CHLOROTHALONIL (081)

The first draft was prepared by Mr Christian Sieke, Federal Institute for Risk Assessment, Berlin, Germany

EXPLANATION

Chlorothalonil is a non-systemic fungicide first evaluated by JMPR in 1974 and a number of times subsequently. It was recently reviewed for toxicology by the 2009 and 2010 JMPR within the periodic review program of the CCPR. For the parent substance an ADI of 0-0.02 mg/kg bw and an ARfD of 0.6 mg/kg bw were established. In addition to the parent substance an ADI of 0-0.008 mg/kg bw and an ARfD of 0.03 mg/kg bw were established for the metabolite SDS-3701. In 2010 the JMPR also considered the toxicity of the soil metabolite R611965, however due to the lower toxicity compared to the parent compound, estimation of a separate ADI and ARfD was considered unnecessary.

The 2010 JMPR recommended the following residue definition for chlorothalonil:

Definition of the residue for compliance with MRL for plant commodities: chlorothalonil

Definition of the residue for estimation of dietary intake for plant commodities: chlorothalonil

SDS-3701 (2,5,6-trichloro-4-hydroxyisophthalonitrile), all considered separately.

Definition of the residue for compliance with MRL and for estimation of dietary intake for animal commodities: *SDS-3701* (2,5,6-trichloro-4-hydroxyisophthalonitrile).

In 2012 the JMPR evaluated additional uses for chlorothalonil in banana, chard, chicory, endive, spring onion, spinach, and peas.

The current Meeting received new information on use patterns for chlorothalonil in multiple crops supported by additional analytical methods, storage stability data and supervised field trials.

RESIDUE ANALYSIS

Analytical methods

For chlorothalonil and its metabolite SDS-3701 two additional analytical methods were provided for plant matrices.

Method GRM005.01A (Chaggar, 2006, CLTA10_269 & CLTA10_270)

Crop samples are extracted by homogenisation with acetone; 5M sulphuric acid solution (95:5 v/v) and then centrifuged. For chlorothalonil determination, aliquots were diluted with water followed by solid phase extraction (SPE) clean-up. Chlorothalonil was analysed by gas chromatography with mass selective detection (GC-MSD). For the determination of R182281, aliquots were diluted with acetonitrile:water and quantified by high performance liquid chromatography with triple-quadrupole mass spectrometric detection (LC-MS/MS). Target markers are m/z: $266 \rightarrow 264$ and m/z: $266 \rightarrow 268$ for chlorothalonil and m/z: $245 \rightarrow 182$ and m/z: $245 \rightarrow 175$ for SDS-3701.

Table 1 Recovery data for method GRM005.01A measuring chlorothalonil and SDS-3701 plant matrices

Matrix	Fortification	n	Recovery	Recovery,	RSD	Analyte, reference
	level (mg/kg)		range (%)	mean (%)	(%)	
Apple	0.01	5	92-98	95	2	Chlorothalonil, Chaggar, 2006,
	0.1	5	75-81	78	4	CLTA10_269 & CLTA10_270,
Peach	0.01	5	103-109	105	2	m/z: 266 → 264
	0.1	5	91-111	100	8	
Grape	0.01	5	83-94	88	6	
	0.1	5	96-103	100	3	

Matrix	Fortification	n	Recovery	Recovery,	RSD	Analyte, reference
Wittin	level (mg/kg)	11	range (%)	mean (%)	(%)	7 maryto, reference
Strawberry	0.01	5	88-100	93	5	
ř	0.1	5	91-106	99	6	7
Orange, skin	0.01	5	86-95	92	4	7
	0.1	5	83-91	88	4	
Orange, flesh	0.01	5	72-92	85	9	
	0.1	5	92-98	94	3	
Olive	0.01	5	77-85	81	4	
	0.1	5	76-80	78	2	
Banana, skin	0.01	5	92-97	95	2	
	0.1	5	96-105	101	3	
Banana, flesh	0.01	5	99-103	101	1	
	0.1	5	99-110	105	4	
Potato, tuber	0.01	5	66-77	72	6	
	0.1	5	92-101	96	4	_
Carrot	0.01	5	97-104	100	3	_
0 :	0.1	5	90-104	99	5	
Onion	0.01	5	94-100	96	3	4
Calibration	0.1	5	84-105	96	8	\dashv
Cabbage	0.01	5	90-96	94	2	\dashv
C1:fl			84-96			_
Cauliflower	0.01	5	103-114 97-107	108 101	4	-
Leek	0.01	5	79-99	89	9	-
Leek	0.01	5	88-97	93	4	-
Pea, fresh seed	0.01	5	80-102	92	9	_
i ca, iicsii secu	0.01	5	77-91	86	6	-
Pea, dry seed	0.01	5	90-102	96	4	-
rea, ary seed	0.1	5	99-107	104	3	=
French bean	0.01	5	69-87	79	11	=
11011011 00011	0.1	5	77-87	82	4	
Tomato	0.01	5	77-82	79	3	7
	0.1	5	84-86	85	1	
Melon, flesh	0.01	5	90-124	100	14	7
	0.1	5	85-92	86	8	7
Cereal, grain	0.01	5	79-94	86	8	7
	0.1	4	102-109	106	2	
Cereal, straw	0.01	5	85-94	90	4	
	0.1	5	93-97	95	2	
Cereal, forage	0.01	5	95-104	101	4	
	0.1	5	93-103	98	4	
Potato, foliage	0.01	5	88-110	95	9	
	0.1	5	81-99	91	8	
Peanut, nutmeat	0.01	5	84-92	88	4	_
	0.1	5	85-91	89	3	
Melon, flesh	0.01	5	91-113	100	9	_
	0.1	5	87-100	92	6	
Wheat, grain	0.01	5	98-108	105	5	SDS-3701, Chaggar, 2006,
***	0.1	5	95-109	100	7	CLTA10_269 & CLTA10_270,
Wheat, straw	0.01	5	84-96	87	10	$m/z: 245 \rightarrow 182$
T 1	0.1	5	87-102	95	7	\dashv
Leek	0.01	5	85-120	91	19	-
C-l-l	0.1	5	76-95	88	8	-
Cabbage	0.01	5	101-114	108	5	\dashv
Olive	0.1	5	97-109	104 94	5	\dashv
Olive	0.01	5	82-104	95	11	\dashv
	0.1	5	93-99 94-108	103	5	\dashv
Orongos		13				-
Oranges	0.01	-	Q7 104			
	0.1	5	87-104	96	7	SDS 3701 Chaggar 2006
Oranges Wheat, grain	0.1 0.01	5	94-115	105	8	SDS-3701, Chaggar, 2006,
	0.1					SDS-3701, Chaggar, 2006, CLTA10_269 & CLTA10_270, m/z: 245 → 175

Matrix	Fortification level (mg/kg)	n	Recovery range (%)	Recovery, mean (%)	RSD (%)	Analyte, reference
Leek	0.01	5	76-96	90	10	
	0.1	5	78-94	87	8	
Cabbage	0.01	5	96-119	106	10	
	0.1	5	103-111	98	3	
Olive	0.01	5	84-112	101	11	
	0.1	5	95-101	98	3	
Oranges	0.01	5	96-121	105	9	
	0.1	5	98-107	102	3	

Method "Cornell Laboratory" (Thompson, 2007, CLTA10_277 & CLTA10_278)

Crop samples are ground whilst frozen, then extracted with acidified acetone. Extracts are partitioned against petroleum ether, the organic phase containing chlorothalonil and the aqueous SDS-3701. The organic phase is evaporated and the residue cleaned up on a Florisil column, eluting with dichloromethane/hexane and dichloromethane/hexane/acetonitrile. The aqueous phase is adjusted to a pH below 2 and extracted with ether. The sample is then methylated with diazomethane and cleaned up on an alumina column, eluting with dichloromethane. The organic and aqueous extracts were analysed by GC/EC to determine residues of chlorothalonil and SDS-3701 respectively.

Table 2 Recovery data for method "Cornell Laboratory" in plant matrices by GC-ECD

Matrix	Fortification	n	Recovery	Recovery,	RSD	Analyte, reference
	level (mg/kg)		range (%)	mean (%)	(%)	
Bell pepper	0.03	7	83-133	117	19	Chlorothalonil
	0.5	16	80-124	92	15	
	1	10	84-94	91	3	
	2	13	80-115	98	13	
	3	1	87	-	-	
	4	1	80	-	-	
Non-bell pepper	0.03	3	80-93	87	7	
	0.5	5	84-92	89	4	
	2	7	85-100	91	5	
Horseradish	0.02	6	70-100	81	17	
	0.2	3	75-85	80	6	
	2	3	78-84	81	4	
Rhubarb	0.02	7	90-120	103	11	
	0.2	3	80-90	85	6	
	1	3	84-86	85	1	
	5	3	100	100	0	
Bell pepper	0.03	35	61-141	98	22	SDS-3701
	0.5	4	122-140	130	7	
	2	3	130-145	138	6	
Non-bell pepper	0.03	9	63-110	84	19	
	0.5	3	70-86	80	11	
Horseradish	0.02	6	85-105	95	8	
	0.2	3	80-95	88	9	
	2.0	3	99-100	100	1	
Rhubarb	0.02	6	90-110	100	9	
	0.2	3	95-100	98	3	
	1	3	92-100	95	5	

Stability of pesticides in stored analytical samples

Plant matrices

Two additional studies on the storage stability of chlorothalonil and SDS-3701 in stored plant commodities were submitted for incurred residues and fortified residues in cranberries.

Anderson (2007, CLTA10_271)

A study was conducted to investigate the storage stability of field-incurred residues of chlorothalonil and its metabolite SDS-3701 in a wide range of crops (tomato, cucumber, whole melon, whole orange, carrot leaves, carrots, barley straw, barley grain and soya bean) when prepared without acidification or the addition of dry ice and stored deep frozen for up to 24 months.

In this study, all field treated crops were prepared by chopping large quantities of semi-frozen crop without acidification or the addition of dry ice. Untreated samples of these matrices were acidified and chopped semi-frozen without the addition of dry ice and used as control samples and procedural recoveries. Field treated and untreated barley grain and soya bean samples were stored frozen and dispensed into sample pots with no preparation; frozen barley straw was chopped into small pieces and finally prepared in a knife mill. The 0 month samples were analysed immediately after preparation and samples for the 3, 6 12 and 24 month storage intervals were stored deep frozen for the appropriate period up to 24 months.

Samples were analysed by method GRM005.01A, using LC-MS/MS.

Table 3 Recovered chlorothalonil and SDS-3701 incurred residues in stored plant commodities after storage up to 24 months (Anderson, 2007, CLTA10_271)

Interval	Chlorothalonil			SDS-3701		
(days)	Recovered residue (mg/kg)	Percent remaining (%)	Procedural recovery (%)	Recovered residue (mg/kg)	Percent remaining (%)	Procedural recovery (%)
Tomato						
0	2.7, 2.8, 3.0 (2.8)	100	89	2×0.007, 3×0.008, 2×0.009, 0.010 (0.008)	100	92
98	2.7, 3.0, 3.1 (3.0)	106	102	0.008, 0.009 (0.008)	102	90
211	1.8, 1.9, 2.1 (1.9)	69	73	0.006, 0.007, 0.007 (0.007)	83	71
385	2.5, 2.6, 2.7 (2.6)	93	90	0.008, 0.01, 0.009 (0.009)	113	108
786	2.3, 2.5, 2.5 (2.5)	88	87	0.008, 0.009, 0.01 (0.009)	110	100
Cucumber	•	•	•	•	•	•
7	1.5, 1.8, 2.3 (1.9)	100	106	2×0.002, 2×0.003, 3×0.004, 0.005 (0.004)	100	106
104	1.6, 1.6, 1.6 (1.6)	86	99	0.008, 0.010, 0.010 (0.009)	264	103
209	1.4, 1.5, 1.5 (1.5)	78	90	0.014, 0.017, 0.020 (0.017)	482	101
383	1.4, 1.4, 1.5 (1.4)	76	93	0.021, 0.016, 0.021 (0.019)	556	91
784	1.3, 1.3, 1.6 (1.4)	76	85	0.026, 0.024, 0.025 (0.025)	714	98
Melon	, , , , ,					
0	0.57, 0.65, 0.65, 0.79, 0.62 (0.66)	100	95	2×0.003, 3×0.005 (0.004)	100	86
99	0.55, 0.52, 1.02	106	97	0.004, 0.003, 0.005 (0.004)	97	103
216	0.7, 0.66, 0.71 (0.69)	104	113	0.005, 0.005, 0.006 (0.006)	140	105
378	0.71, 0.41, 0.51 (0.54)	83	93	0.005, 0.003, 0.006 (0.004)	111	103
779	0.69, 0.53, 0.8	103	96	0.009, 0.008, 0.008 (0.009)	220	106
Orange	,			1		
0	11, 8.2, 8.9, 11, 11 (10)	100	87	0.024, 0.014, 0.015, 0.028, 0.029 (0.022)	100	92
102	8.0, 8.7, 8.6 (8.4)	84	100	0.022, 0.021, 0.028 (0.024)	109	94
223	7.6, 8.1, 8.3 (8.0)	80	95	0.020, 0.019, 0.019 (0.019)	86	108
404	8.5, 8.6, 8.2 (8.4)	84	97	0.016, 0.020, 0.018 (0.018)	82	100
788	8.0, 8.5, 7.8 (8.1)	81	97	0.016, 0.017, 0.018 (0.017)	77	94
Carrot roc			•		•	
0	0.73, 0.69, 0.70, 0.71, 0.64 (0.69)	100	97	3×0.030, 2×0.033 (0.031)	100	80
97	0.67, 0.62, 0.74 (0.68)	98	91	0.048, 0.042, 0.043 (0.044)	143	104
216	0.60, 0.62, 0.57	86	99	0.050, 0.047, 0.047 (0.048)	154	95
405	0.60, 0.61, 0.60	87	94	0.059, 0.063, 0.061 (0.061)	196	102

Interval (days)	Chlorothalonil			SDS-3701					
(44,5)	Recovered residue (mg/kg)	Percent remaining (%)	Procedural recovery (%)	Recovered residue (mg/kg)	Percent remaining (%)	Procedural recovery (%)			
	(0.6)								
781	0.50, 0.52, 0.53 (0.51)	74	92	0.084, 0.076, 0.081 (0.08)	259	99			
Carrot top	S			•					
0	101, 85, 94, 92, 87 (92)	100	93	0.28, 0.24, 0.25, 0.26, 0.26 (0.26)	100	101			
92	92, 89, 87 (89)	97	101	0.45, 0.41, 0.42, 0.37, 0.42, 0.36 (0.4)	157	114			
211	79, 80, 73 (77)	84	91	0.42, 0.38, 0.38 (0.39)	153	99			
400	90, 101, 94 (95)	103	95	0.50, 0.49, 0.51 (0.5)	194	108			
784	77, 77, 73 (75)	82	100	0.60, 0.70, 0.58 (0.62)	243	105			
Barley stra		1	1		1	1			
0	25, 25, 28, 24, 26 (26)	100	101	1.1, 4×1.2 (1.2)	100	105			
104	21, 21, 20 (20)	80	100	1.3, 1.4, 1.3 (1.3)	111	98			
209	18, 18, 20 (18)	72	97	1.4, 1.5, 1.4 (1.4)	121	103			
406	19, 18, 17 (18)	70	95	1.6, 1.6, 1.7 1.6)	138	104			
790	15, 15, 16 (15)	59	95	1.9, 2.0, 2.0 (2.0)	166	102			
840	13, 14, 15 (14)	53	89	1.3, 1.0, 2.0 (1.4)	119	117			
Barley gra			0)	1.0, 1.0, 2.0 (1.1)	1117	117			
0	0.71, 0.80, 0.73, 0.74, 0.83 (0.76)	100	91	0.052, 0.053, 0.053, 0.056, 0.057 (0.054)	100	90			
92	0.82, 0.82, 0.88 (0.84)	110	83	0.066, 0.075, 0.072 (0.071)	131	94			
203	0.67, 0.80, 0.65 (0.71)	93	90	0.114, 0.124, 0.112 (0.117)	215	106			
391	0.79, 0.76, 0.77 (0.77)	101	94	0.067, 0.069, 0.068 (0.068)	125	94			
770	0.81, 0.58, 0.85 (0.74)	98	92	0.089, 0.093, 0.097 (0.093)	172	98			
Soya bean									
0	1.4, 1.4, 1.3, 1.3, 1.4 (1.4)	100	84	0.024, 0.021, 0.022, 0.036, 0.032, 0.035, 0.031, 0.032 (0.026)	100	89			
91	1.4, 1.3, 1.4 (1.4)	100	73	0.022, 0.020, 0.015 (0.019)	84	105			
202	1.5, 1.6, 1.6 (1.6)	115	85	0.026, 0.029, 0.028 (0.027)	122	110			
390	1.5, 1.4, 1.4 (1.5)	106	75	0.018, 0.024, 0.020 (0.021)	92	91			
770	1.2, 0.69, 0.84 (0.91)	68	83	0.016, 0.014, 0.015 (0.015)	65	93			
810	0.97, 1.2, 1.5	88	77	0.022, 0.022, 0.029 (0.024)	100	109			
Moon volu	ies are expressed in pa	ranthacic	1	L	1				

Mean values are expressed in parenthesis

Corley (2013, CLTA10_272)

Samples of cranberries were fortified with either chlorothalonil or the metabolite SDS-3701 at a concentration of 0.2 mg/kg and stored under the same conditions as those used for the residues trials samples, i.e. -20 °C in the dark. Samples were analysed after 295 days of storage. Analysis of the samples was performed according to the method GRM005.01A.

Table 4 Recovered residues in cranberries fortified with chlorothalonil or SDS-3701 at 0.2 mg/kg after storage for 295 days

Analyte	Storage Period	Recovered residue (%)	Mean storage stability	Procedural Recoveries
	(days)		recovery (%)	(%)
Chlorothalonil	295	55, 64, 70	63	58-64
SDS-3701		38, 38, 39	38	66-74

USE PATTERN

Chlorothalonil is a non-systemic protectant fungicide. The Meeting received numerous uses involving foliar spray applications mainly before harvest in 2010, amended by additional uses in 2015. The following table lists all additional GAPs only; however the labels provided cover a broader spectrum of uses.

Table 5 List of additional uses of chlorothalonil submitted in 2015

Crop	Country	Applicatio	n detail				
1		Indoor/	Type	kg ai/ha	Growth stage at last	No	PHI
		Outdoor	71		treatment		
Pome fruit	•	•					•
Pear	KR	Outdoor	Foliar	0.04 kg ai/hL	At infestation	4	14
Stone fruit	•	•					
Cherry	CA	Outdoor	Foliar	4.5	Shuck split (BBCH 71)	3	40
-					Shuck period (BBCH		
Peaches	CA	Outdoor	Foliar	4.5	71)	3	60
Cherry	US	Outdoor	Foliar	3.5	Shuck split (BBCH 71)	4	0
Peaches	US	Outdoor	Foliar	3.5	Shuck split (BBCH 71)	4	0
Berries and other si	mall fruit						
Cranberries	CA	Outdoor	Foliar	5.8	Late bloom	3	50
Cranberries	USA	Outdoor	Foliar	5.5	At infestation	3	50
Bulb vegetables							
Onions, dry	CA	Outdoor	Foliar	2.8	At infestation	3	7
Onions, green	CA	Outdoor	Foliar	2.8	At infestation	5	14
Onion, dry	PL	Outdoor	Foliar	1.0	At infestation	2	14
Leek	US	Outdoor	Foliar	2.5	At infestation	3	14
Onions, dry	US	Outdoor	Foliar	2.5	At infestation	7	7
Onions, green	US	Outdoor	Foliar	2.5	At infestation	3	14
Shallots	US	Outdoor	Foliar	2.5	At infestation	3	14
Fruiting vegetables	, other than o	cucurbits					
				0.2 kg ai/hL (up to 1.8			
Bell pepper	BR	Outdoor	Foliar	kg ai/ha)	At infestation	2	7
			Soil				
Mushroom	US	Indoor	drench	12.7 + 6.4	Not specified	2	7
Fruiting							
vegetables							
(except tomatoes)	US	Outdoor	Foliar	1.3	At infestation	8	3
				0.1 kg ai/hL (up to 1			
Т	DI	T., J	D-1:	kg ai/ha and	A 4 : 6 4-4:	2	
Tomato Root and tuber vege	PL	Indoor	Foliar	application)	At infestation		3
Root and tuber vego	labies	T	1		T	Т	14
							(do not
							feed to
Ginseng	CA	Outdoor	Foliar	2.4	At infestation	6	livestock)
Ginseng	US	Outdoor	Foliar	1.7	At infestation At infestation	8	14
Horseradish	US	Outdoor	Foliar	2.5	At infestation	8	14
Stalk and stem vege		Cataooi	1 Onui		120 IIII COMMON	1 0	1 * 1
Stank and Stone voge	100				After harvest, to the		
Asparagus	CA	Outdoor	Foliar	1.7	fern	3	190
· r · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-				After harvest, to the		
Asparagus	US	Outdoor	Foliar	3.4	fern	3	190
Rhubarb	US	Outdoor	Foliar	2.5	At infestation	6	30
Tree nuts	1			ı			1
Pistachios	US	Outdoor	Foliar	5.0	Full bloom (BBCH 65)	5	14

RESIDUES RESULTING FROM SUPERVISED TRIALS ON CROPS

Residue levels were reported as measured. Application rates were always reported as chlorothalonil equivalents. When residues were not detected they are shown as below the LOQ, e.g., < 0.01 mg/kg. Application rates, spray concentrations and mean residue results have generally been rounded to two

significant figures. HR and STMR values from the trials conducted according to maximum GAP have been used for the estimation of maximum residue levels. These results are underlined.

Laboratory reports included method validation including batch recoveries with spiking at residue levels similar to those occurring in samples from the supervised trials. Dates of analyses or duration of residue sample storage were also provided. Field reports provided data on the sprayers used and their calibration, plot size, residue sample size and sampling date. Although trials included control plots, no control data are recorded in the tables except where residues in control samples exceeded the LOQ. Residue data are recorded unadjusted for % recovery.

Chlorothalonil - supervised residue trials

Commodity	Indoor/Outdoor	Treatment	Countries	Table
Pear	Outdoor	Foliar	Korea	Table 6
Cherries	Outdoor	Foliar	USA	Table 7
Peaches	Outdoor	Foliar	USA	Table 8
Cranberries	Outdoor	Foliar	USA	Table 9
Onions, bulb	Outdoor	Foliar	USA	Table 10
Onions, green	Outdoor	Foliar	USA	Table 11
Peppers	Outdoor	Foliar	Brazil, USA	Table 12
Tomatoes	Indoor	Foliar	France, Germany, Spain, United Kingdom	Tomatoes Table 13
Mushroom	Indoor	Drench	USA	Table 14
Ginseng	Outdoor	Foliar	USA	Table 15
Horseradish	Outdoor	Foliar	USA	Table 16
Asparagus	Outdoor	Foliar	USA	Table 17
Rhubarb	Outdoor	Foliar	USA	Table 18
Pistachio	Outdoor	Foliar	USA	Table 19

*Pear*Table 6 Residues of chlorothalonil and SDS-3701 in pears (HPLC-UV (230nm), LOQ: 0.03 mg/kg (76–110% Recovery, n=5), storage interval: 4 months)

Location,	Applica	ation	l			Residues, m	g/kg			Report/Trial No.,
Year (variety)	Form.	no	kg	kg	BBCH	Sample	DAT	Chloro-	SDS-	Reference
			ai/ha	ai/hL				thalonil	3701	
South Korea,	SC	4	1.8	0.04	85	Fruit, after	0	0.82	Not	S-14-04-2-FOD-009-0-
Sangju						removal of	3	1.1	analysed	D, Trial 1, Park (2014,
						hilum and	7	0.77	-	CLTA10_294)
2012						core parts	14	0.59		
(Singo)						_	21	0.45		
							28	0.44		
							35	0.3		
South Korea,	SC	4	1.8	0.04	85	Fruit, after	0	0.9	Not	S-14-04-2-FOD-009-0-
Gyeongju						removal of	3	0.8	analysed	D, Trial 2, Park (2014,
						hilum and	7	0.48		CLTA10_294)
2012						core parts	14	0.45		
(Mansu)							21	0.38		
							28	0.36		
							35	0.25		
South Korea,	SC	4	1.8	0.04	85	Fruit, after	0	1.0	Not	S-14-04-2-FOD-009-0-
Yesan						removal of	3	0.85	analysed	D, Trial 3, Park (2014,
						hilum and	7	0.86		CLTA10_294)
2013						core parts	14	0.68		months

Location,	Applica				_	Residues, m	g/kg			Report/Trial No.,
Year (variety)	Form.	no	kg	kg	BBCH	Sample	DAT	Chloro-	SDS-	Reference
			ai/ha	ai/hL				thalonil	3701	
(Singo)							21	0.39		
							28	0.34		
							35	0.17		
South Korea,	SC	4	1.8	0.04	85	Fruit, after	0	1.4	Not	S-14-04-2-FOD-009-0-
Naju						removal of	3	1.0	analysed	D, Trial 4, Park (2014,
						hilum and	7	0.98		CLTA10_294)
2013						core parts	14	0.56		
(Singo)						_	21	0.28		
South Korea,	SC	4	1.8	0.04	85	Fruit, after	0	1.6	Not	S-14-04-2-FOD-009-0-
Anseong						removal of	3	1.2	analysed	D, Trial 5, Park (2014,
						hilum and	7	0.87		CLTA10_294)
2013						core parts	14	0.62		
(Singo)							21	0.41		
							28	0.34		
							35	0.1		
						Juice	14	0.15		
South Korea,	SC	4	1.8	0.04	85	Fruit, after	0	2.3	Not	S-14-04-2-FOD-009-0-
Wonju						removal of	3	1.6	analysed	D, Trial 6, Park (2014,
						hilum and	7	1.2		CLTA10_294)
2013						core parts	14	0.85		
(Singo)							21	0.49		
							28	0.35		
							35	0.22		

DAT: days after last treatment

BBCH 85: 50% of fruits show typical fully ripe colour

Cherries

Table 7 Residues of chlorothalonil and SDS-3701 in cherries (GRM005.01A, Storage interval: 13-16 months

Location,	Applica	ation	l			Residues, n	ng/kg			Report/Trial No.,
Year (variety)	Form.	no	kg	kg	BBCH	Sample	DAT	Chloro-	SDS-	Reference
			ai/ha	ai/hL				thalonil	3701	
USA, Alton (NY)	SC	3	3.5	0.37	72	Whole	39	0.038,	$2 \times < 0.01$	TK0119272-01
2013 (Montmorency)						fruit		0.042	(< 0.01)	McDonald (2014,
								(<u>0.04</u>)		CLTA10_273)
USA, Conklin (MI)	SC	3	3.5	0.37	74	Whole	40	0.22, 0.33	$2 \times < 0.01$	TK0119272-02
2013 (Montmorency)						fruit		(<u>0.28</u>)	(< 0.01)	McDonald (2014,
										CLTA10_273)
(Sams)	SC	3	3.5	0.4	74	Whole	40	0.05, 0.053	$2 \times < 0.01$	TK0119272-06
						fruit		(0.052)	(< 0.01)	McDonald (2014,
										CLTA10_273)
USA, Casnovia (MI)	SC	3	3.5	0.37	74	Whole	40	0.049,	$2 \times < 0.01$	TK0119272-03
2013 (Montmorency)						fruit		0.097	$(< 0.\underline{01})$	McDonald (2014,
								(<u>0.073</u>)		CLTA10_273)
USA, Fremont (MI)	SC	3	3.5	0.4	74	Whole	39	1.1, 1.2	$2 \times < 0.01$	TK0119272-04
2013 (Montmorency)						fruit		(<u>1.2</u>)	$(< 0.\underline{01})$	McDonald (2014,
										CLTA10_273)
USA, Hart (MI)	SC	3	3.5	0.4	74	Whole	39	0.86, 1.8	< 0.01,	TK0119272-05
2013 (Montmorency)						fruit		(<u>1.3</u>)	0.012	McDonald (2014,
									(<u>0.011</u>)	CLTA10_273)
(Hudson)	SC	3	3.5	0.4	74	Whole	39	0.24, 0.25	$2 \times < 0.01$	
						fruit		(0.24)	(< 0.01)	
USA, Perry (UT)	SC	3	3.5	0.2	75	Whole	37	0.11, 0.15	$2 \times < 0.01$	TK0119272-06
2013 (Montmorency)						fruit		(<u>0.13</u>)	$(< 0.\underline{01})$	McDonald (2014,
										CLTA10_273)
USA, Tulare (CA)	SC	3	3.5	0.14	72	Whole	40	0.11, 0.14	$2 \times < 0.01$	TK0119272-09
2013 (Brooks)						fruit		(<u>0.12</u>)	$(< 0.\underline{01})$	McDonald (2014,
										CLTA10_273)
USA, Plainview	SC	3	3.5	0.55	73	Whole	40	0.43, 0.57	$2 \times < 0.01$	TK0119272-10

Location,	Applica	ation				Residues, n	ng/kg			Report/Trial No.,
Year (variety)	Form.	no	kg	kg	ВВСН	Sample	DAT	Chloro-	SDS-	Reference
(,			ai/ha	ai/hL				thalonil	3701	
(CA)						fruit		(0.5)	(< 0. <u>01</u>)	McDonald (2014,
2013 (Rainier)										CLTA10_273)
USA, Ephrata (WA) 2013 (Bing)	SC	3	3.5	0.37	81	Whole fruit	36	0.65, 0.95 (<u>0.8</u>)	2× < 0.01 (< 0. <u>01</u>)	TK0119272-11 McDonald (2014,
										CLTA10_273)
USA, Weiser (ID) 2013 (Benton)	SC	3	3.6	0.25	75	Whole fruit	40	0.59, 0.9 (<u>0.74</u>)	0.026, 0.035	TK0119272-12 McDonald (2014,
USA, Hotchkiss	SC	5	3.5	0.4	Note a	Cherries	14	2.7, 5.1	(0.03) 2× < 0.02	CLTA10_273) 12-CO01, Jolly
(CO) 2012 (Montmorency)	SC	3	3.3	0.4	Note a	w/o stem and stone	14	(3.9)	(< 0.02)	(2014, CLTA10_274)
(20	23, 24 (24)	2× < 0.02 (< 0.02)	
						Washed	14	2.3, 2.7	2× < 0.02	
						cherries w/o stem		(2.5)	(< 0.02)	
						and stone	20	4.7, 6.5 (5.6)	2× < 0.02 (< 0.02)	
USA, Buhl (ID)	SC	5	3.5	0.36	Note a	Cherries	7	9.3, 10	2× < 0.02	12-ID06, Jolly
2012 (Montmorency)						w/o stem and stone		(9.7)	(< 0.02)	(2014, CLTA10_274)
						and stone	14	8.8, 9.3 (9.0)	2× < 0.02 (< 0.02)	CLIAI0_2/4)
							22	0.0.02	2	
							22	9.0, 9.2 (9.1)	2×<0.02 (<0.02)	
							28	4.0, 6.4 (5.2)	2× < 0.02 (< 0.02)	
						Washed	7	1.2, 1.6	$2 \times < 0.02$	
						cherries w/o stem		(1.4)	(< 0.02)	
						and stone	14	0.96, 1.3 (1.1)	2× < 0.02 (< 0.02)	
							22	1.6, 1.7	$2 \times < 0.02$	
								(1.6)	(< 0.02)	
							28	1.3, 2.0	2× < 0.02	
								(1.8)	(< 0.02)	
USA, Fennville (MI)	SC	5	3.5	0.36	Note a	Cherries	7	2.6, 3.4	$2 \times < 0.02$	13-MI05, Jolly
2013 (Montmorency) Note B						w/o stem		(3.0)	(< 0.02)	(2014, CLTA10_274)
Note b						and stone	14	1.0, 1.4	2× < 0.02	CL1A10_2/4)
							1-7	(1.2)	(< 0.02)	
									,	
							21	0.81, 0.82 (0.82)	2× < 0.02 (< 0.02)	
							28	0.73, 0.76 (0.74)	2× < 0.02 (< 0.02)	
						Washed	7	1.1, 1.2	(< 0.02) $2 \times < 0.02$	-
						cherries w/o stem	,	(1.2)	(< 0.02)	
						and stone	14	0.48, 0.52	2× < 0.02	
								(0.5)	(< 0.02)	
							21	0.3, 0.37 (0.34)	2× < 0.02 (< 0.02)	
							28	0.25, 0.27 (0.26)	2× < 0.02 (< 0.02)	

Location,	Applica	ation				Residues, m	ισ/kσ			Report/Trial No.,
Year (variety)	Form.			kg	ВВСН	Sample	DAT	Chloro-	SDS-	Reference
Total (various)	2 01111	110	ai/ha	ai/hL	22011	Sumpre	2.11	thalonil	3701	
USA, Fennville (MI)	SC	5	3.4	1.2	Note a	Cherries	14	3.7, 4.1	$2 \times < 0.02$	13-MI36, Jolly
2013 (Balaton)	SC)	J. 4	1.2	Note a	w/o stem	14	(3.9)	(< 0.02)	(2014,
Note B						and stone		(3.7)	(< 0.02)	CLTA10_274)
Note B						and stone	21	1.4, 1.6	2× < 0.02	CL1A10_2/4)
							21			
						337 1 1	1.4	(1.5)	(< 0.02)	
						Washed	14	1.5, 1.7	$2 \times < 0.02$	
						cherries		(1.6)	(< 0.02)	
						w/o stem	2.1	1011	2 0.02	
						and stone	21	1.2, 1.4	$2 \times < 0.02$	
								(1.3)	(< 0.02)	
	SC	5	3.4	0.6	Note a	Cherries	14	2.9, 3.1	$2 \times < 0.02$	13-MI37, Jolly
						w/o stem		(3.0)	(< 0.02)	(2014,
						and stone				CLTA10_274)
							21	1.4, 1.6	$2 \times < 0.02$	
								(1.5)	(< 0.02)	
						Washed	14	0.39, 0.5	$2 \times < 0.02$	
						cherries		(0.44)	(< 0.02)	
						w/o stem				
						and stone	21	0.37, 0.42	$2 \times < 0.02$	
								(0.4)	(< 0.02)	
USA, Fennville (MI)	SC	5	3.5	0.5	Note a	Cherries	13	4.2, 4.9	2× < 0.02	13-MI38, Jolly
2013 (Montmorency)			0.0	0.0	11000 0	w/o stem	10	(4.5)	(< 0.02)	(2014,
Note B						and stone		(1.5)	(< 0.02)	CLTA10_274)
110te B						una stone	20	3.0, 3.0	2× < 0.02	CE11110_2/ 1)
							20	(3.0)	(< 0.02)	
						Washed	13	2.5, 3.1	(< 0.02) $2 \times < 0.02$	-
							13			
						cherries		(2.8)	(< 0.02)	
						(0.8	20	17 17	20.02	
						L/min) w/o	20	1.7, 1.7	$2 \times < 0.02$	
						stem and		(1.7)	(< 0.02)	
						stone				
						Washed	13	2.4, 2.9	$2 \times < 0.02$	
						cherries		(2.6)	(< 0.02)	
						(1.5				
						L/min) w/o	20	1.7, 1.8	$2 \times < 0.02$	
						stem and		(1.8)	(< 0.02)	
						stone				
						Washed	13	1.8, 2.4	$2 \times < 0.02$	
						cherries		(2.1)	(< 0.02)	
						(3.2				
						L/min) w/o	20	1.7, 1.8	$2 \times < 0.02$	
						stem and		(1.8)	(< 0.02)	
						stone				
USA, Fennville (MI)	SC	5	3.5	0.27	Note a	Cherries	14	1.8, 2.1	$2 \times < 0.02$	13-MI39, Jolly
2013 (not reported)						w/o stem		(2.0)	(< 0.02)	(2014,
Note B						and stone			() = 1 /	CLTA10_274)
							21	2.8, 4.4	$2 \times < 0.02$	
							21	(3.6)	(< 0.02)	
						Washed	14	0.68, 0.81	(< 0.02) 2× < 0.02	†
						cherries	1 -	(0.74)	(< 0.02)	
						w/o stem		(0.74)	(< 0.02)	
			1				21	20.22	2× < 0.02	
						and stone	²¹	2.0, 2.2		
TICA T ' (NIX)	C.C.	-	2.5	2.1	NT.	CI :	1.4	(2.1)	(< 0.02)	12 NIX/01 T 11
USA, Lansing (NY)	SC	5	3.5	3.1	Note a	Cherries	14	0.41, 0.42	$2 \times < 0.02$	13-NY01, Jolly
2013 (not reported)						w/o stem		(0.42)	(< 0.02)	(2014,
			1			and stone				CLTA10_274)
							20	0.52, 0.61	$2 \times < 0.02$	
			1					(0.56)	(< 0.02)	GRM005.01A,
			1			Washed	14	0.028, 0.03	$2 \times < 0.02$	Storage interval:
		l			1	1	ı	(0.020)	(< 0.02)	1 6 41
						cherries		(0.029)	(< 0.02)	16 months
						cherries w/o stem		(0.029)	(< 0.02)	16 months
							20	0.029, 0.13	(< 0.02) 2× < 0.02	16 months

DAT: days after last treatment

BBCH 72-74: 1st-4th fruit has reached typical size

A: BBCH not provided, plants were in "fruiting" growth stage at last application

B: Trials conducted at the same location were considered independent when the difference in treatment

dates was at least one week

Peaches

Table 8 Residues of chlorothalonil and SDS-3701 following foliar application to peaches (GRM005.01A, Storage interval: 13 months)

1ppncu	tion				Residues, m	ig/kg			Report/Trial No.,
Form.		kg	kg	BBCH	Sample	DAT	Chloro-	SDS-	Reference
	_								
SC	3	3.5	0.37	77		60			TK0119271-01,
					fruit		(<u>0.12</u>)	(< 0. <u>01</u>)	McDonald (2014,
1.0	2	2.5	0.76	7.	****		0.12.0.14	2 0.01	CLTA10_275)
SC	3	3.5	0.56	76		62			TK0119271-02,
					fruit		(<u>0.13</u>)	(< 0. <u>01</u>)	McDonald (2014,
1.0	2	2.5	0.76	7.4	****		0.005.015	2 0.01	CLTA10_275)
SC	3	3.5	0.56	74		57			TK0119271-03,
					fruit		(<u>0.12</u>)	(< 0. <u>01</u>)	McDonald (2014,
									CLTA10_275)
SC	3	3.5	0.37	77		59			TK0119271-04,
					fruit			(< 0.01)	McDonald (2014,
									CLTA10_275)
SC	3	3.5	0.56	77		59			TK0119271-05,
					fruit		(<u>0.24</u>)	(< 0.01)	McDonald (2014,
									CLTA10_275)
SC	3	3.5	0.19	78		60			TK0119271-06,
					fruit		(<u>0.9</u>)		McDonald (2014,
									CLTA10_275)
SC	3	3.4	0.37	73		60			TK0119271-08,
					fruit			(< 0. <u>01</u>)	McDonald (2014,
							(< 0. <u>01</u>),		CLTA10_275)
SC	3	3.4	0.25	73	Whole	58	< 0.01,	$2 \times < 0.01$	TK0119271-09,
					fruit		0.01 (<u>0.01</u>)	(< 0. <u>01</u>)	McDonald (2014,
									CLTA10_275)
SC	3	3.5	0.37	72	Whole	60	< 0.01,	$2 \times < 0.01$	TK0119271-10,
					fruit			$(< 0.\underline{01})$	McDonald (2014,
							(< 0. <u>01</u>)		CLTA10_275)
SC	3	3.5	0.19	79	Whole	60	0.18, 0.18	$2 \times < 0.01$	TK0119271-11,
					fruit		(<u>0.18</u>)	$(< 0.\underline{01})$	McDonald (2014,
									CLTA10_275)
SC	3	3.5	0.37	79	Whole	58	0.2, 0.4	2× < 0.01	TK0119271-12,
					fruit		(<u>0.3</u>)	(< 0. <u>01</u>)	McDonald (2014,
								_	CLTA10_275)
SC	3	3.5	0.19	76	Whole	56	0.052,	2×<0.01	TK0119271-13,
					fruit		0.074	(< 0. <u>01</u>)	McDonald (2014,
							(<u>0.063</u>)	_	CLTA10_275)
50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5		C 3 C 3 C 3 C 3 C 3 C 3 C 3 C 3	ai/ha C 3 3.5	ai/ha ai/hL C 3 3.5 0.37 C 3 3.5 0.56 C 3 3.5 0.56 C 3 3.5 0.37 C 3 3.5 0.19 C 3 3.4 0.37 C 3 3.4 0.25 C 3 3.5 0.37 C 3 3.5 0.19 C 3 3.5 0.37 C 3 3.5 0.19 C 3 3.5 0.19	ai/ha ai/hL C 3 3.5 0.37 77 C 3 3.5 0.56 76 C 3 3.5 0.56 74 C 3 3.5 0.56 77 C 3 3.5 0.56 77 C 3 3.5 0.56 77 C 3 3.5 0.19 78 C 3 3.4 0.25 73 C 3 3.5 0.37 72 C 3 3.5 0.19 79	ai/ha ai/hL	ai/ha ai/hL	ai/ha ai/hL	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

DAT: days after last treatment

BBCH 71-79: 1st-9th fruit has reached typical size

Cranberry

Table 9 Residues of chlorothalonil and SDS-3701 following foliar application to cranberries (GRM005.01A, Storage interval: 7 months)

Location,	Application Residues, mg/kg								Report/Trial No.,	
Year (variety)	Form.	no	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hL	ВВСН	Sample	DAT	Chloro- thalonil	SDS- 3701	Reference
USA, Warehan (MA) 2012 (Howes)	SC	3	5.6	1	A	fruits	51	1.1, 1.7 (1.4)	2× < 0.02 (< 0.02)	MA01, Corley (2013, CLTA10_272)
USA, Creamridge (NJ) 2012 (Stevens)	SC	3	5.6	2.2	В	fruits	49	2.9, 3.4 (3.2)	2× < 0.02 (< 0.02)	NJ03, Corley (2013, CLTA10_272)
USA, Langlois (OR) 2012 (Stevens)	SC	3	5.6	1.5	С	fruits	52	5.4, 5.4 (5.4)	2× < 0.02 (< 0.02)	OR16, Corley (2013, CLTA10_272)
USA, Warrens (WI) 2012 (Stevens)	SC	3	5.6	1.5	A	fruits	50	2.5, 2.8 (2.6)	2× < 0.02 (< 0.02)	WI05, Corley (2013, CLTA10_272)
USA, Wisconsis Rapids (WI) 2012 (Norman LeMunyon)	SC	3	5.6	0.26	A	fruits	50	2.7, 3.7 (3.2)	2×<0.02 (< 0.02)	WI06, Corley (2013, CLTA10_272)

DAT: days after last treatment

A: fruiting
B: green fruit
C: fruiting, white-pink

Bulb onions

Table 10 Residues of chlorothalonil and SDS-3701 after foliar application to bulb onions (GRM005.01A, Storage interval: 1-11 months

Location,	Applica	ation	1			Residues, m	g/kg			Report/Trial No.,
Year (variety)	Form.	no	kg	kg	BBCH	Sample	DAT	Chloro-	SDS-	Reference
			ai/ha	ai/hL				thalonil	3701	
USA, Lyons (NY)	SC	3	2.5	0.89	48	Bulb, dry	7	0.19, 0.26	0.023,	TK0119273-01,
2013 (Bridger F1)								(<u>0.22</u>)	0.028	McDonald (2014,
									(<u>0.026</u>)	CLTA10_276)
USA,Fresno (CA)	SC	3	2.5	1.1	49	Bulb, dry	7	0.37, 0.46	$2 \times < 0.01$	TK0119273-05,
2013 (Stockton								(<u>0.4</u>)	$(< 0.\underline{01})$	McDonald (2014,
Yellow)										CLTA10_276)
USA, Portersville	SC	3	2.5	1.1	49	Bulb, dry	7	0.38, 0.42	$2 \times < 0.01$	TK0119273-06,
(CA)								(<u>0.4</u>)	$(< 0.\underline{01})$	McDonald (2014,
2013 (Walla Walla)										CLTA10_276)
USA, Payette (ID)	SC	3	2.5	1.1	49	Bulb, dry	7	0.34, 0.78	$2 \times < 0.01$	TK0119273-07,
2013 (Vaquero)								(<u>0.56</u>)	$(< 0.\underline{01})$	McDonald (2014,
										CLTA10_276)
USA, Hillsboro (OR)	SC	3	2.5	1.1	88	Bulb, dry	7	0.66, 0.69	$2 \times < 0.01$	TK0119273-08,
2013 (Bridger)								(<u>0.68</u>)	$(< 0.\underline{01})$	McDonald (2014,
										CLTA10_276)
USA,Lenexa (KS)	SC	3	2.5	1.1	48	Bulb, dry	7	0.054, 0.11	$2 \times < 0.01$	TK0119273-12,
2013 (Stuttgarter								(0.083)	$(< 0.\underline{01})$	McDonald (2014,
Yellow)										CLTA10_276)
USA, Uvalde (TX)	SC	3	2.5	1.3	49	Bulb, dry	6	0.34, 0.61	$2 \times < 0.01$	TK0119273-13,
2013 (Obsession)								(<u>0.48</u>)	$(< 0.\underline{01})$	McDonald (2014,
										CLTA10_276)
USA, Larned (KS)	SC	3	2.5	1.2	48	Bulb, dry	6	0.061,	$2 \times < 0.01$	TK0119273-14,
2013 (Candy Sweet								0.074	(< 0.01)	McDonald (2014,
Onion)								(<u>0.068</u>)		CLTA10_276)

DAT: days after last treatment

BBCH 48: Leaves bent over in 50% of plants BBCH 49: Leaves dead, bulb top dry

Green Onions

Table 11 Residues of chlorothalonil and SDS-3701 after foliar application to green onions (GRM005.01A, Storage interval: 3-9 months

Location,	Applica	ation				Residues, m	ıg/kg			Report/Trial No.,
Year (variety)	Form.	no	kg	kg	BBCH	Sample	DAT	Chloro-	SDS-	Reference
·			ai/ha	ai/hL		_		thalonil	3701	
USA, Athens (GA)	SC	5	1.5	0.65	17	Whole	14	0.37, 0.47	0.046,	TK0119273-09,
2013 (Texas Sweet)						plant		(0.42)	0.069	McDonald (2014,
									(0.058)	CLTA10_276)
USA, Portersville	SC	5	1.5	0.65	49	Whole	14	35, 44 (39)	0.052,	TK0119273-11,
(CA)						plant			0.066	McDonald (2014,
2013 (Texas Sweet)									(0.059)	CLTA10_276)
USA, Richland (LA)	SC	5	1.5	0.8	18	Whole	14	0.27, 0.31	< 0.01,	TK0119273-15,
2013 (Texas Sweet)						plant		(0.29)	0.013	McDonald (2014,
									(0.012)	CLTA10_276)

DAT: days after last treatment

BBCH 18-19: 9 or more leaves clearly visible

BBCH 49: Growth complete; length and stem diameter typical for variety reached

Peppers

Table 12 Residues of chlorothalonil and SDS-3701 after foliar application to peppers

Location,	Applic	ation				Residues,	mg/kg			Report/Trial No.,
Year (variety)	Form.			kg ai/hL	ВВСН	Sample	DAT	Chloro- thalonil	SDS- 3701	Reference
Bell peppers	1				1	1	ı			
Brazil, Uberlandia 2005 (Natali)	SC	4		0.2	85	Fruit	0 3 5 7 14	6.6 7.5 5.4 2.7 2.9	NA	M03019-JJB, Baptista (2006, CLTA10_280)
	SC	4		0.4	85	Fruit	0 3 5 7 14	15.3 13.1 10.6 9.9 5.8	NA	POPIT MET.109 & 150, Recovery: Mean=103% RSD=6% Storage interval: 12 months
Brazil, Piepade 2005 (Natalie Rogers)	SC	4		0.2	82	Fruit	0 3 5 7 14	3.0 3.8 2.8 0.64 0.74	NA	M03019-LZF, Baptista (2006, CLTA10_280)
	SC	4		0.4	82	Fruit	0 3 5 7 14	12.9 15.6 14.3 11.5 1.5	NA	_
Brazil, Sao José dos Pinhais 2005 (Magali)	SC	4		0.2	79	Fruit	0 3 5 7 14	1.6 0.17 0.12 0.12 0.15	NA	M03019-DMO, Baptista (2006, CLTA10_280)
	SC	4		0.4	79	Fruit	0 3 5 7 14	2.2 1.9 0.72 0.19 0.15	NA	
Brazil, Engenheiro Coehlo (SP) 2012 (Ikeda)	SC	3	1.8	0.2	78	Fruit	0 1 3 5 7	0.74 0.56 0.21 0.16 <u>0.16</u>	< 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01	M13003-FSB1, Matarazzo (2014, CLTA10_281)

Location,	Applica	ation	1			Residues, 1	mø/kø			Report/Trial No.,
Year (variety)	Form.	no		kg	ВВСН	Sample	DAT	Chloro-	SDS-	Reference
(ai/ha	ai/hL				thalonil	3701	
Brazil, Ponta Grossa	SC	3	1.8	0.2	76	Fruit	0	0.83	< 0.01	M13003-FSB2,
(PR)							1	0.47	< 0.01	Matarazzo (2014,
							3	0.41	< 0.01	CLTA10_281)
2012 (Magali R)							5	0.49	< 0.01	
							7	0.22	< 0. <u>01</u>	
Brazil, Planaltina	SC	3	1.8	0.2	75	Fruit	0	3.2	< 0.01	M13003-MFG,
(DF)							1	2.6	< 0.01	Matarazzo (2014,
2012 (D-1)							3 5	2.8 2.1	< 0.01 < 0.01	CLTA10_281)
2012 (Paloma)							$\frac{3}{7}$	1.9	< 0.01 < 0. <u>01</u>	
Brazil, Palmeira (PR)	SC	3	1.8	0.2	76	Fruit	0	1.0	< 0.01	M13003-RWC1,
Diuzii, i uiiiciiu (i K)	be		1.0	0.2	10	Truit	1	0.64	< 0.01	Matarazzo (2014,
2012 (Magali R)							3	0.65	< 0.01	CLTA10_281)
` ` ` ` '							5	0.57	< 0.01	/
							7	0.28	< 0. <u>01</u>	
Brazil, Lavras (MG)	SC	3	1.8	0.2	85	Fruit	0	1.6	< 0.01	M13003-RWC2,
							7	0.44	< 0. <u>01</u>	Matarazzo (2014,
2012 (Magali)										CLTA10_281)
										POPIT MET.109
										& 150, Recovery:
										Mean=96-99% RSD=3-11%
										Storage interval:
										12 months
USA, Bridgeton (NJ)	SC	8	1.3	0.21	89	Fruit	3	2.6, 3.1	2× < 0.03	97-NJ15,
obit, Bridgeton (110)			1.0	0.21		11410		(<u>2.8</u>)	(< 0. <u>03</u>)	Thompson (2007,
1997 (King Arthur									(CLTA10_277)
Hybrid)							7	2.1, 2.2	$2 \times < 0.03$	
								(2.2)	(< 0.03)	
							14	1.3, 1.4	$2 \times < 0.03$	
								(1.4)	(< 0.03)	
							29	0.56, 0.99	2× < 0.03	
							2)	(0.78)	(< 0.03)	
USA, Live Oka (FL)	SC	8	1.3	0.46	85	Fruit	3	1.7, 1.7	2× < 0.03	97-FL17,
								(<u>1.7</u>)	(< 0. <u>03</u>)	Thompson (2007,
1997 (Capistrano)									` _	CLTA10_277)
USA, Weslaco (TX)	SC	8	1.2	0.28	89	Fruit	3	2.3, 3.5	2× < 0.03	97-TX15,
								(<u>2.9</u>)	$(< 0.\underline{03})$	Thompson (2007,
1998 (Capistrano)										CLTA10_277)
USA, Charleston	SC	8	1.3	0.25	37 leaf	Fruit	3	1.3, 1.6,	2× < 0.03	97-SC13,
(SC)					stage			(<u>1.4</u>)	(< 0. <u>03</u>)	Thompson (2007,
1997 (Camelot)										CLTA10_277)
USA, Freemont (OH)	SC	8	1.3	0.19	89	Fruit	2	0.69, 0.82	2× < 0.03	97-OH12,
ODA, PICCIIOIII (OII)	150	0	1.5	0.19	107	Tuit		(<u>0.76</u>)	(< 0.03)	Thompson (2007,
1997 (King Arthur)								(0.70)	(\ 0. <u>05</u>)	CLTA10_277)
USA, Salinas (CA)	SC	8	1.3	0.23	85	Fruit	2	0.33, 0.66	2× < 0.03	97-CA45,
, ,								(<u>0.5</u>)	(< 0. <u>03</u>)	Thompson (2007,
1997 (Cal Wonder)										CLTA10_277)
Note A							6	0.18, 0.2	$2 \times < 0.03$	
								(0.19)	(< 0.03)	
							12	0.0.000	0	
							13	0.2, 0.23	$2 \times < 0.03$	
								(0.22)	(< 0.03)	
				i .	1	ĺ	1			I
							27	0.055 0.06	2~ ~ 0.02	
							27	0.055, 0.06	$2 \times < 0.03$	
USA, Salinas (CA)	SC	8	1.3	0.23	85	Fruit		(0.058)	(< 0.03)	97-CA46
USA, Salinas (CA) 1997 (Gusto)	SC	8	1.3	0.23	85	Fruit	27			97-CA46, Thompson (2007,

381

Location,	Applica	ation				Residues, r	ng/kg			Report/Trial No.,
Year (variety)	Form.	no		kg ai/hL	ВВСН	Sample	DAT	Chloro- thalonil	SDS- 3701	Reference
USA, Gainesville (FL)	SC	8	1.2	0.23	85	Fruit	3	1.6, 1.6 (<u>1.6</u>)	2× < 0.03 (< 0. <u>03</u>)	97-FL41, Thompson (2007, CLTA10_277)
1997 (Capristrano)							7	1.0, 1.4 (1.2)	2× < 0.03 (< 0.03)	C211110_2177)
							14	0.75, 0.9 (0.82)	2× < 0.03 (< 0.03)	
							28	0.2, 0.22 (0.21)	2× < 0.03 (< 0.03)	
USA, Tifton (GA) 1997 (Camelot)	SC	7	1.3	0.28	89	Fruit	2	0.62, 0.94 (0.78)	2× < 0.03 (< 0.03)	98-GA17, Thompson (2007, CLTA10_277)
1997 (Camerot)							7	0.96, 0.99, 1.2 (<u>1.0</u>)	2× < 0.03 (< 0. <u>03</u>)	C211110_2177)
							13	0.4, 0.46 (0.43)	2× < 0.03 (< 0.03)	
Non-bell peppers										
USA, Bridgeton (NJ) 1999 (Biscayne)	WG	8	1.2	0.21	89	Fruit	3	1.4, 1.8 (<u>1.6</u>)	$2 \times < 0.03$ (< 0.03)	99-NJ21, Thompson (2007, CLTA10_278)
							8	0.8, 1.2 (1.0)	2× < 0.03 (< 0.03)	
							14	0.4, 0.6 (0.5)	2× < 0.03 (< 0.03)	
USA, Gainesville (FL) 1999 (Mesilla Cayenne)	WG	8	1.3	0.35	85	Fruit	3	0.42, 0.82 (<u>0.62</u>)	2× < 0.03 (< 0. <u>03</u>)	99-FL30, Thompson (2007, CLTA10_278)
USA, Weslaco (TX)	WG	8	1.3	0.35	85	Fruit	2	1.5, 1.7	2×<0.03	99-TX17,
1999 (Sonora Anaheim)								(1.6)	(< 0. <u>03</u>)	Thompson (2007, CLTA10_278)
USA, Fremont (OH) 1999 (Milta	WG	8	1.3	5× 0.35 +	85	Fruit	2	0.62, 0.78 (<u>0.7</u>)	2× < 0.03 (< 0. <u>03</u>)	99-OH12, Thompson (2007, CLTA10_278)
Jalapeno)				3× 0.25						
USA, El Centro (CA) 1999 (Fresno)	WG	6	1.3 1.3 3.8	0.3 0.3 1.0	85	Fruit	2	0.64, 1.1 (0.87)	2×<0.03 (<0.03)	99-CA51, Thompson (2007, CLTA10_278)
(Freeing)			1.3 1.3 1.3	0.3 0.3 0.3			7	2× < 0.03 (< 0.03)	2× < 0.03 (< 0.03)	0211110_27,0)
NGA W. L. (TW)	NIG.	0			00		14	0.93, 1.1 (<u>1.0</u>)	2× < 0.03 (< 0. <u>03</u>)	00 MM20
USA, Weslcao(TX) 1999 (Veracruz)	WG	8	1.3	0.28	89	Fruit	2	0.6, 0.64 (<u>0.62</u>)	2× < 0.03 (< 0. <u>03</u>)	99-TX28, Thompson (2007, CLTA10_278)
							6	0.24, 0.26 (0.25)	2× < 0.03 (< 0.03)	
LICA Log Crosses	SC	0	1.2	0.45	05	Emit	13	0.18, 0.24 (0.21)	2× < 0.03 (< 0.03)	OS NIM Hama
USA, Las Cruces (NM)	SC	8	1.3	0.45	85	Fruit	2	0.18, 0.32 (0.25)	0.028, 0.031 (0.03)	08-NM, Homa (2011, CLTA10_279)
2008 (Big Jim)	WG	8	1.3	0.45	85	Fruit	2	0.2, 0.31 (<u>0.26</u>)	0.028, 0.03 (<u>0.029</u>)	

Matarazzo (2014, CLTA10_281): POPIT MET.109 & 150, Recovery: Mean=96-99% RSD=3-11%, Storage interval: 12

months

Baptista (2006, CLTA10_280): POPIT MET.109 & 150, Recovery: Mean=103% RSD=6%, Storage interval: 12

months

Thompson (2007, CLTA10_277): "Cornell Method", Storage interval: 2-10 months Thompson (2007, CLTA10_278): "Cornell Method", Storage interval: 24-25 months

A: Trials considered not independent, since same location and treatment date was used. Different variety

was not considered sufficiently different to justify a independent trial result

B: Trials were conducted in the same area but at significantly different dates (two week difference). These

trials are considered independent

DAT: days after last treatment

NA: not analysed

BBCH 71-79: 1st-9th fruit has reached typical size

BBCH 81-88: 10-80% of fruits show typical fully ripe colour BBCH 89: Fully ripe: fruits have typical fully ripe colour

Tomatoes

Table 13 Residues of chlorothalonil and SDS-3701 in protected cherry tomatoes following foliar spraying (GRM005.01A, Storage interval: 6 months)

Location,	Applic	ation				Residues, n	ng/kg			Report/Trial No.
Year (variety)	Form.	no	kg	kg	BBCH	Sample	DAT	Chloro-	SDS-	Reference
			ai/ha	ai/hL				thalonil	3701	
cGAP: Poland, 2×0.1	kg ai/hl	L, PF	II: 3 d							
France (North),	SC	2	1.0	0.17	87	Fruit	0	1.6	0.01	S11-00518-REG-
Dampierre en burly							1	1.8	0.02	02, North (2012,
2011 (Lucinda,							3	<u>1.6</u>	0.01	CLTA10_283)
Cherry tomato)										
France (South), Elne	SC	2	1.0	0.17	87	Fruit	0	2.8	0.02	S11-00519-REG-
2011 (Swift, Cherry							1	4.0	0.04	01, North (2012,
tomato)							3	3.1	0.04	CLTA10_284)
Germany,	SC	2	1.5	0.2	87	Fruit	3	<u>3.4</u>	0.03	S12-01287-01,
Unterriexingen			1.6							Schulz (2012,
2012 (Favorita,										CLTA10_285)
Cherry tomato)										
Germany, Heidelberg	SC	2	0.94	0.2	88	Fruit	3	0.99	0.01	S12-01287-02,
2012 (Amoah EZ,			0.96							Schulz (2012,
Cherry tomato)										CLTA10_285)
Spain, Conil de la	SC	2	1.6	0.2	82	Fruit	3	2.2	0.03	S12-01288-01,
frontera										Schulz (2013,
2012 (Lupita, Cherry										CLTA10_286)
tomato)	a a	_	1.0	0.0	0.2	.			0.07	G12 01200 02
Spain, Puerto de	SC	2	1.3	0.2	82	Fruit	3	<u>5.5</u>	0.07	S12-01288-02,
Mazarrón			1.2							Schulz (2013,
2012 (Katalina,										CLTA10_286)
Cherry tomato)	9.0	2	1.0	0.10	0.5	.	0		0.01	G11 00510 PEG
Spain, Conil de la	SC	2	1.0	0.13	85	Fruit	0	1.1	< 0.01	S11-00519-REG-
frontera							1	1.6	0.01	02, North (2012,
2011 (Lupita, Cherry							3	0.59	< 0. <u>01</u>	CLTA10_284)
tomato)	a c		1.0	0.15	7.4	.		2.2	0.01	G11 00510 DEG
United Kingdom,	SC	2	1.0	0.17	74	Fruit	0	2.3	0.01	S11-00518-REG-
Suffolk							1	1.5	0.01	01, North (2012,
2011 (Conchita,							3	1.8	0.02	CLTA10_283)
Cherry tomato)						<u> </u>			<u> </u>	

DAT: days after last treatment

BBCH 71-79: 1st-9th fruit has reached typical size

BBCH 81-88: 10-80% of fruits show typical fully ripe colour BBCH 89: Fully ripe: fruits have typical fully ripe colour

Mushrooms

Table 14 Residues of chlorothalonil and SDS-3701 in mushroom following soil drench application (Analytical method 3136-88-0138-MD-001 (see JMPR Report 2010), Storage interval: 1 month)

Location,	Applica	ation	l			Residues, m	ıg/kg			Report/Trial No.,
Year (variety)	Form.	no	kg	kg	BBCH	Sample	DAT	Chloro-	SDS-	Reference
			ai/ha	ai/hL				thalonil	3701	
cGAP: USA, 12	2.7 kg ai	i/ha -	+ 6.4 kg	g ai/ha, l	PHI: 7 d					
USA,	SC	2	12	0.24	A	mushroom	5	0.33, 0.4	0.052,	PA03, Thompson
Fleetwood			6.1	0.12				(0.36)	0.086	(1995, CLTA10_287)
(PA)									(0.069)	
1994 (Spawn:							7	0.35, 0.51	0.15,	
Lambert 900)								(0.43)	0.17	
Note D							_	0.024	(0.16)	
						mushroom	5	0.024,	0.024	
						(washed)		0.037	0.024, 0.046	
								(0.03)	(0.035)	
	SC	2	12	0.24	В	mushroom	5	0.092, 0.2	0.031,	PA04, Thompson
	SC		6.1	0.24	Ь	musmoom	3	(0.14)	0.031,	(1995, CLTA10_287)
			0.1	0.12				(0.14)	(0.032)	(1773, CLIAI0_207)
									(0.032)	
						mushroom	5	0.014,	0.038,	
						(washed)		0.022	0.027	
								(0.018)	(0.032)	
USA, Morgan	SC	2	12	0.24	С	mushroom	5	0.031, 0.11	2× < 0.01	CA98, Thompson
Hill (CA)			6.1	0.12				(0.070)	(< 0.01)	(1995, CLTA10_287)
(Crop # 4143,							7	0.03, 0.15	$2 \times < 0.01$	
Strain 2000)								(0.09)	(< 0.01)	
							13	0.033, 0.12	2× < 0.01	
								(0.076)	(< 0.01)	
						mushroom	5	0.022	2× < 0.01	
						(washed)	5	(0.012,	(< 0.01)	
						(washed)		0.012,	(< 0.01)	
	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	1			0.034)		

DAT: days after last treatment

A: "Pin to ¼ inch diameter buttons"

B: "Pin to ¾ inch diameter buttons"

C: "Pin"

D: These trials were conducted in the same room and at the same date. The use of a different mushroom bed is not considered sufficient to justify independent results

Ginseng

Table 15 Residues of chlorothalonil and SDS-3701 in ginseng following foliar application (Analytical method 3136-88-0138-MD-001 (see JMPR Report 2010), Storage interval: 19 month)

Location,	Applica	ation				Residues, m	ıg/kg			Report/Trial No.,
Year (variety)	Form.	no	kg	kg	BBCH	Sample	DAT	Chloro-	SDS-	Reference
			ai/ha	ai/hL				thalonil	3701	
USA,	SC	8	1.7	0.26	A	Root,	6	0.33, 0.37	0.26,	WI20, Corley (2007,
Marathon						washed		(0.35)	0.33 (0.3)	CLTA10_289)
County (WI)						and dried				
2004						to 10-30%				
(American						moisture				
Ginseng)						content				
Note D										
USA,	SC	8	1.7	0.26	В	Root,	7	0.55, 1.0	0.47,	WI21, Corley (2007,
Marathon						washed		(0.78)	0.75	CLTA10_289)
County (WI)						and dried			(0.61)	
2004						to 10-30%				

Location,	Applica	ation				Residues, m	ng/kg			Report/Trial No.,
Year (variety)	Form.	no	kg	kg	BBCH	Sample	DAT	Chloro-	SDS-	Reference
			ai/ha	ai/hL				thalonil	3701	
(American						moisture				
Ginseng)						content				
Note D										
USA, Marathon	SC	8	1.7	0.26	С	Root, washed	8	0.19, 0.19 (0.19)	0.17, 0.21	WI28, Corley (2007, CLTA10 289)
County (WI)						and dried		(0.19)	(0.19)	CL1A10_269)
2004						to 10-30%			(0.17)	
(American						moisture				
Ginseng)						content				
Note D										

DAT: days after last treatment
A: "Mature berries"
B: "Most berries dropped"
C: "Berries dropping"

D: Trials were conducted at the same date but farm locations differed by at least 15 miles. The Meeting

considered these trials as independent

Horseradish

Table 16 Residues of chlorothalonil and SDS-3701 in horseradish following foliar application ("Cornell Method", Storage interval: 3 months)

Location,	Applica	ation				Residues, m	ıg/kg			Report/Trial No.,
Year (variety)	Form.	no	kg	kg	BBCH	Sample	DAT	Chloro-	SDS-	Reference
			ai/ha	ai/hL				thalonil	3701	
USA, Salisbury	SC	8	2.5	1	A	Roots	13	< 0.02,	0.025,	MD02, Thompson
(MD)								0.044	0.029	(2007,
2002 (no variety								(<u>0.031</u>)	(0.027)	CLTA10_290)
reported)										
USA, Bridgeton (NJ)	SC	8	2.5	1.3	В	Roots	12	0.24, 0.26	0.22,	NJ16, Thompson
2002 (no variety								(<u>0.25</u>)	0.28	(2007,
reported)									(<u>0.25</u>)	CLTA10_290)
USA, Arlington (WI)	SC	8	2.5	1.9	В	Roots	15	0.29, 0.48	0.13,	WI04, Thompson
2002 (Big Top								(<u>0.38</u>)	0.15	(2007,
Western)									(<u>0.14</u>)	CLTA10_290)

DAT: days after last treatment

A: "Mature" B: "Vegetative"

Asparagus

Table 17 Residues of chlorothalonil and SDS-3701 in asparagus following foliar application (GRM005.01A, Storage interval: 6 months)

Location,	Applica	ation				Residues, m	ıg/kg			Report/Trial No.
Year (variety)	Form.	no	kg	kg	Stage	Sample	DAT	Chloro-	SDS-	Reference
			ai/ha	ai/hL				thalonil	3701	
USA, Comstock Park	SC	3	3.4	4.4	fern	Spear	228	$2 \times < 0.01$	$2 \times < 0.01$	TK0119274-01,
(MI)								$(< 0.\underline{01})$	(< 0. <u>01</u>)	McDonald (2014,
2013 (Jersey Giant)										CLTA10_291)
USA, Verona (WI)	SC	3	3.4	3.4	fern	Spear	231	$2 \times < 0.01$	$2 \times < 0.01$	TK0119274-02,
2013 (Jersey								(< 0. <u>01</u>)	(< 0. <u>01</u>)	McDonald (2014,
Supreme)										CLTA10_291)
Canada, Paris	SC	3	3.4	4.2	fern	Spear	230	$2 \times < 0.01$	$2 \times < 0.01$	TK0119274-03,
(Ontario)								$(< 0.\underline{01})$	(< 0. <u>01</u>)	McDonald (2014,
2013 (Mellennium)										CLTA10_291)
USA, Stockton (CA)	SC	3	3.4	4.4	fern	Spear	120	$2 \times < 0.01$	$2 \times < 0.01$	TK0119274-04,
2013 (Colossal)								$(< 0.\underline{01})$	(< 0. <u>01</u>)	McDonald (2014,
										CLTA10_291)
USA, Delta (CA)	SC	3	3.4	4.4	fern	Spear	120	$2 \times < 0.01$	$2 \times < 0.01$	TK0119274-05,
2013 (Pacific Purple)								(< 0.01)	(< 0. <u>01</u>)	McDonald (2014,

Location,	Applica	ation	Į.			Residues, m	ıg/kg			Report/Trial No.
Year (variety)	Form.	no	kg	kg	Stage	Sample	DAT	Chloro-	SDS-	Reference
			ai/ha	ai/hL				thalonil	3701	
										CLTA10_291)
USA, Porterville	SC	3	3.4	3.4	fern	Spear	121	$2 \times < 0.01$	$2 \times < 0.01$	TK0119274-06,
(CA)								(< 0. <u>01</u>)	(< 0. <u>01</u>)	McDonald (2014,
2013 (UC157)										CLTA10_291)
USA, King City	SC	3	3.4	3.0	Fern	Spear	121	$2 \times < 0.01$	$2 \times < 0.01$	TK0119274-07,
(CA)								(< 0. <u>01</u>)	(< 0. <u>01</u>)	McDonald (2014,
2013 (UC157)										CLTA10_291)
USA, New Plymouth	SC	3	3.4	3.4	fern	Spear	195	$2 \times < 0.01$	$2 \times < 0.01$	TK0119274-08,
(ID)								(< 0. <u>01</u>)	(< 0. <u>01</u>)	McDonald (2014,
2013 (Apollo)										CLTA10_291

DAT: days after last treatment

Rhubarb

Table 18 Residues of chlorothalonil and SDS-3701 in rhubarb following foliar treatment ("Cornell Method", Storage interval: 6 months)

Location,	Applica	ation				Residues, m	ıg/kg			Report/Trial No.
Year (variety)	Form.	no	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hL	ВВСН	Sample	DAT	Chloro- thalonil	SDS- 3701	Reference
USA, Clarksville (MI) 2002 (Reeds Early Superb)	SC	6	2.6	1.7	A	Petiole (stalk)	31	0.09, 1.0 (<u>0.55</u>)	2× < 0.02 (< 0. <u>02</u>)	MI13, Thompson (2007, CLTA10_292)
USA, Aurora (OR) 2002 (Crimson Red) Note C	SC	6	2.6	0.99	В	Petiole (stalk)	34	1.6, 3.9 (<u>2.8</u>)	2× < 0.02 (< 0. <u>02</u>)	OR14, Thompson (2007, CLTA10_292)
USA, Aurora (OR) 2002 (Crimson) Note C	SC	6	2.7	2.0	В	Petiole (stalk)	28	0.17, 0.58 (0.38)	2× < 0.02 (< 0.02)	OR15, Thompson (2007, CLTA10_292)
	SC	6	2.7	2.0	В	Petiole (stalk)	27	0.33, 0.45 (<u>0.39</u>)	2× < 0.02 (< 0. <u>02</u>)	OR13, Thompson (2007, CLTA10_292)

DAT: days after last treatment

A: "blooming"

B: "8-10 inch petioles"

C: Trial OR14 was conducted at sufficiently different treatment dates and location to justify independent results.

Trials OR13 and OR15 were treated at the same location and same date.

Pistachio nuts

Table 19 Residues of chlorothalonil and SDS-3701 in pistachios following foliar application (Analytical method 3136-88-0138-MD-001 (see JMPR Report 2010), Storage interval: 17 month)

Location,	Applica	ation	l			Residues,	mg/kg			Report/Trial No.
Year (variety)	Form.	no	kg	kg	BBCH	Sample	DAT	Chloro-	SDS-	Reference
			ai/ha	ai/hL				thalonil	3701	
USA, Chico (CA)	SC	5	5.0	1.3	NR	Nutmeat	14	0.08, 0.14	$2 \times < 0.01$	CA68, Thompson
1992 (Kerman)								(<u>0.11</u>)	$(< 0.\underline{01})$	(1996,
										CLTA10_293)
USA, Madera (CA)	SC	5	5.0	-	A	Nutmeat	14	0.073,	$2 \times < 0.01$	CA69, Thompson
1992 (Peter, Kerman)								0.091	$(< 0.\underline{01})$	(1996,
								(<u>0.082</u>)		CLTA10_293)
USA, Bowie (AZ)	SC	5	5.0	-	A	Nutmeat	14	$2 \times < 0.01$	$2 \times < 0.01$	AZ01, Thompson
2002 (Kerman)								(< 0. <u>01</u>)	$(< 0.\underline{01})$	(1996,
										CLTA10_293)

DAT: days after last treatment

NS: not reported A: full size nuts

APPRAISAL

Chlorothalonil is a non-systemic fungicide first evaluated by JMPR in 1974 and a number of times subsequently. It was recently reviewed for toxicology by the 2009 and 2010 JMPR within the periodic review program of the CCPR. For the parent substance an ADI of 0–0.02 mg/kg bw and an ARfD of 0.6 mg/kg bw were established. In addition to the parent substance, an ADI of 0–0.008 mg/kg bw and an ARfD of 0.03 mg/kg bw were established for the metabolite SDS-3701.

The 2010 JMPR recommended the following residue definition for chlorothalonil:

Definition of the residue for compliance with MRL for plant commodities: chlorothalonil

Definition of the residue for estimation of dietary intake for plant commodities: chlorothalonil

SDS-3701 (2,5,6-trichloro-4-hydroxyisophthalonitrile), all considered separately.

Definition of the residue for compliance with MRL and for estimation of dietary intake for animal commodities: *SDS-3701* (2,5,6-trichloro-4-hydroxyisophthalonitrile).

In 2012 the JMPR evaluated additional uses for chlorothalonil in banana, chard, chicory, endive, spring onion, spinach, and peas.

The current Meeting received new information on use patterns for chlorothalonil in multiple crops supported by additional analytical methods, storage stability data and supervised field trials.

Methods of analysis

The Meeting received two analytical methods for chlorothalonil not previously evaluated by the Meeting. Both methods were used in the supervised field trials newly submitted and are not intended for monitoring purposes.

Method GRM005.01A is applicable to plant matrices and used homogenisation with acetone and 5M sulphuric acid solution (95:5 v/v). Following solid phase extraction (SPE) cleanup, chlorothalonil was analysed by gas chromatography with mass selective detection (GC-MSD). The metabolite R182281 was quantified by high performance liquid chromatography with triple-quadrupole mass spectrometric detection. The method was successfully validated (70–110% recovery, RSD < 20%) for both analytes for matrices with high water, high acid, high oil and high starch content.

The second method ("Cornell-Method") is an in-house method using acidified acetone and partitioning against petroleum ether. The organic phase contains chlorothalonil and the aqueous, its metabolite SDS-3701. The sample is then methylated with diazomethane and cleaned up on an alumina column, eluting with dichloromethane. The organic and aqueous extracts were analysed by GC/ECD to determine residues of chlorothalonil and SDS-3701 respectively. The method was successfully validated (70–110% recovery, RSD < 20%) for both analytes for matrices with high water and high acid content.

Stability of residues in stored analytical samples

The Meeting received two additional studies on the storage stability to support the newly submitted supervised field trials not previously evaluated.

In the first study chlorothalonil and its metabolite SDS-3701 were proven to be stable for at least 24 months in stored samples of tomato, cucumber, melon, oranges, carrots (roots and tops), barley (grain and straw) and soya bean seeds.

In a second study cranberries fortified with chlorothalonil and SDS-3701 were analysed after 10 months. The stored triplicate samples indicated a significant decline with average recoveries of 63% of chlorothalonil and 38% of SDS-3701 remaining. The Meeting concluded that both analytes may degrade in cranberries. Since no intermediate samples were analysed, no acceptable storage interval above one month could be identified by the Meeting.

Results of supervised residue trials on crops

The Meeting received supervised trial data for applications of chlorothalonil on various fruit and vegetable crops conducted in Brazil, Europe, Rep. of Korea and the USA.

Residues of SDS-3701 may potentially be taken up by succeeding crops after application of chlorothalonil in the previous year. For annual crops considered by this year, JMPR only estimated median and highest residue values following primary treatment, as these are intermediate values in the establishment of the final STMR and HR values which need to take into account the additional contribution by soil uptake; refer to the rotational crop section.

Pear

Chlorothalonil is registered in Rep. of Korea on pears at a rate of 4×0.04 kg ai/hL with a PHI of 14 days. Six supervised field trials from Rep. of Korea matching this GAP were submitted.

In the trials submitted samples were prepared for analysis by removal of the stem and the core, which were discarded before homogenisation. The Meeting concluded the sample preparation did not comply with the Codex Sampling Guideline, and would have had a significant influence on the residue concentration, making these trials unsuitable for the estimation of maximum residue levels or STMR and HR values.

Cherries

Chlorothalonil is registered in Canada on cherries with a rate of 3×4.5 kg ai/ha with a PHI of 40 days. Supervised field trials from the USA matching this GAP were submitted.

In cherries following treatment with chlorothalonil according to Canadian GAP, residues were (n=10): 0.04, 0.073, 0.12, 0.13, 0.28, 0.5, 0.74, 0.8, 1.2, 1.3 mg/kg.

The corresponding residues of SDS-3701 were (n=10): < 0.01(8), 0.011, 0.03 mg/kg

The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level, an STMR and an HR value of 3 mg/kg, 0.39 mg/kg and 1.8 mg/kg (based on a single highest field sample) for chlorothalonil in cherries, respectively.

For dietary intake purposes the Meeting also estimated an STMR of 0.01 mg/kg and an HR of 0.035 mg/kg (based on a single highest field sample) for SDS-3701 in cherries.

Peaches and nectarines (subgroup)

Chlorothalonil is registered in Canada on peaches and nectarins with a rate of 3×4.5 kg ai/ha with a PHI of 60 days. Supervised field trials from the USA matching the GAP were submitted.

In peaches following treatment with chlorothalonil according to Canadian GAP residues were (n=12): < 0.01, < 0.01, 0.01, 0.014, 0.063, 0.12, 0.12, 0.13, 0.18, 0.24, 0.3, 0.9 mg/kg.

The corresponding residues of SDS-3701 were (n=12): < 0.01(11), 0.01 mg/kg

The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level, an STMR and an HR value of 1.5 mg/kg, 0.12 mg/kg and 1.1 mg/kg (based on a single highest field sample) for chlorothalonil in peaches, respectively.

For dietary intake purposes the Meeting also estimated an STMR of 0.01 mg/kg and an HR of 0.011 mg/kg (based on a single highest field sample) for SDS-3701 in peaches (including nectarines and apricots).

Cranberry

Chlorothalonil is registered in Canada on cranberries with a rate of 3×5.5 kg ai/ha with a PHI of 50 days.

Supervised field trials from the USA matching the GAP were submitted; however supportive storage stability data indicated a substantial loss of residues after the seven month storage interval of the field samples. The Meeting concluded that the data could not be used for assessment.

Bulb onions

Chlorothalonil is registered in the USA on dry onions and shallots with a rate of 3×2.5 kg ai/ha with a PHI of 7 days. Supervised field trials from the USA matching this GAP were submitted.

In bulb onions following treatment with chlorothalonil according to USA GAP residues were (n=8): 0.068, 0.083, 0.22, 0.4, 0.4, 0.48, 0.56, 0.68 mg/kg.

The corresponding residues of SDS-3701 were (n=8): < 0.01(7), 0.026 mg/kg.

The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level, and STMR and an HR value of 1.5 mg/kg, 0.4 mg/kg and 0.69 mg/kg (based on a single highest field sample) for chlorothalonil in bulb onions, respectively.

For dietary intake purposes the Meeting also estimated a STMR of 0.01 mg/kg and an HR of 0.028 mg/kg (based on a single highest field sample) for SDS-3701 in bulb onions.

The Meeting agreed to extrapolate the results to shallots.

Green onions

Chlorothalonil is registered in the USA on green onions with a rate of 3×2.5 kg ai/ha with a PHI of 14 days.

Three supervised field trials from the USA matching the GAP application rate and PHI were submitted. However, one of these trials was conducted at a late growth stage of BBCH 49 which showed substantially higher residues (39 mg/kg) than the two other trials treated at BBCH 17–18 (0.29 mg/kg and 0.42 mg/kg).

The Meeting concluded that the total dataset available is inadequate and no recommendation on green onions can be made.

Peppers

Chlorothalonil is registered in Brazil on pepper with a rate of 2×0.2 kg ai/hL with a PHI of 7 days. Supervised field trials from Brazil matching this GAP were submitted to the 2010 Meeting and supported by additional trials this year.

Residues of chlorothalonil in <u>peppers</u> following treatment according to Brazilian GAP based on trials submitted to the 2010 JMPR were (n=4): 1.1, 1.5, 1.7 and 4.4 mg/kg.

Additional trials submitted this year on <u>peppers</u> gave chlorothalonil residues of (n=8): 0.15, 0.16, 0.22, 0.28, 0.44, 0.74, 1.9, 2.9 mg/kg

Total residues (2010+2015 data) in <u>peppers</u> following treatment according to Brazilian GAP were (n=12): 0.15, 0.16, 0.22, 0.28, 0.44, 0.74, 1.1, 1.5, 1.7, 1.9, 2.9 and 4.4 mg/kg.

The corresponding residues of SDS-3701 (when analysed) were (n=5): < 0.01(5) mg/kg.

In the USA chlorothalonil is registered on peppers with a rate of 8×1.3 kg ai/ha with a PHI of 3 days. Supervised field trials from the USA matching this GAP were submitted.

In <u>bell peppers</u> following treatment with chlorothalonil according to USA GAP residues were (n=8): 0.5, 0.76, 1.0, <u>1.4</u>, <u>1.6</u>, 1.7, 2.8, 2.9 mg/kg. The corresponding residues of SDS-3701 were (n=8): < 0.03(8) mg/kg.

In <u>non-bell peppers</u> following treatment with chlorothalonil according to USA GAP residues were (n=7): 0.26, 0.62, 0.62, 0.7, 1.0, 1.6, 1.6 mg/kg. The corresponding residues of SDS-3701 were (n=7): 0.029, < 0.03(6) mg/kg.

The Meeting recognized that chlorothalonil residues in peppers treated according to Brazilian GAP resulted in the highest residue and estimated a maximum residue level of 7 mg/kg based on this dataset for peppers.

For dietary intake purposes of chlorothalonil the Meeting concluded that the STMR value for bell peppers treated according to US GAP was higher than the STMR according to the Brazilian GAP. Since both GAPs were supported by a sufficient number of trial data, the higher STMR of 1.5 mg/kg was selected for dietary intake purposes. An HR of 4.4 mg/kg was estimated based on the Brazilian GAP.

Residues of SDS-3701 were generally below the LOQs of 0.01~mg/kg to 0.03~mg/kg except for one finite residue at 0.029~mg/kg. The Meeting estimated both an STMR and HR of 0.03~mg/kg for SDS-3701 in peppers based on the more critical US dataset.

For the extrapolation from sweet pepper to dried chili pepper a default processing factor of 10 was taken into account. The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of 70 mg/kg for chlorothalonil in dried chili pepper as wells as a STMR of 15 mg/kg and a HR of 44 mg/kg. For SDS-3701 both a STMR and HR of 0.3 mg/kg were estimated.

Tomato

Chlorothalonil is registered in Poland on tomatoes under protected conditions with a rate of 2×0.1 kg ai/hL (up to 1 kg ai/ha per application) with a PHI of 3 days. Protected supervised field trials on cherry tomatoes from various European countries approximating the GAP but with higher spray concentrations of 0.13 kg ai/hL to 0.2 kg ai/hL were submitted.

Compared to the Polish GAP all supervised field trials involved treatment at exaggerated spray concentrations, however the rates applied approximate the GAP maximum of 1 kg ai/ha and application. Since in the field trials submitted tomatoes were cultivated as high crops, the Meeting concluded that the spray concentration is the most sensitive parameter in terms of residues and decided to use the proportionality approach based on the spray concentration.

In protected tomatoes following treatment with 0.13 kg ai/hL (scaling factor 0.77) chlorothalonil residues were 0.45 mg/kg (0.77 \times 0.59 mg/kg) and SDS-3701 residues were < 0.01 mg/kg (unscaled).

In protected tomatoes following treatment with 0.17 kg ai/hL (scaling factor 0.59) chlorothalonil residues were 0.94, 1.1, 1.8 mg/kg (0.59×1.6 , 1.8 and 3.1 mg/kg) and SDS-3701 residues were 0.006, 0.012, 0.024 mg/kg (0.59×0.01 , 0.02 and 0.04 mg/kg).

In protected tomatoes following treatment with 0.2 kg ai/hL (scaling factor 0.5) chlorothalonil residues were 0.5, 1.1, 1.7, 2.8 mg/kg (0.5×0.99 , 2.2, 3.4 and 5.5 mg/kg) and SDS-3701 residues were 0.005, 0.015, 0.015, 0.035 mg/kg (0.5×0.01 , 0.03, 0.03 and 0.07 mg/kg).

Total scaled residues of chlorothalonil were (n=8): 0.45, 0.5, 0.94, $\underline{1.1}$, $\underline{1.1}$, 1.7, 1.8 and 2.8 mg/kg

Total scaled residues of SDS-3701 were (n=8): 0.005, 0.006, < 0.01, 0.012, 0.015, 0.015, 0.024 and 0.035 mg/kg

The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level, an STMR and an HR value of 5 mg/kg, 1.1 mg/kg and 2.8 mg/kg for chlorothalonil in tomatoes, respectively.

For dietary intake purposes the Meeting also estimated a STMR of 0.0135 mg/kg and an HR of 0.035 mg/kg for SDS-3701 in tomatoes.

Mushroom

Chlorothalonil is registered in the USA on mushrooms for soil drench application with a rate of 12.7 kg ai/ha as a first treatment followed by 6.4 kg ai/ha as second treatment with a PHI of 7 days. Supervised field trials from the USA matching the GAP were submitted.

In mushrooms following treatment with chlorothalonil according to USA GAP residues were (n=2): 0.09, 0.43 mg/kg.

The corresponding residues of SDS-3701 were (n=2): < 0.01, 0.16 mg/kg.

The Meeting concluded that the data submitted for mushroom was insufficient upon which to make recommendations.

Ginseng

Chlorothalonil is registered in the USA on ginseng with a rate of 8×1.7 kg ai/ha with a PHI of 14 days. Supervised field trials from the USA matching the GAP were submitted.

In ginseng roots (washed and dried) following treatment with chlorothalonil according to USA GAP residues were (n=3): 0.19, 0.35, 0.78 mg/kg.

The corresponding residues of SDS-3701 were (n=3): 0.19, 0.3, 0.61 mg/kg.

The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level, and STMR and an HR value of 2 mg/kg, 0.35 mg/kg and 1.0 mg/kg (based on a single highest field sample) for chlorothalonil in dried ginseng (including red ginseng), respectively.

For dietary intake purposes the Meeting also estimated an STMR of 0.3 mg/kg and an HR of 0.61 mg/kg (based on a single highest field sample) for SDS-3701 in dried ginseng (including red ginseng).

Horseradish

Chlorothalonil is registered in the USA on horseradish with a rate of 8×2.5 kg ai/ha with a PHI of 14 days. Supervised field trials from the USA matching this GAP were submitted.

In horseradish roots following treatment with chlorothalonil according to USA GAP residues were (n=3): 0.031, 0.25, 0.38 mg/kg.

The corresponding residues of SDS-3701 were (n=3): 0.027, 0.14, 0.25 mg/kg.

The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level, an STMR and an HR value of 1 mg/kg, 0.25 mg/kg and 0.48 mg/kg (based on a single highest field sample) for chlorothalonil in horseradish, respectively.

For dietary intake purposes the Meeting also estimated an STMR of 0.14 mg/kg and an HR of 0.28 mg/kg (based on a single highest field sample) for SDS-3701 in horseradish.

Root and tuber vegetables, except horseradish

In 2010 the Meeting recommended a maximum residue level for root and tuber vegetables of 0.3 mg/kg. Due to the higher maximum residue level of 1 mg/kg for chlorothalonil in horseradish, the Meeting decided to exclude horseradish from the group maximum residue level.

The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of 0.3 mg/kg for root and tuber vegetables, except horseradish. In 2010 the Meeting decided to accommodate for the uncertainty involved with the residue data by basing the dietary risk assessment (chronic and acute) on the maximum residue level also.

The Meeting withdraws its previous recommendation of 0.3 mg/kg for chlorothalonil in root and tuber vegetables.

Asparagus

Chlorothalonil is registered in the USA on asparagus with a rate of 3×3.4 kg ai/ha applied after harvest to the fern with a PHI of 190 days. Supervised field trials from the USA matching the GAP were submitted.

In asparagus spears following treatment with chlorothalonil according to USA GAP residues were (n=8): < 0.01(8) mg/kg.

The corresponding residues of SDS-3701 were (n=8): < 0.01(8) mg/kg.

The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of $0.01*\ mg/kg$ for chlorothalonil in asparagus.

For dietary intake purposes the Meeting concluded that the application of chlorothalonil after harvest to the fern does not lead to significant residues in asparagus spears in the next growing season. Therefore the STMR and HR for both chlorothalonil and SDS-3701 were estimated at 0 mg/kg, although no trials conducted at exaggerated rates were submitted.

Rhubarb

Chlorothalonil is registered in the USA on rhubarb with a rate of 6×2.5 kg ai/ha with a PHI of 30 days. Supervised field trials from the USA matching this GAP were submitted.

In rhubarb stalks following treatment with chlorothalonil according to USA GAP residues were (n=3): 0.39, 0.55, 2.8 mg/kg.

The corresponding residues of SDS-3701 were (n=3): < 0.02(3) mg/kg.

The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level, an STMR and an HR value of 7 mg/kg, 0.55 mg/kg and 3.9 mg/kg (based on a single highest field sample) for chlorothalonil in rhubarb, respectively.

For dietary intake purposes the Meeting also estimated an STMR and an HR of $0.02 \ \mathrm{mg/kg}$ for SDS-3701 in rhubarb.

Pistachio nut

Chlorothalonil is registered in the USA on pistachio nuts with a rate of 5×5.0 kg ai/ha and a PHI of 14 days. Supervised field trials from the USA matching the GAP were submitted.

In pistachio nutmeat following treatment with chlorothalonil according to USA GAP residues were (n=3): < 0.01, 0.082, 0.11 mg/kg.

The corresponding residues of SDS-3701 were (n=3): < 0.01(3) mg/kg.

The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level, an STMR and an HR value of 0.3 mg/kg, 0.082 mg/kg and 0.14 mg/kg (based on a single highest field sample) for chlorothalonil in pistachios, respectively.

For dietary intake purposes the Meeting also estimated an STMR and an HR of $0.01~\rm{mg/kg}$ for SDS-3701 in pistachios.

Residues in rotational crops

Following application of chlorothalonil the major metabolite SDS-3701 has a potential to be taken up by succeeding crops. However, the additional uses evaluated by this JMPR either involve treatment of permanent crops not being subject to crop rotation or their total seasonal rate is lower than the maximum seasonal rate of 20 kg ai/ha used in 2010 to estimate residues in rotational crops. The

Meeting concluded that the assessment of SDS-3701 residues in rotational crops, as evaluated in 2010, also covers uses evaluated this year.

For primary uses evaluated this year on crops being subject to crop rotation, the Meeting decided to take into account the soil uptake of SDS-370 on crop residues. STMR and HR values following direct treatment were added to the corresponding values estimated for rotational crops to address the potential use of chlorothalonil in previous years.

For <u>bulb onions and shallots</u> STMR and HR values of 0.01 mg/kg and 0.028 mg/kg were identified after treatment according to current GAP. In 2010 STMR and HR values of 0.01 mg/kg and 0.04 mg/kg were estimated for SDS-3701 in rotated bulb vegetables. For the dietary intake assessment the Meeting estimated overall STMR and HR values of 0.02 mg/kg and 0.068 mg/kg, respectively.

In peppers grown as rotational crop (see fruiting vegetables) the 2010 Meeting estimated an STMR and an HR value of 0.015 mg/kg and 0.06 mg/kg for SDS-3701, respectively. The current Meeting evaluated uses on peppers (STMR and HR: 0.03 mg/kg each) and estimated overall STMR and HR-values of 0.045 mg/kg and 0.09 mg/kg. For dried chili pepper a default processing factor of 10 was applied, resulting in STMR and HR values of 0.45 mg/kg and 0.9 mg/kg for SDS-3701.

Uses on tomatoes evaluated by the current Meeting are only related to protected conditions and therefore not subject to crop rotation.

In <u>horseradish</u> grown as rotational crop (see root and tuber vegetables) the 2010 Meeting estimated an STMR and an HR value of 0.02~mg/kg and 0.03~mg/kg for SDS-3701, respectively. The current Meeting evaluated uses on horseradish (STMR: 0.14~mg/kg and HR: 0.28~mg/kg) and estimated overall STMR and HR-values of 0.16~mg/kg and 0.31~mg/kg for SDS-3701.

Asparagus, cherries, ginseng, peaches, pistachio nuts and protected tomatoes were not considered relevant in terms of residues derived from crop rotation.

Fate of residues during processing

In 2010 the JMPR Meeting concluded that under simulated processing conditions in sterile buffer solutions at pH 4 chlorothalonil residues were relatively stable with > 90% remaining at 90 °C and 73% remaining at 120 °C. At pH 5 and 100 °C a moderate degradation was observed in all samples, leaving approx. 80% of the initial chlorothalonil. The major degradation product was identified as SDS-3701 at 19% of the initial residue. For pH6 at 120 °C chlorothalonil is quickly degraded. Under addition of a sodium acetate buffer, less than 4% of the chlorothalonil remained. Main degradation products were SDS-3701 (48%) and an artefact (28%, identified as 4-amino-2,5,6-trichloroisophthalonitrile). In sterile water without buffer approx. 26% of the chlorothalonil remained. SDS-3701 constituted 59% of the residue while there was no formation of the artefact.

In contrast to the results obtained from sterile buffer solutions processing studies involving background matrices gave much lower levels of SDS-3701 after processing. The 2010 Meeting decided that besides the normal processing factors for chlorothalonil, yield factors for the conversion of parent substance into SDS-3701 should be taken into account for the estimation of the dietary intake. Depending on the outcome, the higher processing factor of SDS-3701 \rightarrow SDS-3701 or chlorothalonil \rightarrow SDS-3701 is used for the overall estimation of STMR-P and HR-P for SDS-3701 in the processed product.

Raw commodity	Processed commodity	Chlorothalonil → Chloro	Chlorothalonil → Chlorothalonil (see 2010 JMPR Evaluation)					
(chlorothalonil)		Individual processing factors	Mean or best estimate processing factor	STMR-P in mg/kg				
Tomato	Juice, raw	0.3	See juice, bottled	See juice, bottled				
(STMR: 1.1 mg/kg)	Juice, bottled	0.09, <u>0.1</u> , <u>0.11</u> , 0.13	0.1	0.11				
	Puree	< 0. <u>01</u> (4)	0.01	0.011				
	Canned/preserve	< 0. <u>01</u> (4)	0.01	0.011				

pomace, wet	0.01, 0.32	See pomace, dry	See pomace, dry
pomace, dry	1.0, <u>1.3</u> , <u>1.3</u> , 1.4	1.3	1.4

Raw commodity	Processed	SDS-3701 → SDS-3701 (see 2010 JMPR Evaluation	1)
	commodity			
(SDS-3701)		Individual processing	Mean or best estimate	STMR-P in mg/kg
		factors	processing factor	
Tomato	Juice, raw	0.5	See juice, bottled	See juice, bottled
(STMR: 0.0135 mg/kg)	Juice, bottled	1.0, <u>1.0</u> , <u>1.0</u> , 1.5	1.0	0.0135
	Puree	5.5, <u>6</u> , <u>6.5</u> , 7.5	6.3	0.085
	Canned/preserve	1.0, <u>2.0</u> , <u>2.0</u> , 2.5	2.0	0.027
	pomace, wet	1.5, 19	See pomace, dry	See pomace, dry
	pomace, dry	13, <u>14</u> , <u>16</u> , 18	15	0.2

Raw commodity	Processed commodity	Chlorothalonil → SDS-37	701 (see 2010 JMPR Evalu	ation)
(chlorothalonil)	, and	Individual processing factors	Mean or best estimate processing factor	STMR-P in mg/kg
Tomato	Juice, raw	0.001	See juice, bottled	See juice, bottled
(STMR: 1.1 mg/kg)	Juice, bottled	0.002(4)	0.002	0.0022
	Puree	<u>0.01</u> (3), 0.02	0.01	0.011
	Canned/preserve	0.002, <u>0.004</u> , <u>0.004</u> , 0.005	0.004	0.0044
	pomace, wet	0.003, 0.04	See pomace, dry	See pomace, dry
	pomace, dry	<u>0.03(3)</u> , 0.04	0.03	0.033

For chlorothalonil in processed tomato products, based on an STMR value of 1.1 mg/kg, the Meeting estimated STMR-P values of 0.11 mg/kg for tomato juice, 0.011 mg/kg for tomato puree and canned tomatoes and 1.4 mg/kg for tomato dry pomace.

For SDS-3701, based on processing factor from SDS-3701 \rightarrow SDS-3701 and an STMR value of 0.0135 mg/kg, the Meeting estimated STMR-P values of 0.0135 mg/kg for tomato juice, 0.085 mg/kg for tomato puree, 0.027 mg/kg for canned tomatoes and 0.2 mg/kg for tomato dry pomace.

Residues in animal commodities

For all uses under evaluation in this JMPR for chlorothalonil only tomato pomace was identified as a relevant feed item to livestock animals. Since residues in tomato pomace in the dietary feed burden are superseded by residues of grape pomace being in the same Codex feed item group, no increase in the dietary burden for SDS-3701 by the uses evaluated this year compared to 2010 can be expected.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Meeting estimated the STMR, HR and MRL values shown in Annex 1.

Definition of the residue for compliance with MRL for plant commodities: chlorothalonil

Definition of the residue for estimation of dietary intake for plant commodities: chlorothalonil SDS-3701 (2,5,6-trichloro-4-hydroxyisophthalonitrile), all considered separately.

Definition of the residue for compliance with MRL and for estimation of dietary intake for animal commodities: *SDS-3701* (2,5,6-trichloro-4-hydroxyisophthalonitrile).

The residue is considered not fat-soluble.

CCN	Commodity	Recommend	ed	STMR or	HR or
		Maximum (mg/kg)	residue level	STMR-P mg/kg	HR-P mg/kg
		New	Previous		
VS 0621	Asparagus	0.01*	-	Chlorothalonil: 0 SDS-3701: 0	Chlorothalonil: 0 SDS-3701: 0
FS 0013	Cherries	3	-	Chlorothalonil: 0.39 SDS-3701: 0.01	Chlorothalonil: 1.8 SDS-3701: 0.035
DV 0604	Dried ginseng (including red ginseng)	2	-	Chlorothalonil: 0.35 SDS-3701: 0.3 a	Chlorothalonil: 1.0 SDS-3701: 0.61 ^a
VR 0583	Horseradish	1	-	Chlorothalonil: 0.25 SDS-3701: 0.16 b	Chlorothalonil: 0.48 SDS-3701: 0.31
VA 0385	Onion, bulb	1.5	-		Chlorothalonil: 0.69 SDS-3701: 0.068 ^b
FS 0247	Peaches (including nectarines and apricots)	1.5	-	Chlorothalonil: 0.12 SDS-3701: 0.01	Chlorothalonil: 1.1 SDS-3701: 0.011
VO 0051	Peppers	7	-		Chlorothalonil: 4.4 SDS-3701: 0.09 ^b
VO 0440	Peppers, Chili (dry)	70	-	Chlorothalonil: 15 SDS-3701: 0.45 b	Chlorothalonil: 44 SDS-3701: 0.9 ^b
TN 0675	Pistachio nut	0.3	-	Chlorothalonil: 0.082 SDS-3701: 0.01	Chlorothalonil: 0.14 SDS-3701: 0.01
VS 0627	Rhubarb	7	-	Chlorothalonil: 0.55 SDS-3701: 0.02	Chlorothalonil: 3.9 SDS-3701: 0.02
VR 0075	Root and tuber vegetables	W	0.3	-	-
VR 0075	Root and tuber vegetables, except horseradish	0.3	-	Chlorothalonil: 0.3 SDS-3701: 0.02 °	Chlorothalonil: 0.3 SDS-3701: 0.03
VA 0388	Shallot	1.5	-	SDS-3701: 0.02 b	Chlorothalonil: 0.69 SDS-3701: 0.068 b
VO 0448	Tomato	5	-	Chlorothalonil: 1.1 SDS-3701: 0.0135	Chlorothalonil: 2.8 SDS-3701: 0.035
IE 0040	lm . · ·	1		Chlomothel:1. 1 1	T
JF 0048	Tomato juice			Chlorothalonil: 1.1 SDS-3701: 0.0135	
MW 0448	Tomato purée			Chlorothalonil: 1.1 SDS-3701: 0.0185	
	Tomato canned			Chlorothalonil: 1.1 SDS-3701: 0.027	
	Tomato dry pomace			Chlorothalonil: 1.4	
	2 office of politice			SDS-3701: 0.2	

^a The contribution of SDS-3701 by uptake from soil cannot be estimated for dried ginseng.

DIETARY RISK ASSESSMENT

Long-term intake

The evaluation of chlorothalonil has resulted in recommendations for MRLs and STMRs for raw and processed commodities. The International Estimated Daily Intakes for the 17 GEMS/Food cluster diets, based on this years estimated STMRs and previous STMRs from 2010 and 2012 were in the range 10–50% of the maximum ADI of 0.02 mg/kg bw.

The evaluation of SDS-3701 has resulted in recommendations for STMRs for raw and processed commodities following primary treatment and after uptake from soil as rotational crop.

^b STMR and HR values represent the sum of SDS-3701 found after direct application and in crops grown as rotational crop (see Residues in rotational crops

^c Based on 2010 Evaluation

The International Estimated Daily Intakes for the 17 GEMS/Food cluster diets, based on this years estimated STMRs and previous STMRs from 2010 and 2012 were in the range 4–10% of the maximum ADI of 0.008 mg/kg bw.

The results are shown in Annex 3 to the 2015 Report.

The Meeting concluded that the long-term intake of residues of chlorothalonil and its metabolite SDS-3701, from uses that have been considered by the JMPR, is unlikely to present a public health concern.

Short-term intake

The International Estimated Short Term Intake (IESTI) for chlorothalonil and its metabolite SDS-3701 were separately calculated for the plant and livestock commodities (and their processing fractions) for which new STMRs and HRs were estimated and for which consumption data were available. The results are shown in Annex 4 to the 2015 Report.

The IESTI for chlorothalonil varied from 0-30% of the ARfD (0.6 mg/kg bw) and the IESTI for its metabolite SDS-3701 from 0-10% of the ARfD (0.03 mg/kg bw). The Meeting concluded that the short-term intake of residues of chlorothalonil and SDS-3701, from uses that have been considered by the JMPR, is unlikely to present a public health concern.

REFERENCES

Code	Author	Year	Title, Institute, Report reference
CLTA10_269	Chaggar S.	2006	Chlorothalonil (R44686) - Analytical Method For The Determination Of Residues
			Of Chlorothalonil And R182281 In Crops, Syngenta Crop Protection AG, Basel,
			CH,, GRM 005.01A, GLP, not published, Syngenta File No R44686/4047
CLTA10_270	Chaggar S.	2006a	Chlorothalonil (R44686) - Validation of Residue Analytical Method GRM005.01A
			for the Determination of Residues of R182281 in Crops. Final Determination by
			LC-MS/MS, Syngenta Crop Protection AG, Basel, CH,, T013840-05-REG, GLP,
			not published, Syngenta File No R44686/4046
CLTA10_271	Anderson L.,	2007	Chlorothalonil (R44686) and R182281 (SDS-3701) - Storage Stability of Field-
	Chaggar S.		Incurred Residues in Homogenised Crops stored Deep Frozen for up to Two Years,
			Syngenta Crop Protection AG, Basel, CH,, T000559-06-REG 04-S606, GLP, not
			published, Syngenta File No R182281/0023
CLTA10_272	Corley J.	2013	Chlorothalonil: Magnitude of the Residue on Cranberry, Syngenta Crop Protection
			AG, Basel, CH,, IR-4 Project, North Brunswick, USA,, IR-4 No.10801, GLP, not
			published, Syngenta File No R044686_11073
CLTA10_273	McDonald T.	2014	Chlorothalonil SC(A12531B)? Magnitude of the Residues in or on Cherry to
			Support Codex, USA 2013, Syngenta Crop Protection AG, Basel, CH,, Golden
			Pacific Laboratories, LLC (GPL), USA, TK0119272, GLP, not published,
			Syngenta File No A12531B_10118
CLTA10_274	Jolly C.	2014	Chlorothalonil: Magnitude of the Residue on Cherry, Sour, IR-4-10859, Syngenta
			Crop Protection AG, Basel, CH,, IR-4 Project, North Brunswick, USA, , IR-4 PR
GT TH. 10 45 7		2011	No. 10859, GLP, not published, Syngenta File No R044686_11084
CLTA10_2/5	McDonald T.,	2014	Chlorothalonil SC (A12531B) - Magnitude of the Residues in or on Peaches to
	Salzman F.		Support Codex USA 2013, Syngenta Crop Protection AG, Basel, CH,, Golden
			Pacific Laboratories, LLC (GPL), USA, TK0119271, 130517, GLP, not published,
GT TH. 10. 45.		2011	Syngenta File No A12531B_50047
CLTA10_276	McDonald T.,,	2014	Chlorothalonil SC (A12531B) - Magnitude of the Residues in or on Bulb and
	Smith N.		Green Onion to Support Codex USA 2013, Syngenta Crop Protection AG, Basel,
			CH., Golden Pacific Laboratories, LLC (GPL), USA, TK0119273, 130519, GLP,
GI EL 10 255	TI D	2005	not published, Syngenta File No A12531B_50053
CLIA10_277	Thompson D.	2007	Chlorothalonil - Magnitude of the Residue on Pepper (Bell), Syngenta Crop
			Protection AG, Basel, CH., IR-4 Project, North Brunswick, USA, , A0032, GLP,
GI TI 10 250	TT	2005	not published, Syngenta File No R44686/4221
CLIA10_2/8	Thompson D.	2007	Chlorothalonil - Magnitude of the Residue on Pepper (Non-Bell), Syngenta Crop
			Protection AG, Basel, CH., IR-4 Project, North Brunswick, USA, 00571, GLP, not
CLEA10 250	II IZ 4	2011	published, Syngenta File No R44686/4220
CLIA10_279	Homa Kathryn	2011	Chlorothalonil - Magnitude of the Residue on Pepper (Non-Bell), Syngenta Crop
			Protection AG, Basel, CH., IR-4 Project, North Brunswick, USA, A0571, GLP, not
			published, Syngenta File No R044686 51575

CLTA10_280		a 2006	Bravonil 500 - Residues of chlorothalonil in sweet pepper - Brazil, 2004-05
	Filho O.		
CLTA10_281	Matarazzo V.	2014	Bravonil 500 - Magnitude of Residues of Chlorothalonil and R182281 in Sweet Pepper Brazil, 2012-13
CLTA10_282	Lopez N.	2009	Bravonil 500 - Residues of Chlorothalonil in sweet pepper - Brazil, 2007-08
CLTA10_283	North L.	2012	Chlorothalonil and Azoxystrobin - Residue Study on Protected Cherry Tomato in the United Kingdom and Northern France in 2011, Syngenta Crop Protection AG, Basel, CH,, Eurofins Agroscience Services Ltd, Wilson, UK, S11-00518-REG, GLP, not published, Syngenta File No A14111B_10061
CLTA10_284	North L.	2012	Chlorothalonil and Azoxystrobin - Residue Study on Protected Cherry Tomato in Spain and Southern France in 2011, Syngenta Crop Protection AG, Basel, CH,, Eurofins Agroscience Services Ltd, Wilson, UK, S11-00519-REG, GLP, not published, Syngenta File No A14111B_10062
CLTA10_285	Schulz D., Breyer N.	2013	Chlorothalonil - Residue study on Protected Cherry Tomatoes in Germany in 2012, Syngenta Crop Protection AG, Basel, CH, Eurofins Agroscience Services Chem, DE, S12-01287, GLP, not published, Syngenta File No A14111B_10822
CLTA10_286	Schulz D., Breyer N.	2013	Chlorothalonil - Residue study on Protected Cherry Tomatoes in Spain in 2012, Syngenta Crop Protection AG, Basel, CH, Eurofins Agroscience Services Chem, DE, S12-01288, GLP, not published, Syngenta File No A14111B 10821
CLTA10_287	Thompson David C.	1995	Chlorothalonil - Magnitude of Residue on Mushrooms, Syngenta Crop Protection AG, Basel, CH,, ISK Biotech Corporation, Houston, USA,, 06204, GLP, not published, Syngenta File No R044686_10809
CLTA10_289	Corley J.	2007	Chlorothalonil - Magnitude of the Residue on Ginseng, Syngenta Crop Protection AG, Basel, CH,, IR-4 Project, North Brunswick, USA, , A0988, GLP, not published, Syngenta File No R44686/4224
CLTA10_290	Thompson D.	2007	Chlorothalonil - Magnitude of the Residue on Horseradish, Syngenta Crop Protection AG, Basel, CH., IR-4 Project, North Brunswick, USA, , A2392, GLP, not published, Syngenta File No R44686/4223
CLTA10_291	McDonald T., Oakes T.	2014	Chlorothalonil SC (A12531B) - Magnitude of the Residues in or on Asparagus to Support Codex USA 2013, Syngenta Crop Protection AG, Basel, CH,, Golden Pacific Laboratories, LLC (GPL), USA TK0119274, 130520, GLP, not published, Syngenta File No A12531B_50056
CLTA10_292	Thompson D.	2007	Chlorothalonil - Magnitude of the Residue on Rhubarb, Syngenta Crop Protection AG, Basel, CH., IR-4 Project, North Brunswick, USA, 05410, GLP, not published, Syngenta File No R44686/4222
CLTA10_293	Thompson D.	1996	Chlorothalonil - Magnitude of Residue on Pistachio, Syngenta Crop Protection AG, Basel, CH., IR-4 Project, North Brunswick, USA, , 05196, GLP, not published, Syngenta File No 454103
CLTA10_294	Park, J. W.	2014	FINAL REPORT, on, Magnitude of Chlorothalonil Residues in or on Pears in Korea, Ministry of Food and Drug Safety (MFDS), S-14-04-2-FOD-009-0-D, No-GLP, not published