05 |
| 400 6 | | | 1.04 | 0.075 | 21 | 0.30 c 0.09 |
| 1996 | 50 WP | 5 | 1.361 | 0.075 | 0 | 1.45 |
| IIQ96/IT/02/A | | | | | 3 7 | 1.02 |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.97 |
| | | | | | 21 | $\frac{0.43}{0.37}$ |
| 1996 | 50 WP | 5 | 1.340 | 0.075 | 0 | 1.26 |
| IIQ96/IT/02/B | 30 WF |) | 1.340 | 0.073 | 3 | 1.43 |
| ПQ90/11/02/Б | | | | | 7 | 1.43 |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.65 c 0.11, 0.12 |
| | | | | | 21 | 0.40 <i>c</i> 0.06 |
| 1996 | 50 WP | 5 | 1.348 | 0.075 | 14 | <u>0.43</u> |
| IIQ96/IT/01/01 | | | | | | |
| IIQ96/IT/01/02 | 50 WP | 5 | 1.348 | 0.075 | 14 | 0.35 |
| 1270/11/01/02 | 20 111 | | 1.5 10 | 0.070 | ± ! | <u> </u> |

 $^{{\}it c}$ Untreated control samples.

Table 4. Supervised trials on peaches.

| Country, Year | | App | lication | | PHI, | Residues, mg/kg | Reference |
|---------------|------------|-----|----------|----------|------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| Trial ID. | Form | No. | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | days | Whole fruit | |
| Italy 1995 | 50 WP | 3 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0 | 1.67 | BR-0488 |
| IIQ95/IT/03A | | | | | 3 | 1.17 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 0.92 | |
| | | | | | 14 | <u>0.72</u> | |
| | | | | | 21 | 0.35 | |
| IIQ95/IT/03B | 50 WP | 3 | 0.917 | 0.1 | 0 | 1.63 | BR-0488 |
| 11000 | 00 ,,,1 | | 01,717 | 0.1 | 3 | 2.23 | 211 0 100 |
| | | | | | 7 | 3.25 | |
| | | | | | 14 | <u>1.33</u> | |
| | | | | | 21 | 0.51 | |
| France 1993 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 0.75 | 0.075 | -0 | 0.22 | BR-31-0445F |
| S 323.93 | | | | | 1 | 0.69 | (Benet and Massenot, 1994a) |
| | | | | | 4 | 0.56 | |
| | | | | | 8 | <u>0.39</u> 0.28 | |
| | | | | | 15 | 0.28 | |
| S 502.93 | | | | | -0 | 0.40 | BR-31-0445F |
| 2002.90 | | | | | 1 | 1.80 | |
| | | | | | 4 | 1.90 | |
| | | | | | 8 | <u>1.30</u> | |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.87 | |
| France 1995 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 0.73 | 0.079 | 1 | 0.41 | BR-0477F |
| 94FARSA01 | | | 0.75 | 0.084 | 8 | <u>0.19</u> | (Benet and Massenot, |
| | | | | | | | 1995a) |
| | | 1 | 1 |] | 1 | | |

| Country, Year | | App | lication | | PHI, | Residues, mg/kg | Reference |
|---------------|------|-----|--------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Trial ID. | Form | No. | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | days | Whole fruit | |
| 94FARSA02 | SC | 2 | 0.75 | 0.10 | 1 | 0.65 | BR-0477F |
| | | | 0.75 | 0.11 | 8 14 | <u>0.44</u> 0.30 | |
| 94FARSA03 | SC | 2 | 0.73 0.75 | 0.093 0.094 | 1 8 14 | 1.40 1.40 0.72 | BR-0477F |
| 94FARSA04 | SC | 2 | 0.75 0.76 | 0.094 0.093 | 1 8 14 | 0.75 <u>0.68</u> 0.28 | BR-0477F |

Table 5. Supervised trials on plums.

| Country, Year | | Appl | ication | | PHI, | Residues, mg/kg | Reference |
|----------------|------------|------|----------|----------|------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| Trial ID. | Form | No. | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | days | | |
| Italy 1995 | 50 WDG | 3 | 1.80 | 0.188 | 0 | 1.17 | BR-0489 |
| F-R-11-6011/95 | | | | | 7 | 1.21 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.83 | |
| | | | | | 21 | 0.74 | |
| | | | | | 28 | 0.52 | |
| F-R-12-6012/95 | 50 WDG | 3 | 1.80 | 0.178 | 21 | <u>1.50</u> <i>c</i> 0.02, 0.03 | BR-0489 |
| F-R-13-6013/95 | 50 WDG | 3 | 1.80 | 0.191 | 22 | 1.38 c 0.03, 0.03 | BR-0489 |
| France 1994 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 0.75 | 0.188 | 8 | <u>0.61</u> plum | BR-0478F |
| 1/94/03302 | | | | | 8 | 0.51 dried fruit c | (Benet and |
| | | | | | | 0.03 | Massenot, 1995b) |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.84 flesh | |
| 1/94/0 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 0.75 | 0.15 | 7 | <u>0.48</u> | BR-0478F |
| | | | | | 17 | 0.66 | |
| France 1995 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 0.75 | 0.138 | 0 | 0.22 | BR-0482 |
| 95 FARSA P 01 | | | | | 1 | 0.24 | (Massenot, 1996a) |
| | | | | | 3 | 0.40 | |
| | | | | | 7 | <u>0.59</u> | |
| 95 FARSA P 02 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.251 | 0 | 0.75 | BR-0482 |
| | | | | 0.240 | 1 | 0.65 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 0.58 | |
| 95 FARSA P 03 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.186 | 0 | 0.66 | BR-0482 |
| | | | | 0.182 | 1 | 0.65 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 0.67 | |
| | | | | | 8 | <u>0.60</u> | |
| 95 FARSA P 04 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.192 | 0 | 1.16 | BR-0482 |
| | | | | 0.194 | 1 | 1.66 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 0.78 | |
| | | | | | 8 | <u>1.06</u> | |
| 95 FARSA P 05 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.103 | 0 | 0.48 | BR-0482 |
| | | | | 0.099 | 1 | 0.44 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 0.31 | |
| | | | | | 8 | <u>0.19</u> | |
| France 1996 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 0.75 | 0.109 | 7 | <u>0.31</u> | BR-0492 |
| 96 FARSA P16 | | | | 0.098 | | | (Massenot, 1997a) |
| 96 FARSA P17 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.183 | 7 | <u>0.77</u> | BR-0492 |
| | | | | 0.204 | | | |
| 96 FARSA P18 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.126 | 7 | <u>1.20</u> | BR-0492 |
| | | | | 0.157 | | | |
| 96 FARSA P19 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.219 | 7 | <u>0.90</u> | BR-0492 |
| | | | | 0.216 | | | |

| Country, Year | | Appli | ication | | PHI, | Residues, mg/kg | Reference |
|---------------|------|-------|----------|----------|------|-----------------|-----------|
| Trial ID. | Form | No. | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | days | | |
| 96 FARSA P20 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.239 | 0 | 0.89 | BR-0492 |
| | | | | 0.224 | 1 | 0.98 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 1.02 | |
| | | | | | 7 | <u>0.86</u> | |

Table 6. Supervised trials on kiwifruit, pre-harvest foliar treatment.

| Country, Year | | Applic | cation | | PHI, | Residues, mg/kg | Reference |
|---------------------------|------------|--------|----------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Trial ID. | Form | No. | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | days | | |
| France 1986 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 1.50 | | 2 | 1.60 (whole fruit) 0.14 (pulp) | BR-71-0174F (Massenot and Culoto, 1987) |
| Italy 1984 ¹ A | 50% WP | 2 | 0.112 | 0.075 | 161 | 0.07 | BR-51-0154 (Maini and Boni, 1985) |
| B ² | | 1 | 0.100 | 0.050 | 0 7 14 21 28 28 (5) ³ 28 (35) 28 (66) 28 (107) | 1.89, 2.30, 1.53, 1.69 2.16, 1.49, 1.99, 0.97 2.12, 1.29, 0.79, 1.10 1.73, 1.46, 1.49, 0.81 1.01, 0.89, 0.79, 0.73 1.48, 0.95, 1.13, 0.83 c 0.03 1.31, 1.18, 1.22, 1.20 c 0.01 1.05, 1.19, 0.99, 0.93 c 0.02 1.09, 0.59, 1.02, 0.89 c 0.10 | BR-51-0154 |
| С | | 1 | 0.100 | 0.050 | 0 7 12 12 (30) ³ 12 (61) 12 (98) | 0.96 (2), 1.17, 0.87 0.52, 0.94, 1.04, 1.64 0.88, 0.92, 0.82, 1.29 c 0.03 0.57, 1.16, 0.87, 0.80 c 0.01 0.96, 0.77, 0.99, 0.83 c 0.02 0.78, 0.77, 0.75, 0.66 c 0.01 | BR-51-0154 |
| D | | 1 | 0.100 | 0.050 | 0 5 5 (30) ³ 5 (61) 5 (100) | 2.23, 1.34, 2.06, 2.54 1.41, 1.93, 1.73, 1.83 c 0.03 2.35, 0.68, 0.72, 0.65 c 0.01 1.61, 1.29, 2.60, 0.78 c 0.02 1.84, 0.78, 1.17, 1.14 c 0.01 | BR-51-0154 |

^{1.} The limit of determination was not stated. ² Individual figures represent four different trial sites. ³ Samples were analysed after the periods of storage shown in parentheses.

| Year | Application | | PHI, | Residues, mg/kg | Reference | |
|-------------------|-------------|-----|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
| Trial ID. | Form | No. | g ai/100 l ¹ | days | | |
| 1984 ² | | | 50 | 0 | 2.68 (0.09 pulp) | BR-51-0154 |
| | | | | 31 | 2.74 | (Maini and Boni, |
| | | | | | | 1985) |
| | | | | 60 | 4.30 | |

Table 7. Supervised trials on kiwifruit in Italy, post-harvest dip treatment.

| Year | Ar | plicatio | n | PHI, | Residues, mg/kg | Reference |
|-----------|-------|----------|-------------------------|------|------------------|----------------|
| Trial ID. | Form | No. | g ai/100 1 ¹ | days | | |
| | | | | 101 | 3.09 | |
| | | | 100 | 0 | 4.36 (0.11 pulp) | |
| | | | | 31 | 5.51 | |
| | | | | 60 | 5.77 | |
| | | | | 101 | 4.92 | |
| 1989 | 50 WP | 1 | 3.25 | 0 | 0.45 | BR-91-0254 |
| | | | | 30 | 0.51 | (Gandolfi and |
| | | | | | | Collina, 1989) |
| | | | | 57 | 0.40 | |
| | | | | 90 | 0.48 | |
| | | | | 117 | 0.31 | |
| | | 1 | 6.5 | 0 | 1.39 | |
| | | | | 30 | 1.25 | |
| | | | | 57 | 0.85 | |
| | | | | 90 | 0.85 | |
| | | | | 117 | 0.76 | |
| | | 1 | 12.5 | 0 | 2.63 | |
| | | | | 30 | 2.51 | |
| | | | | 57 | 2.16 | |
| | | | | 90 | 2.00 | |
| | | | | 117 | 1.45 | |
| | | 1 | 25 | 0 | 3.31 | |
| | | | | 30 | 3.58 | |
| | | | | 57 | 1.99 | |
| | | | | 90 | 2.21 | |
| | | | | 117 | 2.33 | |

¹. g ai/100 l of dipping solution. ² LOD not reported.

Table 8. Supervised trials on cabbages, France.

| Year | | Appl | ication | | PHI, | Residues, mg/kg ¹ | Reference |
|----------|------------|------|----------|----------|------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Trial ID | Form | No. | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | days | | |
| 1993 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 26 | 0.04 | BR-41-0452F |
| S 203.93 | | | | | | | (Benet and Massenot, 1994b) |
| 1993 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 139 | 0.03 | BR-41-0460F |
| S 201.94 | | | | | | | (Benet and Massenot, 1994c) |
| S 342.94 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.15 | 93 | 0.20 | |
| 1994 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 14 | 0.30 | BR-0480 |
| S 201.95 | | | | 0.15 | 21 | 0.30 | (Benet and Massenot, 1995c) |
| | | | | | 28 | 0.09 | |
| S 101.95 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 13 | < 0.02 | BR-0480 |
| | | | | | 20 | <u><0.02</u> | |
| | | | | | 27 | < 0.02 | |
| S 605.95 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 15 | 0.67 | BR-0480 |
| | | | | | 22 | 0.12 | |
| | | | | | 29 | <u>0.26</u> | |
| S 341.95 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.15 | 14 | 1.40 | BR-0480 |
| | | | | | 21 | 1.30 | |
| | | | | | 28 | <u>1.40</u> | |
| 1995 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0 | 1.18 | BR-0483 |
| S 207.95 | | | | 0.15 | 9 | 0.31 | (Massenot and Cohadon, 1996b) |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.03 | |
| | | | | | 21 | <u>0.03</u> | |

| Year | | Appli | cation | | PHI, | Residues, mg/kg ¹ | Reference |
|----------|------------|-------|----------|----------|------|------------------------------|------------------|
| Trial ID | Form | No. | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | days | | |
| S 208.95 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0 | 1.36 | BR-0483 |
| | | | | 0.15 | 7 | 0.02 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.04 | |
| | | | | | 21 | <u><0.02</u> | |
| S 209.95 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0 | 1.17 | BR-0483 |
| | | | | 0.15 | 8 | 0.08 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.05 | |
| | | | | | 20 | <u>0.03</u> | |
| S 606.95 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0 | 0.45 | BR-0483 |
| | | | | | 7 | 0.55 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.05 | |
| | | | | | 20 | <u>0.17</u> | |
| 1995 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0 | 0.97 | BR-0491 |
| S 203.96 | | | | 0.15 | 7 | 0.55 | (Massenot 1997b) |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.33 | |
| | | | | | 21 | <u>0.44</u> | |
| S 501.96 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0 | 4.75 | BR-0491 |
| | | | | | 7 | 1.72 | |
| | | | | | 13 | 1.60 | |
| | | | | | 21 | <u>0.43</u> | |
| S 340.96 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.15 | 0 | 1.01 | BR-0491 |
| | | | | | 7 | 0.40 | |
| | | | | | 13 | 0.23 | |
| | | | | | 21 | <u>0.32</u> | |
| | | | | | | | |

¹ Outer wrapper leaves removed before analysis.

Table 9. Supervised trials on cauliflower, Brussels sprouts and broccoli in France.

| Year | | Applic | cation | | PHI, | Residues, | Reference |
|-------------|------------|--------|----------|----------|------|-----------------|------------------|
| Trial ID | Form | No. | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | days | mg/kg | |
| CAULIFLOWER | | | | | | | |
| 1993 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 0.85 | 0.15 | 21 | <u>0.05</u> | BR-41-0452F |
| S 345.93 | | | 0.75 | 0.15 | | | (B. & M., 1994b) |
| 1993 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 85 | 0.05 | BR-41-0460F |
| S 202.94 | | | | | | | (B. & M., 1994c) |
| S 340.93 | | 1 | 0.75 | 0.15 | 30 | 0.03 | |
| S 321.93 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.15 | 67 | 0.06 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1994 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 14 | 0.03 | BR-0480 |
| S 202.95 | | | | 0.15 | 21 | <u><0.02</u> | (B. & M., 1995c) |
| | | | | | 28 | 0.03 | |
| S 401.95 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 15 | < 0.02 | |
| | | | | | 21 | <u><0.02</u> | |
| | | | | | 28 | 0.04 | |
| S 604.95 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 14 | 0.24 | |
| | | | | | 21 | <u>0.12</u> | |
| | | | | | 28 | 0.17 | |
| S 321.95 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.15 | 14 | 0.49 | |
| | | | | | 21 | <u>0.56</u> | |
| 1007 | 500 4.66 | | 0.55 | 0.05 | 28 | 0.65 | 77.0402 |
| 1995 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0 | 1.33 | BR-0483 |
| S 402.95 | | | | | 6 | 0.75 | (M. & C., 1996b) |

| Year | | Appli | cation | | PHI, | Residues, | Reference |
|-----------|------------|-------|----------|----------|---------|-----------------|------------------|
| Trial ID | Form | No. | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | days | mg/kg | |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.28 | |
| | | | | | 19 | 0.22 | |
| S 608.95 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0 | 1.93 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 1.62 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.68 | |
| S 641.95 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0 | 0.26 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 0.08 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.04 | |
| | | | | | 19 | <u>0.05</u> | |
| S 642.95 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0 | 0.06 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 0.08 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.06 | |
| | | | | | 19 | <u>0.05</u> | |
| 1995 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0 | 0.03 | BR-0491 |
| S 204.96 | | | | 0.125 | 7 | < 0.02 | (M. & C., 1997b) |
| | | | | | 12 | < 0.02 | |
| | | | | | 20 | <u><0.02</u> | |
| S 205.96 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0 | 0.04 | |
| | | | | 0.125 | 7 | 0.03 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.02 | |
| | | | | | 20 | <u><0.02</u> | |
| BRUSSELS | | | | | | | |
| SPROUTS | 500 4 55 | | 0.75 | 0.05 | | 0.20 | PD 0402 |
| 1995 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0 | 0.29 | BR-0483 |
| S 607.95 | | | | | 6 | 0.21 0.08 | (M. & C., 1996b) |
| | | | | | 14 | | |
| 1005 | 500 4 55 | 1 | 0.75 | 0.05 | 21 | 0.09 | PD 0404 |
| 1995 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0 | 0.75 | BR-0491 |
| S 642.96 | | | | | 7 | 0.37 | (M. & C., 1997b) |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.22 | |
| | | | | | 20 | 0.14 | |
| DDOCCOL I | | | | - | | | |
| BROCCOLI | 500 -/L CC | | 0.75 | 0.25 | | 2.20 | DD 0492 |
| 1995 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0 | 2.30 | BR-0483 |
| S 609.95 | | | | | 7 14 | 1.65 | (M. & C., 1996b) |
| | | | | | 20 | 0.93 0.39 | |
| 1995 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0 | 3.07 | DD 0401 |
| | 300 g/1 SC | | 0.73 | 0.23 | | | BR-0491 |
| S 641.96 | | | | | 7 | 1.29 0.40 | (M. & C., 1997b) |
| | | | | | 14 | | |
| | 1 | | | <u> </u> | 19 | 0.23 | |

B. & M. = Benet and Massenot

M. & C. = Massenot and Cohadon

Table 10. Supervised trials on peas in France.

| Year | | Appli | ication | | PHI, | Residues, mg/kg | Reference |
|------------------|------------|-------|----------|----------|------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Trial ID. | Form | No. | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | days | | |
| 1992 S 322.92 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 13 | <u>0.49</u> whole pod 0.15 peas | BR-21-0336F (Benet and Massenot, 1992) |
| | | | | | | 1.20 empty pods | |

| Year | Application | | | | PHI, | Residues, mg/kg | Reference |
|-----------|-------------|-----|----------|----------|------|------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Trial ID. | Form | No. | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | days | Residues, mg/kg | Reference |
| S 341.92 | 1 01111 | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 20 | 0.25 whole pod | BR-21-0336F |
| 5 541.72 | | | 0.73 | 0.23 | 20 | 0.07 peas | DR-21-03301 |
| | | | | | | 0.44 empty pods | |
| S 201.92 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 21 | 0.17 whole pod | BR-21-0336F |
| | | | | | | 0.03 peas | |
| | | | | | | 0.43 empty pods | |
| S 202.92 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 27 | 0.05 whole pod | BR-21-0336F |
| | | | | | | <0.02 peas | |
| | | | | | | 0.13 empty pods | |
| 1994 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 1 | 1.8 whole pod | BR-0476 |
| S 203.94 | | | | | 7 | 0.69 whole pod | (Benet and |
| | | | | | | | Massenot, 1995d) |
| | | | | | | peas 0.16 | |
| | | | | | 14 | <u>0.36</u> whole pod | |
| | | | | | 21 | peas 0.07 | |
| | | | | | 21 | 0.24 whole pod | |
| S 406.94 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 1 | peas 0.07 1.7 whole pod | BR-0476 |
| 3 400.94 | | 2 | 0.73 | 0.23 | 7 | 1.7 whole pod 1.1 whole pod | DK-04/0 |
| | | | | | , | peas 0.32 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.66 whole pod | |
| | | | | | 1-7 | peas 0.16 | |
| | | | | | 21 | 2.1 whole pod | |
| | | | | | | peas 0.48 | |
| 1994 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 1 | 2.0 whole pod | BR-0476 |
| S 407.94 | | | | | 7 | 0.96 whole pod | |
| | | | | | | peas 0.21 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.66 whole pod | |
| | | | | | | peas 0.16 | |
| | | | | | 21 | <u>1.50</u> whole pod | |
| | | | | | | peas <u>0.27</u> | |
| S 663.94 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 1 | 2.20 whole pod | BR-0476 |
| | | | | | 7 | 1.10 whole pod | |
| | | | | | | peas 0.13 | |
| | | | | | 14 | <u>0.26</u> whole pod | |
| | | | | | 21 | peas 0.07 | |
| | | | | | 21 | 0.24 whole pod peas 0.09 | |
| 1995 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0 | 1.05 whole pod | BR-0499 |
| S 104.95 | 300 g/1 SC | 2 | 0.73 | 0.23 | U | 25.1 whole plant | (Provot 1997) |
| 3 104.93 | | | | | 7 | 0.46 whole pod | (110001 1997) |
| | | | | | , | 0.46 whole pod 0.08 peas | |
| | | | | | | 0.84 empty pod | |
| | | | | | 10 | 0.46 whole pod c 0.03 | |
| | | | | | | 0.11 peas | |
| | | | | | | 0.98 empty pod | |
| | | | | | 13 | 0.28 whole pod $c \ 0.04$ | |
| | | | | | | 0.17 peas $c \ 0.03$ | |
| | | | | | | 0.60 empty pod <i>c</i> 0.09 | |
| | | | | | 32 | 0.40 peas | |
| | | | | | 33 | 19.6 whole plant | |
| S 105.95 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0 | 2.04 whole pod <i>c</i> 0.14 | BR-0499 |
| | | | | | | 23.5 whole plant | |
| | | | | | | c 0.02 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 0.77 whole pod | |
| | | | | | | 0.19 peas | |
| | | | | | 11 | 1.94 empty pod | |
| | | | | | 11 | 0.64 whole pod | |
| | | | | | | 0.20 peas | |
| | | | | | 14 | 1.90 empty pods <u>0.83</u> whole pod | |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.20 peas | |
| | | | | | | 3.23 empty pod <i>c</i> 0.02 | |
| II | 1 | I | I | I | I | 3.23 chipty pod t 0.02 | |

| Year | | Appli | cation | | PHI, | Residues, mg/kg | Reference |
|------------------|------|-------|----------|----------|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Trial ID. | Form | No. | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | days | | |
| S 106.95 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 26 27 0 | 0.29 peas 14.1 whole plant 3.80 whole pod <i>c</i> 0.03 16.5 whole plant | BR-0499 |
| | | | | | 7 | c 0.15 2.19 whole pod 0.70 peas 2.37 empty pod c 0.02 | |
| | | | | | 10 | 1.13 whole pod 0.11 peas 1.28 empty pod | |
| | | | | | 15 | 0.46 whole pod 0.07 peas 0.57 empty pods | |
| | | | | | 22 | 1.12 whole pod 0.08 peas 1.93 empty pods | |
| | | | | | 22 41 | 0.20 peas 0.63 peas 10.3 whole plant | |
| 4007 | | | | | | c 0.19 | |
| 1995 S 661.95 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 7 | 1.93 whole pod 23.0 whole plant 1.02 whole pod 0.08 peas | BR-0499 |
| | | | | | 10 | 2.63 empty pods c 0.02 0.60 whole pod 0.09 peas c 0.02 1.47 empty pods | |
| | | | | l I | 27 | 0.60 whole pod 0.08 peas 2.11 empty pods 0.19 peas | |
| | | | | | | 16.5 whole plant <i>c</i> 0.11 | |

Table 11: Residues in whole pea plants (leguminous animal feed), France, 1995.

| | | Appli | cation | | PHI, | Residues, mg/kg | Reference |
|----------|------------|-------|----------|----------|------|-----------------|---------------|
| Trial ID | Form | No. | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | days | | |
| S 104.95 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0 | 25.1 | BR-0499 |
| | | | | | 33 | 19.6 | (Provot 1997) |
| S 105.95 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0 | 23.5 | BR-0499 |
| | | | | | 27 | 14.1 | |
| S 106.95 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0 | 16.5 c 0.15 | BR-0499 |
| | | | | | 41 | 10.3 c 0.19 | |
| S 661.95 | 500 g/l SC | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0 | 23.0 | BR-0499 |
| | | | | | 27 | 16.5 c 0.11 | |

FATE OF RESIDUES IN STORAGE AND PROCESSING

In storage

After 117 days storage at 0°C and 90% relative humidity, procymidone residues in the pulp and peel of kiwifruit were 55% to 70% of the levels found immediately after post-harvest dip treatment at rates ranging from 3.25 to 25 g ai/1001 (Gandolfi and Collina, 1989). The residues in the peel ranged

from <0.01 to 21.62 mg/kg and in the pulp <0.01 to 0.15 mg/kg. A linear relation was observed between application rate and residues in peel.

The residues in the pulp and peel of kiwifruit after dip treatment at 6.25 g ai/100 l are shown in Table 12. This rate is close to GAP for post-harvest treatments in Italy. After 117 days of cold storage (0°C, 90% r.h.), the residues in the peel decreased slowly to 50-60% of their initial levels, and those in the pulp to 25%. Ratios of average residues in the peel to those in the pulp ranged from 0.004 to 0.01.

Table 12. Residues in kiwifruit peel and pulp after a post-harvest dip treatment at 6.25 g ai/100 l.

| Storage period, days | Residues in peel, mg/kg | Residues in pulp, mg/kg | Mean ratio, pulp:peel |
|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| 0 | 7.76, 7.94, 8.13 | 0.08, 0.08 | 0.01 |
| 30 | 7.08, 7.17, 7.27 | 0.06, 0.06 | 0.008 |
| 57 | 4.29, 4.89, 5.50 | 0.04, 0.04 | 0.008 |
| 91 | 4.40, 4.90, 5.40 | 0.04, 0.04 | 0.008 |
| 117 | 4.37, 4.47, 4.57 | 0.02, 0.02 | 0.004 |

Residues in kiwifruit treated with a single foliar application ranged from 67% to 78% of the levels found at harvest after cold storage for 98 to 107 days (Maini and Boni, 1985). The interval from treatment to harvest ranged from 5 to 28 days. The maximum decline of 33% of the procymidone residues is comparable to that observed from a post-harvest dip. Additional data from post-harvest dip treatments at rates of 50 and 100 g ai/100 l showed no decrease after 101 days of storage, but details of the storage conditions were not reported.

Residues in the edible portion of food commodities

Residues in the edible portion of the commodity were reported for kiwifruit and peas, where residues were determined in kiwifruit pulp, peas and empty pods.

In two trials in Italy the residues in kiwifruit pulp were approximately 2.5 and 3.3% of the levels found in the whole commodity, after dip treatments at 50 and 100 g ai/100 l solution (Table 7). After dip treatments at 3.25 to 25 g ai/100 l solution and storage up to 117 days, residues in the pulp ranged from 0.02 to 0.15 mg/kg, approximately 4-8% of those found in the whole commodity.

The residues in whole pea pods 13 to 15 days after treatment were 0.26 to 0.83 mg/kg and in peas 0.07 to 0.20 mg/kg. Overall, peas contained approximately 13-30% of the residues found in the whole pod.

RESIDUES IN FOOD IN COMMERCE OR AT CONSUMPTION

National monitoring data were reported by The Netherlands (Table 13).

Table 13. Monitoring data for procymidone in The Netherlands (1994-1996).

| Commodity | Samples analysed | Samples without residues (LOD = 0.02 mg/kg) | Samples with residues <mrl< th=""><th>Samples with residues >MRL</th><th>Mean, mg/kg¹</th><th>MRL, mg/kg</th></mrl<> | Samples with residues >MRL | Mean, mg/kg ¹ | MRL, mg/kg |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| CITRUS FRUIT Grapefruit Tangerines | 327 632 | 321 632 | | 6 | <0.02 <0.02 | 0.02* |

| Commodity | Samples analysed | Samples without residues (LOD = 0.02 mg/kg) | Samples with residues <mrl< th=""><th>Samples with residues >MRL</th><th>Mean, mg/kg¹</th><th>MRL, mg/kg</th></mrl<> | Samples with residues >MRL | Mean, mg/kg ¹ | MRL, mg/kg |
|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Oranges | 982 | 965 | | 17 | <0.02 | |
| STONE FRUIT | 702 | 703 | | 17 | ₹0.02 | 0.02* |
| Apricots | 91 | 90 | | 1 | < 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Peaches | 283 | 283 | | 1 | <0.02 | |
| Nectarines | 247 | 247 | | | <0.02 | |
| Plums | 467 | 463 | 2 | 2 | <0.02 | |
| BERRIES AND | 407 | +03 | 2 | 2 | ₹0.02 | |
| SMALL FRUIT | | | | | | |
| Grapes | 765 | 653 | 112 | | 0.23 | 5 |
| Strawberries | 2743 | 2650 | 93 | | 0.14 | 5 |
| Raspberries | 269 | 269 | 73 | | <0.02 | 10 |
| Currants (red, black, | 481 | 479 | 2 | | < 0.02 | 0.02* |
| white) | 401 | 177 | - | | ₹0.02 | 0.02 |
| TROPICAL FRUIT | | | | | | |
| Kiwi | 260 | 231 | 23 | 6 | 0.40 | 5 |
| Mangoes | 217 | 217 | 23 | J | <0.02 | 0.02* |
| Passion fruit | 50 | 49 | | 1 | <0.02 | 0.02* |
| ROOT AND TUBER | 50 | ., | | * | \0.0 <i>L</i> | 0.02 |
| VEGETABLES | | | | | | |
| Carrots | 500 | 490 | 1 | 10 | < 0.02 | 0.02* |
| Scorzonera (black | 23 | 22 | 1 | 10 | <0.02 | 0.02* |
| salsify) | 23 | | | 1 | <0.02 | 0.02 |
| Potatoes | 417 | 415 | 1 | 1 | < 0.02 | 0.02* |
| BULB | 417 | 413 | 1 | 1 | <0.02 | 0.02 |
| VEGETABLES | | | | | | |
| Onions (incl. Pearl, | 112 | 110 | 2 | | < 0.02 | 0.2 |
| cocktail onions) | 112 | 110 | 2 | | <0.02 | 0.2 |
| Onions (small) | 110 | 109 | | 1 | < 0.02 | 0.2 |
| FRUITING | 110 | 109 | | 1 | <0.02 | 0.2 |
| VEGETABLES | | | | | | |
| Tomatoes | 1242 | 1137 | 105 | | 0.02 | 2 |
| | 1655 | 1556 | 99 | | 0.02 | $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| Peppers Aubergines | 176 | 174 | 2 | | <0.02 | $\frac{2}{2}$ |
| | | | 35 | | | |
| Cucumbers | 1089 | 1054 242 | | | <0.02 | 1 |
| Courgettes Melons | 257 455 | 447 | 15 8 | | <0.02 <0.02 | 1 1 |
| BRASSICA | 433 | 447 | 0 | | <0.02 | 1 |
| | | | | | | |
| VEGETABLES | 270 | 270 | | | 40.00 | 0.02* |
| Cauliflower | 378 | 378 | | | <0.02 | |
| Brussels sprouts | 197 352 | 197 350 | | 2 | <0.02 <0.02 | 0.02* 0.02* |
| Chinese cabbage | 332 | 330 | | ۷ | <0.02 | 0.02** |
| LEAFY | | | | | | |
| VEGETABLES AND | | | | | | |
| FRESH HERBS | 2024 | 2600 | 120 | 5 | 0.02 | 5 |
| Lettuce | 3834 | 3699 | 130 | 5 | 0.03 | 5 |
| Iceberg lettuce | 535 | 488 | 46 | 1 | <0.02 | 1 |
| Endive | 1297 | 1265 | 31 | 1 | <0.02 | 5 |
| Spinach | 532 | 531 | | 1 | <0.02 | 0.02* |
| Witloof | 549 | 548 | | 1 | <0.02 | 0.02* |
| Other leafy vegetables | 230 | 229 | | 1 | <0.02 | 0.02* |
| Parsley Other banks | 390 | 389 | | 1 | <0.02 | 0.02* |
| Other herbs | 224 | 223 | | 1 | <0.02 | 0.02* |
| LEGUME | | | | | | |
| VEGETABLES | 600 | 602 | 7 | | -0.02 | |
| Beans, fresh (with | 690 | 683 | 7 | | < 0.02 | 2 |
| pod) | | | | | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Peas, fresh | 66 | 65 | 1 | | < 0.02 | 0.02* |
| STEM | | | | | | |
| VEGETABLES | | | | | _ | |
| Celery | 300 | 299 | | 1 | < 0.02 | 0.02* |
| Leek | 459 | 458 | | 1 | < 0.02 | 0.02* |

| Commodity | Samples analysed | Samples without residues (LOD = 0.02 mg/kg) | Samples with residues <mrl< th=""><th>Samples with residues >MRL</th><th>Mean, mg/kg¹</th><th>MRL, mg/kg</th></mrl<> | Samples with residues >MRL | Mean, mg/kg ¹ | MRL, mg/kg |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Other | 375 | 371 | | 4 | < 0.02 | 0.02* |
| FUNGI | | | | | | |
| Cultivated | 460 | 459 | | 1 | < 0.02 | 0.02* |
| Mushrooms | | | | | | |
| Other ARABLE | 759 | 752 | | 7 | < 0.02 | 0.02* |
| PRODUCTS | | | | | | |

^{*} Lower limit of determination.

NATIONAL MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS

The following national MRLs, mainly in EU countries, were reported.

| sCountry | MRL, mg/kg | Commodity |
|-----------------|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Belgium | 5 | kiwifruit |
| EU | 0.02 | broccoli, Brussels sprouts, cabbage (head), cauliflower, peas |
| | 5 | kiwifruit |
| France | 2 | stone fruit |
| | 5 | kiwifruit |
| Germany | 0.02 | other foods of plant origin |
| | 0.05 | tree nuts, remaining oilseeds |
| | 0.1 | hops, tea, tealike products |
| | 0.2 | knoblauch, onions, shallots |
| | 1 | cucurbits with edible and inedible peel, peas with pods (fresh), sunflower seeds |
| | | with pods, rape seed, soya beans |
| Germany | 2 | beans with pods (fresh), solanaceae |
| | 5 | grapes, kiwifruit, lettuce (and similar), strawberries |
| | 10 | raspberries |
| Italy | 1.5 | peaches, pears |
| Poland | 0.02 | cereal grains, citrus fruits, potato, root and tuber vegetables (except potato) |
| | 0.05 | eggs, meat and meat products, milks, milk products |
| | 0.1 | tea |
| | 0.2 | onion, bulb |
| | 1 | cucumber, fruits (except as otherwise listed) |
| | 2 | legume vegetables, tomato |
| | 5 | berries and other small fruit, leafy vegetables, stalk and stem vegetables |
| Spain | 0.02 | brassica vegetables, pears, peas without pods, stone fruit |
| | 2 | peas with pods |
| | 5 | kiwifruit |
| The Netherlands | *0.02 | other food commodities |
| | *0.05 | eggs, meat, milk, nuts, other oil seeds, |
| | *0.1 | hops, tea |
| | 0.2 | garlic, onions, shallots, |
| | 1 | cucurbits with edible peel, cucurbits with inedible peel, rape seed, soya beans, |
| | | sunflower seeds with cups |
| | 2 | beans (pods), solanaceae, |
| | 5 | kiwifruit, lettuce and similar, strawberries (except wild), table and wine grapes |
| | 10 | raspberries |

APPRAISAL

Residue aspects of procymidone were reviewed by the JMPR in 1981, 1989, 1990 and 1993. At the 27th session of the CCPR (1995), draft MRLs for apples, currants (black, red, white), egg plant, kiwifruit, melons (except watermelon), potatoes and rice (polished and husked) were recommended for deletion. The Committee noted that residue data for peaches, plums, kiwifruit, peas and brassica

¹ For samples without residues (<LOD), half the LOD is taken for the calculation of the mean.

vegetables would be made available for evaluation in 1998. In addition to these commodities, data on pears were also submitted. Information on analytical methods, stability of residues in stored analytical samples and current GAP in numerous countries was provided.

Validated methods for the determination of procymidone in pears, peaches, plums, cabbage and peas were provided. The limit of determination in all these crops was 0.02 mg/kg and validated recoveries ranged from 70 to 110%. The methods are almost identical to the method reviewed in the 1981 monograph. The Netherlands government provided a multi-residue method for the determination of procymidone in fruits and vegetables. The limits of determination ranged from 0.01 to 0.1 mg/kg, with recoveries above 80%.

The storage stability of procymidone in strawberries, homogenised cherries, lettuce and haricot beans was reported. The Meeting concluded that procymidone residues were stable under frozen storage conditions for the duration of the 12 month studies in strawberries, lettuce and homogenised haricot beans, but some degradation was observed in homogenised cherry samples.

Supervised residue trials

<u>Pears</u>. GAP from Italy was reported, where registered use patterns indicate a maximum rate of 0.075 kg ai/hl using 25 SC, 50 WP or 75 WDG formulations. Procymidone may be applied from the end of flowering with repeated applications at intervals of 7 to 10 days depending on disease indications. A 14-day pre-harvest interval is recommended. In the reported trials 5 sprays were applied with a minimum re-treatment interval of 14 days. In two trials finite procymidone residues were found in untreated control samples; the result found in one of these was included in the estimation of the MRL and STMR as the residue in the control sample was comparable to the limit of quantification in the study.

The residues reflecting GAP ranged from 0.16 to 0.62 mg/kg 14 days after the fifth application. The residues used in the estimation of the STMR were in rank order 0.16, 0.35, 0.43, 0.43, 0.45, 0.58 and 0.62 mg/kg.

The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of 1 mg/kg and an STMR of 0.43 mg/kg for procymidone in pears on the basis of GAP in Italy.

<u>Peaches</u>. Data from supervised trials in France and Italy were reported. Application rates were 0.075-0.11 kg ai/hl, with a maximum of 3 applications in the Italian trials. Registered labels in Italy allow a maximum of five applications. at appearance of flowering buds, when 25% of flowers have opened, at full flowering and at a lower rate at 4-5 and 2-3 weeks before harvest. In the French trials, two applications were made at the maximum rate of 0.75 kg ai/ha.

The residues resulting from treatments according to GAP in France and Italy ranged from 0.19 to 1.40 mg/kg 8-14 days after treatment. The residues in rank order were 0.19, 0.39, 0.44, 0.68, 0.72, 1.30, 1.33 and 1.40 mg/kg.

The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of 2 mg/kg and an STMR of 0.70 mg/kg on the basis of GAP in France and Italy.

<u>Plums</u>. In France GAP allows a maximum rate of 0.75 kg ai/ha, with no indication of application timing or maximum number of sprays; a pre-harvest interval of 8 days is recommended. In Italy, 2–3 applications from the appearance of flowering buds to full flowering are recommended at rates from 1.1 to 1.8 or 1.5 to 2 kg ai/ha. A pre-harvest interval of 21 days is indicated.

Trials according to GAP were carried out in France and Italy. The residues in the French trials ranged from 0.19 to 1.20 mg/kg 7 or 8 days after 2 foliar applications at 0.75 kg ai/ha and in the 3 Italian trials were 0.74, 1.38 and 1.50 mg/kg 21 days after 3 sprays at 1.8 kg ai/ha.

The residues in rank order were 0.19, 0.31, 0.48, 0.52, 0.59, 0.60, 0.61, $\underline{0.74}$, 0.77, 0.86, 0.90, 1.06, 1.20, 1.38 and 1.50 mg/kg. The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of 2 mg/kg and an STMR of 0.74 mg/kg for plums.

<u>Kiwifruit</u>. GAP was reported for Italy and France. The maximum label rate in France is 0.75 kg ai/ha; the labels did not indicate application timings or a maximum number of sprays. Italian labels for the 25 SC formulation indicate a maximum of 5 consecutive foliar applications at a maximum rate of 0.1 kg ai/hl, including a spray 14 days before harvest as a storage protection measure. For the 50 WP and 50 WDG formulations, 2–3 sprays are recommended followed by a post-harvest dip. A pre-harvest interval of 14 days and post-harvest storage period of 60 days are recommended.

Pre-harvest trials were reported from France and Italy, and post-harvest from Italy. The pre-harvest trials did not reflect GAP for foliar application in Italy or France. In some of them, single applications were made at various intervals before harvest and the residues were monitored for prolonged storage periods. Details of the storage conditions were not given, and analytical recoveries were not adequately reported. The post-harvest trials were in accordance with GAP and details of storage conditions were provided, but no analytical recoveries were reported. The data from post-harvest treatment and storage trials indicate that residues can decrease by as much as 50% of their initial levels, but without details of the storage conditions the results could not be evaluated.

On the basis of the minimal data provided, the Meeting could not estimate a maximum residue level in kiwifruit. Further information such as details of post-harvest storage conditions and analytical recoveries would be needed.

<u>Cabbages</u>. Trials on cabbages, cauliflowers, broccoli and Brussels sprouts were carried out in France, but GAP was reported only for cabbages. The application rate for cabbages in France is 0.75 kg ai/ha with a pre-harvest interval of 21 days; the maximum number of applications is not indicated on any product labels.

Procymidone residues in cabbages after two sprays at varying intervals ranged from <0.02 to 1.30 mg/kg 20 or 21 days after treatment. The results reflecting GAP were <0.02 (2), 0.03 (2), 0.17, 0.26, 0.30, 0.32, 0.43, 0.44 and 1.40 mg/kg.

The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of 2 mg/kg and an STMR of 0.26 mg/kg for head cabbages.

The trials on Brussels sprouts, broccoli and cauliflower could not be evaluated in the absence of appropriate registered labels.

<u>Peas</u>. Data were reported from supervised trials reflecting GAP in France, where a maximum of 2 sprays are permitted at 0.75 kg ai/ha, with a re-treatment interval of 20–21 days and a pre-harvest interval of 14 days. Residues were determined in whole plants with pods, whole pods, peas and empty pods. Re-treatment intervals were typically 14 to 19 days. The highest levels of procymidone were found in whole plants with pods, with residues of 16.5–25.1 and 10.3–19.6 mg/kg at early and late harvests respectively. Residues in empty pods were 0.6 to 3.23 mg/kg 13 to 15 days after treatment. The residues in peas ranged from 0.03 to 0.48 mg/kg. It was noted that higher residues were found in peas harvested mechanically than in those which were hand-picked.

The residues in whole pods 13 to 15 days after treatment were in the range 0.26–0.83 mg/kg. In trials where the residue was higher after 21 days than after the GAP PHI the higher value was used in the estimation of the STMR. The residues at 13-21 days ranged from 0.26 to 2.1 mg/kg in whole pods and from 0.03 to 0.48 mg/kg in peas.

The residues reflecting GAP in the whole pods in rank order were 0.26, 0.28, 0.36, 0.49, 0.60, 0.83, 1.12, 1.50 and 2.1 mg/kg, and those in the shelled peas were 0.03, 0.07 (4), 0.08, 0.15, 0.17, 0.20, 0.27 and 0.48 mg/kg. The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of 3 mg/kg and an STMR of 0.60 mg/kg for garden pea (young pods) and a maximum residue level of 1 mg/kg and an STMR of 0.08 mg/kg for garden pea (shelled).

The Meeting did not consider that the data on whole pea plants were adequate for estimating a maximum residue level for a leguminous animal feed commodity as appropriate GAP had not been reported.

RECOMMENDATIONS

On the basis of the data from supervised trials the Meeting estimated the maximum levels and STMR levels listed below.

The maximum residue levels are recommended for use as MRLS

Definition of the residue for compliance with MRLs and for the estimation of dietary intake: procymidone.

| Commodity | | MRL, mg/kg | | STMR |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|------------|---------|-------|
| CCN | Name | new | current | mg/kg |
| VB 0041 | Cabbages, Head | 2 | _ | 0.26 |
| VP 0529 | Garden pea, shelled (succulent seeds) | 1 | _ | 0.08 |
| VP 0528 | Garden pea (young pods) | 3 | _ | 0.60 |
| FS 0247 | Peach | 2 | _ | 0.70 |
| FP 0230 | Pear | 1 | _ | 0.43 |
| FS 0014 | Plums (including Prunes) | 2 | _ | 0.74 |

FURTHER WORK OR INFORMATION

Desirable

Details of post-harvest storage conditions and analytical recoveries in relation to the reported supervised residue trials on kiwifruit.

DIETARY RISK ASSESSMENT

STMRs have been estimated for procymidone in six commodities. These have been used in estimating the dietary intake together with MRLs for 12 commodities. The International Estimated Daily Intakes for the five GEMS/Food regional diets were in the range 1 to 12% of the ADI. The Meeting concluded that the intake of residues of procymidone resulting from its uses that have been considered by the JMPR is unlikely to present a public health concern.

REFERENCES

- Ambrus, A., Buys, M., Miyamoto, J., Otto, S. and Smart, N.A. 1991. Analysis Of Residues Of Dicarboximide Fungicides In Food, *Pure & Appl. Chem.*, <u>63</u>, No. 5, 747-762.
- Benet, F. and Massenot, F., 1992. Recherche De Residus De Procymidone Dans Des Petit Pois, Numero D'Etude 92-S002-2, Decembre 1992. Unpublished.
- Benet, F. and Massenot, F., 1994a. Recherche De Residus De Procymidone Dans Des Peches, Numero D'Etude: 93-S008, 19 Mai 1994. Unpublished.
- Benet, F. and Massenot, F. 1994b. Recherche De Residus De Procymidone Dans Des Choux Pommes Et Choux Fleurs, Numero D'Etude 93-S009, 3 Juin 1994. Unpublished.
- Benet, F. and Massenot, F. 1994c. Etude Des Residus De Procymidone Dans Des Choux Pommes Et Choux-Fleurs, F. Numero D'Etude 94-S002, October 1994. Unpublished.
- Benet, F. and Massenot, F. 1995a. Recherche De Residus De Procymidone Dans Des Peches, Numero D'Etude: 94-S010, October 1995. Unpublished.
- Benet, F. and Massenot, F. 1995b. Etude De Residus De Procymidone Dans Des Prunes Et Pruneaux; Benet, F. and Flechel, B. Recherche De Residus De Procymidone Dans Des Prunes Et Des Pruneaux Numero D'Etude 94-S 014, November 1995. Unpublished.
- Benet, F. and Massenot, F. 1995c. Procymidone: Residues In Head Cabbages And Cauliflowers, Study Number 95 S 002, October 1995. Unpublished.
- Benet, F. and Massenot, F. 1995d. Procymidone: Residues In Peas, Study Number 94 S 009, October 1995. Unpublished.
- Gandolfi, V. and Collina, A. 1989. Procymidone Residues In Kiwifruit After Post-Harvest Application, Report Number 11 CER/RES (N. 157-7/90), Residue Trial Number 6041/89. Unpublished.
- Gillis, N.A. 1995a. Procymidone: Determination of stability of residues in lettuce. BR-51-0463. Unpublished.
- Gillis, N.A. 1995b. Procymidone: Determination of stability of residues in haricot beans. BR-51-0464. Unpublished.
- Halasz-Laky, V. 1992. Final report on determination of procymidone storage stability in strawberry samples. Study Number 91/096-314AN; BR-21-0283. Unpublished.
- Kadenczki, L. 1993. Determination of storage stability of procymidone residues in sour cherry. Study plan number 92-H-CH-002-STOR; BR-41-0470. Unpublished.
- Maini, P. and Boni, R. 1985. Procymidone Residues In Kiwifruit Following Different Application Times.

Persistence In Cold Storage, Report Number 79 (9/85) RES. Unpublished.

- Massenot, F. and Cohadon, P. 1996a. Procymidone: Residues In Plums, Study Number 95-S 006, September 1996. Unpublished.
- Massenot, F. and Cohadon, P. 1996b. Procymidone: Residues In Head Cabbages, Cauliflowers, Broccolis And Brussels Sprouts, Study Number 95-S005, September 1996. Unpublished.
- Massenot, F. and Cohadon, P. 1997a. Procymidone: Residues In Plums, Study Number 96-S002, September 1997. Unpublished.
- Massenot, F. and Cohadon, P. 1997b. Procymidone: Residues In Head Cabbages, Cauliflowers, Broccolis And Brussels Sprouts, Study Number 96-S001, March 1997. Unpublished.
- Massenot, F. and Culoto, B. 1987. Recherche De Residus De Procymidone Dans Des Kiwis Et Des Framboises, Mai 1987. Unpublished.
- Netherlands. 1996. Multi-Residue Methods, part I, Multi-residue Method 1, Pesticides amenable to gas chromatography, p. 1-12 and 14-22 and their Annex A p.7, Annex B p.6, Annex C p.6 and Annex D p.9 Analytical Methods for Pesticide Residues in Foodstuffs, 6th edition (1996), Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport, Rijswijk, The Netherlands.
- Provot, G. 1998. Determination Of Procymidone Residue In Peas (Decline Curve Study), G. Provot, Laboratory Study Number 12933 ADR, Study Completion Date-June 1998.
- Provot, G. 1996a. Method Validation For The Quantification Of Procymidone In Pears, Report Number BA-0071, Laboratory Study Number 13538 ADR, Study Completion Date 4 January 1996. Unpublished.
- Provot, G. 1996b. Method Validation For The Quantification Of Procymidone In Peaches, Report Number BA-0072, Laboratory Study Number 13584 ADR, Study Completion Date 16 January 1996. Unpublished.
- Provot, G. 1996c. Determination Of Procymidone Residue In Cabbage Species (Decline Curve Study); Analytical Report, Laboratory Study Number 13465 ADR, Study Completion Date 23 October 1996. Unpublished.
- Provot, G. 1997. Method Validation For The Quantification Of Procymidone In Plums, Report Number BA-0073, Laboratory Study Number 13585 ADR, Study Completion Date 11 June 1997. Unpublished.
- Provot, G. and Massenot, F. 1997. Determination Of Procymidone Residue In Peas (Decline Study Curve),

SOPRA Study Number 95-S004, 9 June 1997. Unpublished.

Sumitomo Chemical Company. 1997a. Magnitude of the Residue of Procymidone in Peach Raw Agricultural Commodity, Italy 1995. Reference Number BR-0488, Study No. EA950179, Study Completion date 24 June 1997. Unpublished.

Sumitomo Chemical Company. 1997b. Magnitude of the Residue of Procymidone in Plum Raw Agricultural Commodity, Italy 1995 & 1996. Reference Number BR-

0489, Study No. EA950182, Study Completion Date 24 October 1997. Unpublished.

Sumitomo Chemical Company. 1997c. Magnitude of the Residue of Procymidone in Pear Raw Agricultural Commodity, Italy 1995 & 1996. Reference Number BR-0490, Study No. EA950180, Study Completion Date 24 October 1997. Unpublished.

Sumitomo Chemical Company Limited Reference Number BA-70-0220.