CORRELATION OF SEROLOGICAL RESPONSE AFTER VACCINATION AGAINST FMDV AND PROTECTION AGAINST CHALLENGE IN PIGS

#### P.L. Eblé, A. Dekker, J.L. Gonzales





# Intro: FMDV vaccination

Effective tool to combat FMD

 Quality control

 Efficacy test FMDV vaccines

 Cattle



- PD50 (European Pharmacopoeia) / PPG
- Clinical protection

Correlation between Ab-level and protection

- Studied extensively (serotypes, labs, homology)
- Release vaccine batches
- Monitor vaccination efficacy in the field



## Intro: FMDV vaccination in pigs

No prescribed efficacy test protocol
 PD50 set-up with 5 groups of 3 pigs
 Challenge method / 'over challenge'?
 Correlation between Ab-level and protection
 Not studied



#### Research question:

Correlation between Ab-level and protection in pigs
 protection: clinical or against virus shedding
 Influence of - challenge method

- heterologous vaccination



## M&M: Data

Five vaccination-challenge pig experiments (n=63)

- Vaccine strain
- Challenge strain  $\rightarrow$  homologous or heterologous
- Challenge method
- ID or contact with (non-)vac infected pig
  Ab-titres: <sup>10</sup>log VN-titres at time of challenge
  Protection
  - Clinical protection (generalisation)
  - Virus shedding (OPF swabs)







#### M&M: Data set

		challenge				VNT titre <u>clinical</u> <u>virus</u>				challenge					enge	VNT titre <u>clinical</u> <u>virus</u>			virus
exp nr	pig ID	vaccine	DPV	<u>virus</u>	method (I/C)	<u>O TAW</u>	O MAN	signs	excretion	exp nr	pig ID	vaccine	DPV	virus	method (I/C)	O TAW	O MAN	signs	excretion
37	4137	O TAW	7	<b>O TAW</b>	ID	0.6		Υ	Υ	44	5938i	O TAW	7	O TAW-4	ID	2.1		N*	Υ
37	4138	O TAW	7	O TAW	ID	<0.3		Υ	Y	44	5939i	O TAW	7	O TAW-4	ID	2.25		N*	Y
37	4139	O TAW	7	O TAW	ID	0.3		Υ	Y	44	5940i	O TAW	7	O TAW-4	ID	2.1		Υ	Y
37	4140	O TAW	7	O TAW	ID	0.45		Υ	Y	44	5941i	O TAW	7	O TAW-4	ID	1.8		N*	Y
37	4141	O TAW	7	<b>O TAW</b>	ID	0.3		Υ	Y	44	5942i	O TAW	7	O TAW-4	ID	2.1		N*	Y
37	4132	O TAW	7	O TAW	С	1.2		N	Y	44	5933c	O TAW	7	O TAW-4	С	2.1		<u>Y</u>	Y
37	4133	O TAW	7	O TAW	С	0.45		Υ	Y	44	5934c	O TAW	7	O TAW-4	С	1.8		Ν	Ν
37	4134	O TAW	7	O TAW	С	1.05		N	Y	44	5935c	O TAW	7	O TAW-4	С	2.1		N	Ν
37	4135	O TAW	7	O TAW	С	<0.3		Υ	Υ	44	5936c	O TAW	7	O TAW-4	С	1.8		Ν	Y
37	4136	O TAW	7	O TAW	С	1.35		Υ	Y	44	5937c	O TAW	7	O TAW-4	С	1.8		Y	Y
37	4127	O TAW	14	O TAW	ID	1.2		Ν	Ν	62	8658	O MAN	14	O NET	C with NV		1.05	Υ	Y
37	4128	O TAW	14	O TAW	ID	1.5		N *	Ν	62	8662	O MAN	14	O NET	C with NV		1.65	Υ	Y
37	4129	O TAW	14	O TAW	ID	1.35		Ν	Ν	62	8666	O MAN	14	O NET	C with NV		0.45	Υ	Y
37	4130	O TAW	14	O TAW	ID	1.65		N*	N	62	8668	O MAN	14	O NET	C with NV		1.35	Y	Y
37	4131	O TAW	14	O TAW	ID	1.8		Ν	Ν	66	9521	O MAN	14	O NET	C with NV		0.9	Y	Y
38	4947	O TAW	14	O TAW	ID	0.9	0.9	Ν	Ν	66	9522	O MAN	14	O NET	C with NV		1.65	Ν	Y
38	4948	O TAW	14	O TAW	ID	1.95	1.5	Ν	Ν	66	9523	O MAN	14	O NET	C with NV		1.2	Ν	Y
38	4949	O TAW	14	O TAW	ID	1.95	0.9	Ν	Ν	66	9524	O MAN	14	O NET	C with NV		1.05	Υ	Y
38	4950	O TAW	14	<b>O TAW</b>	ID	2.4	1.5	Ν	Ν	66	9525	O MAN	14	O NET	C with NV		1.2	Ν	Y
38	4951	O TAW	14	O TAW	ID	1.5	0.6	Ν	Ν	66	9526	O MAN	14	O NET	C with C1		1.5	Ν	Y
38	4957	O MAN	14	O TAW	ID	1.05	1.95	Ν	Ν	66	9527	O MAN	14	O NET	C with C1		1.05	Y	Y
38	4958	O MAN	14	O TAW	ID	1.2	1.8	Ν	Ν	66	9528	O MAN	14	O NET	C with C1		0.75	Y	Y
38	4959	O MAN	14	<b>O TAW</b>	ID	0.75	1.2	Ν	Ν	66	9529	O MAN	14	O NET	C with C1		0.75	Ν	Y
38	4960	O MAN	14	<b>O TAW</b>	ID	0.6	1.2	Ν	Ν	66	9530	O MAN	14	O NET	C with C1		0.75	Υ	Y
38	4961	O MAN	14	O TAW	ID	1.5	1.65	Ν	Ν	66	9536	O MAN	14	O NET	C with NV		1.2	Υ	Y
44	5928	O TAW	7	O TAW	ID	1.95		Υ	Υ	66	9537	O MAN	14	O NET	C with NV		1.2	Y	Y
44	5929	O TAW	7	O TAW	ID	1.20		n.t	Y	66	9538	O MAN	14	O NET	C with NV		1.5	Ν	Y
44	5930	O TAW	7	<b>O TAW</b>	ID	0.90		Υ	Y	66	9539	O MAN	14	O NET	C with NV		1.2	Υ	Y
44	5931	O TAW	7	<b>O TAW</b>	ID	2.25		Υ	Y	66	9540	O MAN	14	O NET	C with NV		1.65	Ν	Ν
44	5932	O TAW	7	<b>O TAW</b>	ID	1.80		Υ	Y	66	9541	O MAN	14	O NET	C with C1		1.65	Ν	Ν
44	5923	O TAW	7	O TAW	С	1.05		Υ	Υ	66	9542	O MAN	14	O NET	C with C1		0.6	Y	Y
44	5924	O TAW	7	<b>O TAW</b>	С	1.05		Υ	Υ	66	9543	O MAN	14	O NET	C with C1		1.05	Ν	Y
44	5925	O TAW	7	O TAW	С	1.80		Υ	Υ	66	9544	O MAN	14	O NET	C with C1		1.35	Υ	Υ
44	5926	O TAW	7	O TAW	С	1.35		Υ	Υ	66	9545	O MAN	14	O NET	C with C1		1.8	Ν	Y
44	5927	O TAW	7	O TAW	С	1.20		Υ	Υ										

# M&M: Mathematical models

Two binomial regression models Response variable: Protection Clinical protection Protection against virus shedding Explanatory variable: Ab-titre (<sup>10</sup>log VN-titre) Additionally we added Type of challenge (homologous / heterologous) • Challenge method (inoculated / contact) With final model results Calculation of the VNT50 VN-titre at which 50% of the pigs were protected



### Results

Significant correlation between Ab-titre and protection

- In our model, no effect of:
  - Type of challenge (homologous / heterologous)
  - Challenge method (ID inoculation / contact)
- VNT50 protection against virus shedding > VNT50 clinical protection







# Results: Protection against clinical disease



#### ■ VNT50 = 1.4 (1.0 - 1.7)





# Results: Protection against virus shedding



#### ■ VNT50 = 1.8 (1.4 - 2.2)





#### Conclusions

Also in pigs, there is a significant correlation between Ab-titre and protection against FMD

• VNT50's can be established

Difference in VNT50 for protection against clinical disease and protection against virus shedding

The developed models / antibody thresholds might, in the future, be used to assess vaccine efficacy



#### Further research

More data are needed!!

Larger dataset

Other serotypes

Serotype differences

Other labs

• Laboratory differences



Figure 2: Comparison of protection and the WrT units of sera collected 3 weeks post vaccination. In dashed blue the results obtained in Brussels, solid red the results of the laboratory in the Netherlands and dotted black the results obtained in Pirbright.





# Thank you for your attention!

# **Questions?**



CORRELATION OF SEROLOGICAL RESPONSE AFTER VACCINATION AGAINST FMDV AND PROTECTION AGAINST CHALLENGE IN PIGS

