

Feedback summary table - third review round

Agenda Item	Issues	Sub-issues	Comments	
1	4. Review of FIRMS web site	FIRMS website structure	general considerations on menu items	various proposals made by...
2		menu button for LOGIN - members area		Need for an area visible to Partners only
3		Members area / mock-ups		Misunderstandings on the concepts of mock-ups
4				The Management Descriptors has the management unit as "I fact sheet clearly has this as yes. There is clearly a problem Maybe these problems are just due to the mockup nature search results list. However, if you are using made-up data say so to prevent people like me from becoming concerned a us time from producing unnecessary comments
5		powered by FIGIS		remove "powered by FIGIS". If "Powered by maintained on the bottom of the home page, I don't thing w "FIRMS system is part of the Fisheries Global Informatio (FIGIS)" in the text for "The system".
6		Information Resources, News, Meetings, Publications		The right column is quite redundant with the bullet in left e.g. Publications - same as Publications in "Information Resou News and Events - same as Meetings in "Information Resourc News - probably standardization of word "events" or "me required. And if "News" is moved to be under "Information Resources" all information in the right column may not be nec anymore.
7	4.1 Organizations summary sheets	About us		We have two problems with the link to "Fact Sheets" for ea (1) The words "Fact Sheets" are already used in the conte FIRMS information on stocks and this alternative use of the s on the same site can be very confusing. (2) At the last Steerii Committee FIRMS Partners agreed that links would be on to the original websites of the partner organizations and FAO summary descriptions. Instead, it was proposed that t descriptions on the page itself be just a little bit more compre
8				
9				issue discussed during the FSC meeting was primarily one c and, secondarily, of avoiding duplication of work
10				This has been a point we have consistently raised with FA something we desired to control. By validation of the web see that the FAO "summary" on the site is in fact not a summ

			language
11			I think it is a bit confusing to see the fish-logo that gives you structure next to the individual partners name.
12		Access to FIRMS data base	
13	4.2 Components and layout of a fact sheet:	Fact sheet structure	
14	4.2.1 citation, ownership;		
15	4.2.2 identity, including map for geo-location;	Map, and georeferencing standards	we continue to request and would like to see a Pacific-centre for Pacific resources . We are concerned that this point remains unaddressed and a potential for delay in implementation.
16			My attention was drawn to proposed area definitions for the pacific maps of them on the FAO site . In comparing them to the historical, I think that they are much improved, or I miss the reason for them in the first place. Must be for government reporting purposes, only. Or to satisfy particular immediate policy need, e.g. WCPO reporting of particular/in interest. Stock considerations must have very little to do with it at all, we are finding out that they disregard all that the new map (not to mention has to offer. The reality is that we really need a huge disclaimer on the map that says that they bear no relationship to anything - not association with particular regional fisheries bodies (they cross the boundaries), not stock structurally economically important stocks (individuals we include in them can't represent except regional economic alliances (ephemeral as they are, maybe) a convenience of reporting (is this it? but we have to regenerate the stock assessment supposed major impediment to change in these in the first place!)).
17			In addition, for Pacific Tunas, as a result of the discussions with you and Tim Lawson: 5. the use of the name "Pacific" and not "Pacific" 6. EPO and WCPO, as well as NPO and SF reference areas
18	4.2.3 body content:	Fact sheet formatting	Graphics - tables some of the graphics were very difficult to read
19			The table entitled "ATLANTIC AND MEDITERRANEAN ALBACORE TUNA SUMMARY" has several formatting errors (cell spaces, font placements, alignment). You should be able to see the correct version in the original Word document.
20		Maintenance	External Links General issue of broken links
21	4.2.3 standard and local topics	standard and local topics,	There were some cases where the titles of FIGIS superseded titles we normally use. Is there any way to address this? Geographical Distribution = NAFO Background, FIGIS Exploitation = NAFO Fishery and Catches, FIGIS Assessment Indicator, FIGIS Projection = NAFO Medium and Long Term Considerations, Management Advice = NAFO Recommendation, FIGIS Biology and Trend = NAFO State of Stock. Also we have an extra part called Special Comments)
			The assessment part very technical (reference to VPAs etc).

22			These fact sheets may work for temperate stocks. How e to report on multi-species tropical fisheries (Over 50% of t production)?
23		References	It would be good if there could be some informal arrangemen those organizations to allow reports to be provided. Eventua Pacific owners for most highly migratory stocks will be the IA' WCPFC. I did not intend to suggest that ISC should be inclu owner, but I wanted to be clear that of the IATTC informat ISC references. I think that can be handled with appropriate
24	4.2.4 Metadata semantic,	Metadata semantic, Stock structure	In " main descriptors " and " resource structure ", the followin "Considered as a stock: No". I think that it would be much r precise to have the following: "Considered as a <u>single sto</u>
25			We disagree with the change that you have implemented "Stock or Resource" to "Stock Structure". If I were to ent something about stock structure, for BFT for example, it woul don't think that this is what you want): " ["Present fisheries fo bluefin tuna are distributed from the Gulf of Mexico to Newfo the West Atlantic, from roughly the Canary Islands to south o the East Atlantic, and throughout the Mediterranean Sea. His catches of bluefin were made from a broad geographic range Atlantic and Mediterranean]"
26			All IOTC Tuna stock have an " Uncertain " Stock structure
27		Assessment Method	The subtitle "Indirect Assessment Methods" should be re the ICCAT case study
28	4.2.5 Reference [controlled] terms,	Management Unit, Spatial scale	A minor comment regarding two of the Main Descriptors (M: unit and Spatial scale) is that these do not seem particularly For example, what would a person not involved with the FIRM understand by the statements "Management unit: yes" and " scale: regional"?
29		Spatial scale	My question has to do with the levels of Spatial Scale that yo developed in a file containing "reference terms". I have cons "Global" means all oceans, "Regional" means the Atlanti and "Sub-regional" means part of the Atlantic.
30		Stock State and trends terms	The site is looking good. What is the difference between e and depleted , if any?

31		The ICCAT contribution conforms to the pre-defined exploitation status terms . However (and this is of course a subject to bilateral partners), these pre-defined terms are not completely satisfactory because they do not allow for a complete description of status . Most of the pre-defined terms (with some exceptions) implicitly to the biomass or abundance of the stock. What is missing is something about the intensity of fishing . For example, you could have a stock that is in an overfished state ($B[t] < B_{msy}$) that is not BEING overfished ($F[t] < F_{msy}$).
32		NAFO does not use status descriptions based on the FAO these are absolutely required, Scientific Council will have to do. Therefore NAFO has used the column Status trends description fill in terms such as "low level", or "positive trend"
33		IOTC State values includes values such as "uncertain, probably moderately exploited"
34		The regional review on the state of world fishery resources in highly aggregated resources terms like "Moderately to Fully"
35	Stock status summaries - Reporting to UNGA in FIRMS	Access from the home page change in Stock Status Summaries and eventually add "Exploitation summaries" for non assessment information
36	Query page	
37	Query page Organizations reporting to UNGA in FIRMS	However, the drop down menu includes more than the current partners. I think the only exception to the current partners : (if they wish) the other organizations that have participated in Partnership meetings (CCAMLR, IWC and SPC)
38	Query page Shared partners	I am happy with the way the owner and resource name is presented in the mock up.
39		I suppose you will find the instances of shared partners under organizations in the drop down menu .
40	Status – trends presentation	I understand the status and trend section of the mock up will be taken from the spreadsheet column Status Trends description
41		Perhaps a more web-like feel and appearance would be achieved with a drill-down approach that emphasizes lists with single level on screen at one time, versus multi-level topics/subjects that are mixed . An example of the mixed is given in the layout of the mock-up of State of Exploitation Summary , which could be the suggested approach in what we think a more visually oriented manner suitable for the web as compared to text media.

42	I doubt there would be general agreement to providing a link spreadsheet column for exploitation status using the limit terms . I think there has been a lot of discussion about only the Partners comments most of which do not use the term state of exploitation .			
43	Traceability There should be a link to the bibliographic references			
44 4.3 Marine resource tree browser	In relation to the "Browse Resources Inventory", I discovered browsing via the tree does not enable the "Southern Bluefin Global" resource to be detected . The only thing that can be by the tree is "Southern Bluefin tuna – Indian Ocean" which is presumably supplied by IOTC. If I was a casual user of the site, I would expect Southern Bluefin tuna to appear with Marine Resources of each of the 3 main oceans rather than outside these oceans in Tuna and tuna-like species – Global. I think a casual user would expect to see other tuna species (i.e. southern bluefin) in Tuna and tuna-like species – Global.			
45 4.3.1 search page / search results page (layout, ranking)				
46 4.3.2 Dynamic embedding of statistics				
47 5. Publishing workflow:	<table border="0"> <tr> <td data-bbox="416 1256 560 1317">Workflow for FIRMS editing</td> <td data-bbox="571 1256 804 1317">Various formats for contribution</td> <td data-bbox="874 1256 1455 1323">The summary sheets are presently in a word document but I had been discussed to convert them to an access file. Is there a specific format you would prefer?</td> </tr> </table>	Workflow for FIRMS editing	Various formats for contribution	The summary sheets are presently in a word document but I had been discussed to convert them to an access file. Is there a specific format you would prefer?
Workflow for FIRMS editing	Various formats for contribution	The summary sheets are presently in a word document but I had been discussed to convert them to an access file. Is there a specific format you would prefer?		

