

THE FAO COMPONENT OF THE CONSOLIDATED APPEALS



2012

ZIMBABWE





The Appeal for Zimbabwe was launched globally on the 14th December 2011 as part of the Consolidated Appeals Process (CAP).

For a complete overview of FAO's component of the 2012 CAP, please go to www.fao.org/emergencies.

Photographs courtesy of:

Cover: FAO/24690_9171/Desmond Kwande;

Page 7: FAO/24690_9191/Desmond Kwande.

Information for this brochure mostly originates from OCHA's '2012 Humanitarian Appeals' documentation.

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this information product do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations concerning the legal or development status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. The mention of specific companies or products of manufacturers, whether or not these have been patented, does not imply that these have been endorsed or recommended by FAO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned.

The views expressed in this information product are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of FAO.

FOREWORD

Every year, the plight and needs of many of the world's most vulnerable people are described in the Consolidated Appeals Process (CAP). This year's CAP spans 18 countries¹ and outlines needs across key sectors.

The 2012 CAP clearly highlights that food insecurity continues to be compounded by protracted crisis situations, more frequent natural disasters, conflict, volatile food prices, harsh economic conditions and climate change.

The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) works with partners to reduce food insecurity through improved preparedness for and effective response to food and agricultural threats and emergencies.

Stepping up to the challenge

To rise to this challenge and improve effectiveness in a climate of reduced funding and increased need, FAO's emergency response focuses on protecting both lives and livelihoods. Rebuilding livelihoods and decreasing dependency on external aid ensures a quicker return to normalcy for affected people, restoring their self-sufficiency and sense of dignity.

Beyond immediate support to ensure food security in protracted or sudden-onset crisis situations, FAO implements programmes that build the resilience of households in the face of future shocks. Families that have been affected by crises, and often divested of their assets, are even more vulnerable to the potential impacts of future shocks – restoring livelihoods and strengthening resilience can mitigate the effects and reduce risk.

FAO's components of the CAP fit within broader planning and programming strategies at country and subregional levels, which look into longer-term measures to address the root causes of vulnerability and increase resilience through disaster risk management. These include FAO Plans of Action, which have been developed together with national counterparts in Chad, Côte d'Ivoire, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Kenya, the Niger, Somalia, South Sudan, the Sudan, the West Bank and Gaza Strip and Zimbabwe, among other countries.

Another way that FAO has stepped up to the ever increasing challenges of today's world is in our closer collaboration with international and local partners and counterparts. In 2011, the global Food Security Cluster was established to improve the coordination of food security responses in humanitarian crises, under the co-leadership of FAO and the World Food Programme. At country level, Food Security Clusters are increasingly reflected in CAP documents. FAO's work in development provides an important link with national authorities and community-based organizations that can often be built upon in times of crisis.

Adapting programming to best fit needs

Achieving food security for all is at the heart of FAO's efforts, and achieving food security in emergencies requires a specific understanding and analysis of livelihoods.

FAO programming places people at the centre of its actions, identifying the most effective and efficient ways to assist those most vulnerable. Emergency response programmes are adapted to the needs of

¹ The present brochure only features the 16 countries that will be included in the global launch of the CAP on 14 December 2011. The CAP for Liberia and Sri Lanka will be launched at a later date.

women, men and their families, whether they are fishers, pastoralists, farmers or foresters. Diversifying livelihoods and intensifying agricultural production are some of FAO's key strategies. To be effective, analysis of household, community and national systems is needed. The individual CAP strategies reflect this livelihood analysis. In many contexts, addressing needs at the household level must be done hand in hand with strengthening community and social support systems.

In Somalia, in addition to providing immediate life-saving assistance, the strategic priorities for humanitarian assistance include stabilizing and preventing the deterioration of people's way of life through the protection and restoration of livelihood assets and through early recovery, resilience building, emergency preparedness, disaster risk reduction and social/productive networks.

This is a twin-track approach that combines immediate assistance to improve access to food with addressing the root causes of the problems and building longer-term coping capacity in the face of protracted crises and new shocks. FAO is supplying inputs like drought-tolerant seeds while improving pastoral techniques, water harvesting, irrigation and soil conservation. In situations of high unemployment or where access to food is constrained, cash and seed voucher schemes in exchange for labour are being established.

In the Horn of Africa, FAO has set up irrigation schemes so that some communities have been able to keep producing food despite the drought. FAO is also distributing seeds that can tolerate drought, and assisting farmers to produce more quality seeds and sell them to other farmers. These efforts are ensuring that more and more people can cope with drought in the future.

Building on local institutions

We have much to learn from our partners. In the past, the international community spoke of "capacity building", often as a "top-down" system of knowledge transfer, but experience has shown that most of the learning and best approaches to strengthen resilience are found at the local and national levels, within communities and institutions.

Building on and supporting local institutions can provide a sustainable basis for addressing the drivers of a crisis, for rebuilding livelihoods after a crisis and for strengthening resilience and coping mechanisms.

In South Sudan, FAO has and will continue in 2012 to work with the nascent government to establish a sound institutional framework for food security, which is resulting in increased levels of public investment in agriculture and food security.

Thinking about outcomes

Humanitarian response is life-saving, but it also aims to protect and restore the lives and livelihoods of people that have been hit by crisis. Dignity, food and nutrition security, self-sufficiency and resilience are cornerstones of what FAO aims to achieve in emergency response; there are also further positive outcomes across a variety of sectors that can be achieved through food- and agriculture-based approaches. For example, collaboration with the Department of Education in school gardening projects in the Philippines will enhance the nutritional value and variety of meals prepared in schools, and ensure that children attend school.


In Afghanistan, steps have already been taken to link food assistance, cash-based and agriculture support activities with a nutrition response to ensure that adequate household dietary intake and food consumption levels are met. Food security and agricultural interventions are closely aligned with programmes to increase water access for herders, whose livelihoods are impacted by natural disasters and conflict.

Short-term funding leads to short-term results

Humanitarian donors are at work to support the needs of the most vulnerable; however, despite generous short-term aid, which has been successful in addressing immediate needs, vulnerability persists in many countries facing humanitarian crises. If lasting solutions are not found, after each shock families are less able to cope, take longer to rebuild their lives and livelihoods, and remain dependent on external aid for longer periods of time.

In some parts of the Sudan, for example, vulnerability remains acute as underlying conditions are left unaddressed. As a result, large segments of internally displaced persons residing in camps have to various degrees become dependent on external aid. The comparative lack of parallel support for durable solutions within a humanitarian framework in the Sudan is one of the key contributors to persistent vulnerability in the country.

FAO's component of the 2012 CAP reflects how we in FAO are taking on new challenges. We appeal to our donors and partners to help us take on the challenge and work together to address not only the life-saving needs of vulnerable communities today, but to restore lives and livelihoods for tomorrow.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Laurent Thomas', with a horizontal line underneath.

Laurent Thomas
Assistant Director-General
Technical Cooperation Department

2011 IN REVIEW

The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) received USD 200 million in contributions for emergency programming in response to the 2011 Consolidated Appeals Process (CAP) and other humanitarian appeals launched in 2011. Although this represented a USD 50 million decline in appeal-related funding as compared with 2010, the coverage of requirements has remained steady at just over 50 percent.

The international community responded generously to agricultural needs in Afghanistan (94 percent met), Somalia (90 percent met) and Sri Lanka (77 percent met). These countries accounted for more than one-third of FAO's programming needs under the 2011 appeals.

2011 also included the continuation of appeals launched in 2010, such as the Pakistan Floods Relief and Early Recovery Response Plan, which remained active until July 2011. With almost USD 107 million in requirements and over USD 97 million in funding, it was FAO's largest appeal ever, and one of its most successful. The current appeal for Pakistan, launched in response to the devastating monsoon floods of 2011, will last until March 2012 and has received almost 56 percent of required funding. Over the past two years, FAO has implemented over USD 126 million in emergency agricultural programming in Pakistan.

The situation across the Horn of Africa deteriorated significantly in 2011. The region faced one of its driest years since 1950/51. Conditions were particularly dire in Somalia, where the food crisis escalated into famine in parts of the country. Although the Somalia 2011 CAP is well funded, funding for the rest of the region has been low. So far, out of total needs of more than USD 161 million for the whole region, almost USD 80 million, or 49 percent, has been contributed. FAO has a significant presence in the region, with active programming in every country affected by the crisis.

As of 30 November, total funding for FAO's Emergency and Rehabilitation Programme in 2011 amounted to over USD 333 million.

FAO Emergency and Rehabilitation Programme

1 January to 30 November 2011

FUNDING BY COUNTRY/REGION	(USD)
Somalia	78 801 904
Sudan	45 786 639
Pakistan	18 537 881
Afghanistan	15 308 239
Kenya	13 486 406
Regional Africa	11 708 090
Sri Lanka	10 787 258
DR Congo	10 532 563
West Bank and Gaza Strip	10 292 629
Uganda	10 247 365
Indonesia	9 660 056
Ethiopia	6 402 099
Zambia	5 219 997
Niger	5 013 939
Chad	4 622 600
Côte d'Ivoire	4 520 742
Regional Latin America	3 815 808
Guatemala	3 681 897
Zimbabwe	3 639 010
Liberia	3 595 190

MAJOR CONTRIBUTORS	(USD)
European Union	57 396 448
United States of America	56 291 682
Canada	36 241 276
OCHA/CERF	35 497 782
Sweden	16 380 547
Belgium	13 847 977
Australia	12 266 908
Japan	11 197 420
Spain	10 428 230
World Bank	8 770 000
UN Trust Fund - Sudan	7 020 257
United Kingdom	7 008 322
UN Trust Fund - DR Congo	5 966 903
Switzerland	4 557 886
France	4 431 766
UN Trust Fund - Somalia	4 401 244
Italy	3 800 941
Unilateral Trust Fund	2 938 565
United Kingdom	2 019 902
Netherlands	1 875 000

THE FAO COMPONENT 2012
OF THE CONSOLIDATED APPEALS

ZIMBABWE

ZIMBABWE

Zimbabwe, once known as Africa's breadbasket, has faced recurrent food shortages since 2001. Erratic weather, high HIV/AIDS prevalence (over 18 percent) and multiple economic crises have increased hunger and poverty. The situation began to change in 2009 with the introduction of a multicurrency system, which improved food availability and access. However, this is not a reality for all, especially for Zimbabwe's most vulnerable. Over 1 million people remain food insecure. The country is transitioning from crisis to development, but many communities still require humanitarian support to make this move, particularly smallholder farmers.

Challenges facing food security and livelihoods

In Zimbabwe, the interrelated challenges of food production, food security and livelihoods impact the lives of 8.5 million people in rural areas. These constitute the largest set of humanitarian needs in the country.

Uneven rain distribution and dry spells in the 2010/11 agricultural season led to lower than anticipated harvests in six of the ten provinces. To make the most of the upcoming planting seasons, farmers urgently need quality inputs and improved production practices. This is crucial for the performance of next year's harvest. One of the missing links to revitalize agriculture is the input market – where farmers and agrodealers should have long-term partnerships.

Livestock is an important asset for many of Zimbabwe's smallholder farmers. The near collapse of the livestock industry in recent years has deteriorated families' nutrition and income. Livestock disease outbreaks, exacerbated by the Government's limited capacity to provide animal health services, have led to a further decline in livestock production. Related losses can be prevented through timely veterinary support, as well as animal restocking and diversification programmes.

Agriculture Cluster response

The Agriculture Cluster, led by FAO, seeks to help farmers produce beyond subsistence level and reduce their reliance on external assistance.

With donor funding, Cluster partners will provide farmers with seeds and fertilizers to boost crop production. This is anticipated to increase yields, enabling families to meet their food requirements for one year, until the next harvest. In many cases, vouchers will be used, so farmers can access their inputs of choice, helping to re-establish private sector suppliers. Training and extension support will also be provided, focusing on conservation agriculture.


KEY FACTS

- Population: 12 571 454
- Human Development Index Rank: 173/187
- Workforce in agriculture: 57%
- Recent emergencies: cholera outbreak, floods.

Source: FAO, UNDP, World Bank

FAO EMERGENCY PROGRAMME

As of November 2011, based on project expenditures



Through a comprehensive livestock programme, Cluster members will help to safeguard, diversify and enhance production. This includes livestock health campaigns, animal restocking and improved market linkages. The programme aims to link existing local farming expertise with private sector support to improve livestock productivity.



PROPOSALS - Agriculture Cluster assistance

Total funding requested: USD 32 325 397

Strengthened coordination mechanisms and early warning systems

Objectives:	To provide the agriculture sector with appropriate coordination services to ensure consistency among interventions; and to produce and disseminate accurate, timely and independent information on agriculture and food security to be used as a basis for programming and to inform policy.
Activities:	Increase coverage of the existing agriculture and food security monitoring system to all districts; conduct national surveys (e.g. First and Second Round National Crop Assessments and the Zimbabwe Vulnerability Assessment Committee activities), collecting gender-disaggregated data for a gender-sensitive response; implement the Integrated Food Security and Humanitarian Phase Classification; and initiate small pilot projects in areas identified by the technical working groups.
Beneficiaries:	150 institutions.
Gender marker:	0 - No signs that gender issues were considered in project design.
Funds requested:	USD 1 125 397

Improve crop and livestock productivity, control crop and livestock diseases and promote market linkages in the smallholder farming sector

Objectives:	To support male and female farmers in achieving higher levels of agricultural production through improved access to extension support and better linkages to input and output markets.
Activities:	Select companies to contract farmers for the production of different crops; facilitate the drafting and signing of contracts between private companies and farmers, and ensure the companies have sustainable partnerships with farmers; monitor the selected companies and provide them with extension support; provide technical guidance to the companies on which crops to grow and where, and the level of production per farmer to ensure viability and sustainability; develop the capacity of farmers in crop production and “farming as a business”; ensure female farmers receive inputs; and monitor and evaluate contract farming programmes.
Beneficiaries:	150 000 smallholder farmers (including 450 000 women).
Gender marker:	2a - The project is designed to contribute significantly to gender equality.
Funds requested:	USD 3 750 000

Provision of basic agricultural inputs and extension support to male and female smallholder farmers in the communal sector

Objectives:	To increase the food security of male and female smallholder farmers through the provision of inputs, extension support and use of improved crop management practices.
Activities:	Identify project areas, based on vulnerability assessments and taking into account the needs of male and female farmers; identify, verify and register beneficiaries, prioritizing female farmers; distribute vouchers; provide extension support to farmers in collaboration with stakeholders, including the Agricultural Technical and Extension Service and farmers' unions; implement a market value chain support programme, monitoring it throughout the season to oversee implementation and assess impact at household level; and conduct a harvest assessment.
Beneficiaries:	900 000 beneficiaries (including 450 000 women and 450 000 men).
Gender marker:	2a - The project is designed to contribute significantly to gender equality.
Funds requested:	USD 27 450 000

Published by
Emergency Operations and Rehabilitation Division
Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations

All rights reserved.

FAO encourages the reproduction and dissemination of material in this information product.

Non-commercial uses will be authorized free of charge, upon request. Reproduction for resale or other commercial purposes, including educational purposes, may incur fees. Applications for permission to reproduce or disseminate FAO copyright materials, and all queries concerning rights and licences, should be addressed by e-mail to copyright@fao.org or to the:

Chief, Publishing Policy and Support Branch
Office of Knowledge Exchange, Research and Extension
FAO, Viale delle Terme di Caracalla
00153 Rome, Italy

Additional information on FAO's emergency activities is available at:
www.fao.org/emergencies



Preparing for, and responding to,
food and agriculture threats and emergencies

www.fao.org/emergencies