



  
 LANDNET

  
 REPUBLIC OF SERBIA  
 MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE  
 AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Funded / Supported by  
  
 немачка  
 сарадња  
 DEUTSCHE ZUSAMMENARBEIT

implemented by  
  
 giz  
 Deutsche Gesellschaft  
 für Internationale  
 Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH

  
 Project Funded by  
 the European Union

  
 FAO  
 FIAT PANIS

## Breakout session

### Learning from Landnet experiences

- ❖ Get experiences from pilot to right approach, measures, land consolidation strategy
- ❖ Imbed it in a long term strategy, take care of the context
- ❖ Good cases from abroad to show to politicians and relevant institutions
- ❖ Pilots take more time than a political commitment ( 4 years)
- ❖ How to work with changing objectives ( biodiversity, risk management )

## Breakout session

### Learning from Landnet experiences

- ❖ Only politicians will only be active if there urgency from the people, bottom up instead of top down
- ❖ Copy from western experience doesn't work, try to distinguish what is necessary , no copy paste
- ❖ The success of Land consolidation is conditioned by the public administration
- ❖ Public administration is changing ( more compact), role of civil society
- ❖ Owners want transparency , to be informed , they will be involved, they become open

## Breakout session

### Learning from Landnet experiences

- ❖ What we get at the end of the project, less parcels, less distance to parcels, what is better at the end, more focuss on the benefits more
- ❖ Instead changing of ownership consider also to exchange the use of the land. Are we paying enough attention to it?
- ❖ to improve the lease market, land consolidation can improve the lease market



## **Breakout session**

### **Learning from Landnet experiences**

- ❖ Small farmers may not be interested in land consolidation, not producing for market, only to keep it in the family ( Poland)
- ❖ A well functional Land administration is pre condition