

Some (modest) lessons learned during compiling the
Country Report on Aquatic Genetic Resources
for Food and Agriculture

by Zsigmond Jeney, NARIC-HAKI



TCPf on AGR in Belarus, Ukraine and Moldova (with NACEE)

COMMISSION ON
GENETIC RESOURCES
FOR FOOD AND
AGRICULTURE

DRAFT STATE OF THE
WORLD'S AQUATIC GENETIC
RESOURCES FOR FOOD AND
AGRICULTURE

Three „exercises”:

1. Country report on World Biodiversity
2. TCPf on Aquatic genetic resources in Belarus, Moldova and Ukraine
3. Country report on Aquatic genetic resources

Main points

- Effective communication
- Participatory approach: who are the stakeholders?
- Set-up a team (in our case: more than 20 people): industry, R and D, universities, government, authorities, policy makers, NGOs,
- Methodology: what to do with different opinions and interests?
- Motivation of „stakeholders“
- Pressing deadlines: big job needs big time
- Who is Who? Hungary is represented by the Ministry of Agriculture, which has nominated the National Focal Point. Clear distinction is needed between these partners.
- Who should do the job? High ranking NFP or a „real guy“ NFP?

“Central Asia and Eastern Europe Regional workshop on the Status of Aquatic Genetic Resources for food and agriculture”

(Participants: Turkey, Georgia, Armenia, Uzbekistan, Russia, Kazakhstan, Greece, Croatia, Romania and Bulgaria)

NARIC-HAKI

July 11-15, 2016, Szarvas, Hungary

