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ABSTRACT

The tenth session of the FAO Committee on Fisheries Sub-Committee on Fish Trade (COFI:FT), which met from 30 May to 2 June 2006, recommended that a Technical Consultation be organized to review the draft Technical Guidelines for Responsible Fish Trade taking into account all relevant aspects of international trade in fish products. COFI:FT noted that the guidelines should further reflect the current environment of international trade in fish products and the dynamic nature of trade, requiring an approach which is forward looking and pro-active. Sustainability of fisheries, illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing (IUU), and post-harvest practices were also important factors to be considered.

The purpose of this Expert Consultation was to revise these guidelines taking into account the comments made by COFI:FT. The Expert Consultation agreed that the guidelines should provide practical advice to members on all matters relevant to sustainable fisheries and responsible trade in fish and fish products. It was also recommended that relevant articles in the Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries be revisited to reflect developments in the regulatory framework governing trade in fish and fish products that occurred since the Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries was enacted.
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OPENING OF THE MEETING AND ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE SESSION

1. The Expert Consultation on Technical guidelines for responsible fish trade was held in Silver Spring, United States of America, from 22 to 26 January 2007.

2. The list of experts and other participants in the meeting is shown in Appendix B. The documents which were before the Expert Consultation are listed in Appendix C.

3. The meeting was opened by Mr Grimur Valdimarsson, Director of the Fish Products and Industry Division, FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department, who delivered the opening statement. The text of his statement is reproduced in Appendix D. Ms Rebecca Lent welcomed the participants on behalf of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Fisheries Service.

ELECTION OF THE CHAIRPERSON

4. Mr Greg Schneider was elected Chairperson of the Expert Consultation.

ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

5. The agenda shown in Appendix A was adopted by the Expert Consultation.

OBJECTIVES OF THE EXPERT CONSULTATION

6. The Chairperson outlined the objectives of the Expert Consultation as emphasized by the Sub-Committee on Fish Trade in its tenth session in Santiago de Compostela in 2006.

REVIEW OF RECENT DEVELOPMENTS AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION

7. The Secretariat provided information on recent developments in fish trade and background information to the process behind the development of the draft technical guidelines.

8. The meeting took note of recent developments and trends in international trade of fish and fishery products, including developments in the relevant regulatory framework, in particular those of the World Trade Organization (WTO), as well as other relevant frameworks for environmental protection and the sustainable use of fishery resources and recommended that they be noted in the introduction of the Guidelines.

REVIEW OF THE TECHNICAL GUIDELINES FOR RESPONSIBLE FISH TRADE

9. The Secretariat briefly introduced the draft guidelines annotated with comments and statements made by FAO members during COFI-FT.

10. The Expert Consultation reviewed the guidelines taking note of the objectives for the Consultation and the evolvement of the trade environment subsequent to the enactment of the Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries (the Code). In particular, the meeting agreed that in order to reflect the dynamic nature of trade, the guidelines should be forward-looking and, where appropriate, pro-active, providing practical advise to members on all matters relevant to sustainable fisheries and responsible trade of fish and fish products.

11. During the review the Expert Consultation noted that several articles of the Code needed revising in light of developments since the Code was adopted. The Expert Consultation nevertheless endeavoured to reflect these developments in the draft guidelines.

12. The draft guidelines agreed by the Expert Consultation are shown in Appendix E.
RECOMMENDATIONS

13. The meeting took note of the request made by the tenth session of the Sub-Committee on Fish Trade held in Santiago de Compostela in June 2006 to call for a Technical Consultation on these guidelines.

14. The Expert Consultation also recommended that in view of the dynamic nature of fish trade with numerous important developments in trade and in the regulatory framework subsequent to the enactment of the Code, the relevant articles for trade in the Code should also at some future stage be revisited to better reflect these developments and changes.

15. The Expert Consultation suggested that a Technical Consultation may wish to consider regrouping the guidelines thematically rather than directly linking the guidance to each article of the Code.

ADOPTION OF THE REPORT

APPENDIX A

Agenda

1. Election of Chairperson and adoption of the agenda
2. Objectives of the Expert Consultation
3. Review of recent developments and background information
4. Review of the Technical Guidelines for Responsible Fish Trade
5. Recommendations
6. Adoption of the report
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APPENDIX D

Opening Statement
by
Mr Grimur Valdimarsson, Director of the Fish Products and Industry Division
FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department
22 January, Silver Spring, USA

Ladies and Gentlemen,
Welcome to Washington and welcome to this Expert Consultation.

I am very grateful that you have accepted to serve as experts in this Consultation. I also would like to express my thanks to your organizations or governments which have agreed to your participation.

Let me give you a brief background to the convening of this Expert Consultation. As I believe all of you are aware, trade in fish and fish products is steadily gaining importance. This is particularly relevant for developing countries as a source of foreign exchange, provider of employment and to help them meet food security goals. Trade also ensures that both developed and developing countries enjoy a steady supply of fish for consumption.

The purpose of the Technical Guidelines for Responsible Fish Trade you will be considering this week is to provide practical guidance and advice for policy makers, the fish industry and fisheries managers on how to implement the relevant articles of the Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries. This in turn will ensure that trade in fish and fish products occur in accordance with international law in a fair, predictable and sustainable manner.

The draft Technical Guidelines before you were first discussed at the FAO Sub-Committee on Fish Trade meeting which met in Santiago de Compostela, Spain from 30 May to 2 June 2006. The outcome of the discussion was that the current version of the draft Technical Guidelines for Responsible Fish Trade should be updated to reflect the current environment of international trade in fishery products and the dynamic nature of trade.

The Sub-Committee also suggested that the guidelines should take into account other important aspects of fisheries such as sustainability of fisheries, illegal, unregulated and unreported fishing (IUU), and post-harvest practises.

The Sub-Committee concluded that the Technical Guidelines needed further work and were not ready for publication. The Sub-Committee also agreed that FAO should convene a Technical Consultation, where all relevant aspects of international trade in fishery products would be considered. I should note that Technical Consultations are FAO meetings where all FAO Members are invited to participate. The basis for the Technical Consultation’s will be the revised Technical Guidelines that you will consider during the course of this week.

This Expert Consultation has therefore been convened to review the draft Technical Guidelines for Responsible Fish Trade and to update them taking into account the feedback that was provided by the Sub-Committee on Fish Trade.

For those of you who are not familiar with FAO rules and procedures, I should perhaps clarify your role in this Expert Consultation, which you attend in your individual capacity and not as representative of your government or organization. In this line, there is no difference in status between those of you who work with government or those of you who work with a private or non-governmental entity.

Your task over the coming five days is to advise the FAO Fisheries Department and, through it, the next session of the FAO Fisheries Committee in March 2007, on the possible contents of the Technical Guidelines for Responsible Fish Trade.

I wish you fruitful deliberations over the coming days and look forward with interest to the results of your work. In closing, I should mention that the report of your Consultation will be published by FAO and also be made available on our Home Page.

I hope you’ll have an enjoyable stay in Washington DC in spite of all the work to be done.

Thank you very much, Ladies and Gentlemen, for your attention.
APPENDIX E

Draft technical guidelines for responsible fish trade

PREPARATION OF THIS DOCUMENT

The Technical Guidelines for Responsible Fish Trade were first considered by the seventh session of the Committee on Fisheries (COFI) Sub-Committee on Fish Trade in March 2000. A revised version was made available at the twenty-fourth session of COFI in February/March 2001. This version of the Technical Guidelines takes into account the comments that were made during these two sessions.

The Technical Guidelines for Responsible Fish Trade benefited from contributions from a number of people including Phedon Nicolaides, Erhard Ruckes, George Kent, Alastair Macfarlane, William Emerson and Lahsen Ababouch. In addition, the Technical Guidelines were reviewed by an Expert Consultation held from 22 to 26 January 2007 in Silver Spring, United States of America.

The twenty-eighth session of the FAO Conference, in Resolution 4/95, adopted the Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries on 31 October 1995. The same Resolution requested FAO, together with others, to elaborate as appropriate technical guidelines in support of the implementation of the Code in collaboration with Members and interested relevant organizations. These guidelines have no formal legal status and are intended to provide general advice in support of implementation of Articles 11.2: Responsible International Trade and 11.3: Laws and Regulations Relating to Fish Trade, of the Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries. These guidelines are designed to assist in further dissemination, understanding and implementation of the Code worldwide.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAC</td>
<td>FAO/WHO Codex Alimentarius Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBD</td>
<td>Convention on Biological Diversity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSU</td>
<td>Dispute Settlement Understanding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCRF</td>
<td>Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITES</td>
<td>Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COFI</td>
<td>FAO Committee on Fisheries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEZ</td>
<td>Exclusive economic zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAO</td>
<td>Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GATT</td>
<td>General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMO</td>
<td>International Maritime Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFN</td>
<td>Most-favoured nation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIE</td>
<td>World Organisation for Animal Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFMO</td>
<td>Regional fisheries management organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPS</td>
<td>Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBT</td>
<td>Agreement on Technical Barriers to Trade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTO</td>
<td>World Trade Organization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BACKGROUND

1. From ancient times, fishing has been a major source of food for humanity and a provider of employment and economic benefits to those engaged in this activity. However, with increased knowledge and the dynamic development of fisheries, it was realized that living aquatic resources, although renewable, are not infinite and need to be properly managed, if their contribution to the nutritional, economic and social well-being of the growing world's population was to be sustained.

2. The adoption in 1982 of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea provided a new framework for the better management of marine resources. The new legal regime of the oceans gave coastal States rights and responsibilities for the management and use of fishery resources within the areas of their national jurisdiction, which embrace some 90 percent of the world's marine fisheries.

3. World fisheries are a dynamically developing sector of the food industry, and many States have striven to take advantage of their new opportunities by investing in modern fishing fleets and processing factories in response to growing international demand for fish and fishery products. It became clear, however, that many fisheries resources could not sustain an often uncontrolled increase of exploitation.

4. Clear signs of over-exploitation of important fish stocks, modifications of ecosystems, significant economic losses, and international conflicts on management and fish trade threatened the long-term sustainability of fisheries and the contribution of fisheries to food supply. Therefore, the Nineteenth Session of the FAO Committee on Fisheries (COFI), held in March 1991, recommended that new approaches to fisheries management embracing conservation and environmental, as well as social and economic, considerations were urgently needed. FAO was asked to develop the concept of responsible fisheries and elaborate a Code of Conduct to foster its application.

5. Subsequently, the Government of Mexico, in collaboration with FAO, organized an International Conference on Responsible Fishing in Cancún in May 1992. The Declaration of Cancún endorsed at that Conference was brought to the attention of the UNCED Summit in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, in June 1992, which supported the preparation of a Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries. The FAO Technical Consultation on High Seas Fishing, held in September 1992, further recommended the elaboration of a Code to address the issues regarding high seas fisheries.

6. The One Hundred and Second Session of the FAO Council, held in November 1992, discussed the elaboration of the Code, recommending that priority be given to high seas issues and requested that proposals for the Code be presented to the 1993 session of the Committee on Fisheries.

7. The Twentieth Session of COFI, held in March 1993, examined in general the proposed framework and content for such a Code, including the elaboration of guidelines, and endorsed a time frame for the further elaboration of the Code. It also requested FAO to prepare, on a "fast track" basis, as part of the Code, proposals to prevent relflagging of fishing vessels which affect conservation and management measures on the high seas. This resulted in the FAO Conference, at its Twenty-seventh Session in November 1993, adopting the Agreement to Promote Compliance with International Conservation and Management Measures by Fishing Vessels on the High Seas, which, according to FAO Conference Resolution 15/93, forms an integral part of the Code.


9. The development of the Code was carried out by FAO in consultation and collaboration with relevant United Nations Agencies and other international organizations, including non-governmental organizations.
10. The Code of Conduct consists of five introductory articles: Nature and Scope; Objectives; Relationship with Other International Instruments; Implementation, Monitoring and Updating and Special Requirements of Developing Countries. These introductory articles are followed by an article on General Principles, which precedes the six thematic articles on Fisheries Management, Fishing Operations, Aquaculture Development, Integration of Fisheries into Coastal Area Management, Post-Harvest Practices and Trade, and Fisheries Research. As already mentioned, the Agreement to Promote Compliance with International Conservation and Management Measures by Fishing Vessels on the High Seas forms an integral part of the Code.

11. The Code is voluntary. However, certain parts of it are based on relevant rules of international law, as reflected in the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea of 10 December 1982. The Code also contains provisions that may be or have already been given binding effect by means of other obligatory legal instruments amongst the Parties, such as the Agreement to Promote Compliance with Conservation and Management Measures by Fishing Vessels on the High Seas, 1993.

12. The Twenty-eighth Session of the Conference in Resolution 4/95 adopted the Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries on 31 October 1995. The same Resolution requested FAO inter alia to elaborate appropriate technical guidelines in support of the implementation of the Code in collaboration with members and interested relevant organizations.

INTRODUCTION

13. These guidelines have no formal legal status and are intended to provide general advice in support of the implementation of Article 11.2: Responsible International Trade and article 11.3: Laws and Regulations Relating to Fish Trade, of the Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries. These guidelines are designed to assist in further dissemination, understanding and implementation of the Code worldwide.

14. Fish and fishery products are among the most traded agricultural and food commodities with more than one third of production entering international trade. A specific feature of fish trade is the wide range in product types and markets, and limited concentration of the industry. Small and medium enterprises are the norm in fish trade.

15. Trade in fish and fish products is dynamic. Capture fisheries are levelling off while aquaculture continues to rise, thus affecting the nature of the sector’s supply. The distribution chain, including the location and nature of processing activities, is constantly adjusting itself to changes in technology, communication and transportation. Freer trade and liberalized markets also increase the global nature of the sector. Trade is therefore more responsive to global, regional and national changes in supply and demand characteristics. The demand for fish and fish products reflects changing consumer preferences and purchasing power as well as demographic changes. Trade links production to consumption, making it necessary to ensure that sustainable management practices underpin the production side.

16. Article 6.14 in the General Principles section of the Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries in particular recognizes the need to consider environmental and social impacts when developing trade policy:

   a. International trade in fish and fishery products should be conducted in accordance with the principles, rights and obligations established in the World Trade Organization (WTO) Agreement and other relevant international agreements. States should ensure that their policies, programmes and practices related to trade in fish and fishery products do not result in obstacles to this trade, environmental degradation or negative social, including nutritional, impacts.
17. The FAO Sub-Committee on Fish Trade of the Committee on Fisheries provides a neutral forum for States to consult on technical and economic aspects of international trade in fish and fishery products, including production and consumption aspects. As noted above, fish and fishery products are widely traded. The benefits from international trade include better incomes, employment and foreign exchange. Currently the main barriers to trade are tariffs and non-tariff barriers, including technical issues related to safety and quality, certification and traceability. In addition, producers and traders in developing countries are often in a disadvantaged position because of difficulties in obtaining market information. The FAO Sub-Committee on Fish Trade is an important forum where States can share their views on these issues, consider new developments, and recommend areas for further work.

18. On a global level, the WTO and organizations of the UN system, in particular the FAO, are the main actors shaping the global trade regime for fishery products. UN organizations address issues related to sustainable development, environmental conservation, food safety and quality and food security. The rules governing international trade, embodied in the WTO agreements, are negotiated in the WTO. Together, the WTO, FAO and other Organizations provide a frame of reference for States to cooperate in the formulation of appropriate rules and standards for international trade, including the trade in fish and fishery products.

19. The WTO system is based on a series of agreements whose aim is the liberalisation of international markets in goods, services and traded inventions. The General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) provides for the liberalisation of trade in goods through gradual reduction of tariffs, conversion of non-tariff import restrictions into tariffs (tariffication) and elimination of trade-distorting domestic support. Developing countries are given special consideration under GATT. They are given more time to reduce their tariffs and other obstacles to trade, and there are other special provisions designed to help them adapt to the liberalization of trade.

20. The Codex Alimentarius Commission was created in 1963 by FAO and WHO to develop food standards, guidelines and related texts such as codes of practice under the Joint FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme. The main purposes of this Programme are protecting health of the consumers, ensuring fair practices in the food trade, and promoting coordination of all food standards work undertaken by international governmental and non-governmental organizations.

21. The World Organization for Animal Health (OIE) was created in 1924 to ensure global transparency in relation to animal diseases. The OIE collects, analyzes and disseminates veterinary scientific information and provides expertise in the control of animal disease. The OIE develops rules and standards that can be used for protection against the introduction of diseases and pathogens. OIE standards are recognized by the World Trade Organization as reference international sanitary rules.

22. The Convention on Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) regulates international trade in species that are threatened with extinction at the species level or that may be threatened as a result of international trade in specimens of the species. Several fish and shellfish species are listed under CITES Appendices.

23. The formulation of the provisions of Articles 11.2 and 11.3 of the CCRF reflect the concerns of the FAO membership at the time of the adoption of the CCRF. While some of these concerns may be less relevant at present (e.g. 11.2.7 and 11.2.8), other aspects have gained importance, e.g. those related to the WTO and CITES. Such shifts in emphasis may occur in the future.
11 - POST-HARVEST PRACTICES AND TRADE

Article 11.2 Responsible international trade

11.2.1 The provisions of this Code should be interpreted and applied in accordance with the principles, rights and obligations established in the World Trade Organization (WTO) Agreement.¹

24. International trade in fish and fish products² is covered under the international trading rules of the World Trade Organization (WTO). WTO agreements address such issues as tariffs and non-tariff measures, technical standards, including food safety and quality, rules of origin, anti-dumping measures, subsidies and safeguards, trade in services, intellectual property and dispute settlement.³

25. The WTO agreements⁴ are based on two fundamental principles: most-favoured nation (MFN) treatment and national treatment. MFN treatment means that countries accord the same treatment at the border to all similar products sourced from other WTO member countries. National treatment requires that once a product enters the customs territory of another WTO member, that member will treat the product no less favourably than similar products produced by that importing Member State.

26. Many of the agreements are detailed and technical, but there are some dominant principles. For example, trade should be carried out without discrimination and there should be steady movement towards freer trade based on negotiations between and among members. Decision making within the WTO is based on consensus among members. The WTO has established a Dispute Settlement Understanding (DSU) that enables members to sort out disagreements and solve trade disputes. States should take note of the decisions made by the Dispute Settlement Body (DSB), and consider, whether their trade measures and practices relevant to fish and fish products in light of the decisions made by the DSB continue to be compatible with the principles rights and obligations established by the WTO Agreements.

27. International trade is dynamic and States should continually assess their trade rules and international legal requirements in light of such developments.

11.2.2 International trade in fish and fishery products should not compromise the sustainable development of fisheries and responsible utilization of living aquatic resources.

28. Responsible fisheries management measures are a necessary pre-condition for sustainable trade.⁵ States should take into account that without adequate conservation and management measures, increasing demand for fish to supply international markets can result in excessive fishing pressure, leading to over-exploitation and wasteful use. This can have substantial impacts on food security and poverty, especially where there is a high level of dependence on fish in the diet. All persons and entities engaged in the international trade of fish and fish products should ensure that their trade activities are consistent with the sustainable development of capture fisheries and aquaculture and the responsible utilization of living aquatic resource and do not undermine the effectiveness of fisheries conservation measures.

¹ Throughout this portion of the document, the text in bold corresponds to articles 11.2 and 11.3 of the Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries.
² The technical Guidelines refer to “fish and fish products” to make it clear that they apply to products originating from both capture fisheries and aquaculture. The CCRF articles refer to fish and fishery products.
³ The following WTO agreements are of particular relevance to fish and fish products: General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994; Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures, Agreement on Technical Barriers to Trade; Agreement on Trade-Related Investment Measures; Agreement on Implementation of Article VI of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994; Agreement on Rules of Origin; Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures; Agreement on Safeguards; Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights; and Understanding on Rules and Procedures Governing the Settlement of Disputes. Fish and fish products are not covered by the Agreement on Agriculture.
⁴ The WTO agreements can be accessed on the WTO Web site: http://www.wto.org/
To provide a foundation for sustainable fish trade, States should adopt conservation and management measures to achieve long term conservation and sustainable use of aquatic resources. Conservation and management measures should be based on the best scientific evidence available and be designed to ensure the long term sustainability of fisheries resources at levels which promote the objectives of their optimum utilization, acknowledging the need for the precautionary approach and the application of the ecosystem approach.

States have a right to utilize the living aquatic resources within their territories and the responsibility to manage those resources within their EEZs, in accordance with their obligations to conserve them under Part V of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS). UNCLOS Part VII Section 2 requires States to cooperate in the conservation and management of the living resources of the high seas. States may refer to the FAO Technical Guidelines for Responsible Fisheries – Fisheries Management for guidance on the implementation of fisheries management measures.

States that are WTO members taking measures to conserve living aquatic resources in relation to trade in fish and fish products should ensure they are compatible with WTO provisions. These provisions provide for exceptions to be made in particular circumstances to the general requirement for open trade between WTO members. Article XX states that, “Subject to the requirement that such measures are not applied in a manner which would constitute a means of arbitrary or unjustifiable discrimination between countries where the same conditions prevail, or a disguised restriction on international trade, nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to prevent the adoption or enforcement by any contracting party of measures relating to the conservation of exhaustible natural resources if such measures are made effective in conjunction with restrictions on domestic production or consumption”.

States should take into account the demand for independent verification that fish products in international trade are originating from legal fishing operations and sustainable fisheries and aquaculture. These trends include catch documentation and trade certification schemes developed by Regional Fisheries Management Organizations (RFMOs) and voluntary ecolabelling schemes.

States should actively cooperate in developing and implementing catch documentation and trade certification schemes, such as those developed by RFMOs, by adopting appropriate regulatory provisions and encouraging private sector collaboration.

Ecolabelling can provide producers of fish and fish products with an opportunity to differentiate their products and can have a beneficial effect on sustainable fisheries if properly designed and implemented. However, they have the potential to create unnecessary barriers to trade and may unfairly discriminate against non-ecolabelled products that are harvested sustainably. The lack of an ecolabel does not imply that the fish has not been sustainably harvested. States and proponents of ecolabelling schemes should refer to the FAO guidelines for the ecolabelling of fish and fishery products from marine capture fisheries. These guidelines are applicable to ecolabelling schemes that are designed to certify and promote labels for products from well-managed marine capture fisheries and focus on issues related to the sustainable use of fisheries resources.

Recognizing that all countries should have the same opportunities, and in view of the special conditions applying to developing countries and countries in transition and their important contribution to international fish trade, it is acknowledged that in order to benefit from sustainable trade, States and relevant organizations should provide developing countries and countries in transition with financial and technical assistance. Such assistance may be aimed at capacity building in areas such as improving fishery management, and implementing catch documentation, trade certification and ecolabelling schemes.

---


7 Article XX of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT 1994 read in conjunction with GATT 1947).

8 FAO. 2005. *FAO Guidelines for the Ecolabelling of Fish and Fishery Products from Marine Capture Fisheries*. 
36. States should encourage ecolabelling schemes regarding sustainable fisheries within their territories to be consistent with the FAO Ecolabelling Guidelines.

37. To avoid trade measures compromising the sustainable development of fisheries and the responsible use of resources, States should cooperate, including through relevant regional fishery management organizations, to ensure that trade measures are compatible with the sustainable development of fisheries and responsible use of resources and with the framework of WTO Agreements.

38. Trade measures to promote the sustainability of fisheries should be adopted and implemented in accordance with international law, including the principles, rights and obligations established in the WTO Agreement. Such measures should be used only after all other conservation efforts have proved unsuccessful in maintaining sustainable fisheries, and only after prior consultation with interested States. Unilateral trade measures and trade-related measures should be avoided.

11.2.3 States should ensure that measures affecting international trade in fish and fishery products are transparent, based, when applicable, on scientific evidence, and are in accordance with internationally agreed rules.

39. States should promptly notify other States of measures affecting international trade in fish and fish products, including technical regulations, standards and procedures. They also should, when applicable, establish an inquiry point, and provide sufficient lead time for comments from interested States, consistent with WTO agreements.

11.2.4 Fish trade measures adopted by States to protect human or animal life or health, the interests of consumers or the environment, should not be discriminatory and should be in accordance with internationally agreed trade rules, in particular the principles, rights and obligations established in the Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures and the Agreement on Technical Barriers to Trade of the WTO.

40. States may adopt trade measures for fish and fish products, under WTO agreements, if these measures are necessary to protect human, animal or plant life or health or if the measures relate to the conservation or fishery resources. States, however, must demonstrate that their measures do not result in "arbitrary or unjustifiable discrimination between countries where the same conditions prevail, and do not constitute a "disguised restriction on international trade".9

41. The recognized international standard setting body for food safety and quality is the FAO/WHO Codex Alimentarius Commission (CAC) and the recognized body for animal health is the World Organization for Animal Health (OIE). Consequently, States should adopt at a minimum Codex Alimentarius standards for fish safety and quality and OIE standards for trade of live fish. States also should participate actively in the work of the CAC's committees relevant to international fish trade, such as the Codex Committee on Fish and Fishery Products and other committees working on food additives, veterinary drugs, labelling, food hygiene, contaminants, sampling and analysis.

42. Both the SPS and TBT Agreements establish that measures are not discriminatory when technical requirements concerning domestically produced and imported fish and fishery products are applied equally. This conforms to the WTO principle of national treatment. The Agreements recognize that differential treatment may be justified on objective criteria and reasons.

43. SPS measures should be based on scientific evidence assessing the risks to humans, animal and plant life using internationally accepted risk assessment techniques. Risk to human, animal or plant life or health should be objectively assessed, including its economic impact. Where measures are taken they should be appropriate to the level of risk and their impacts on trade should be minimized.

44. States should harmonize measures wherever possible. States should also recognize measures that are different from theirs, where those measures produce an outcome that can be objectively assessed to be

---

9 WTO GATT 1994 Article XX.
equivalent. Members are also encouraged to consult to achieve bilateral or multilateral agreements of mutual recognition.

45. All technical standards and regulations should have a legitimate purpose and States should ensure that the impact or cost of implementing these standards and regulations are proportional to their purpose. If there are two or more ways of achieving the same objective, the least trade restrictive alternative should be pursued.

11.2.5 States should further liberalize trade in fish and fishery products and eliminate barriers and distortions to trade such as duties, quotas and non-tariff barriers in accordance with the principles, rights and obligations of the WTO Agreement.

46. Barriers to trade, including tariffs and non-tariff measures, reduce the opportunities for States to maximize their welfare and benefit from their comparative advantage and will increase the cost of fish and fish products for consumers.

47. As fish is a limited renewable resource with externalities in the production process, benefits from further market liberalisation will only be produced if fisheries management that allows for the efficient use of resources is in place. To ensure that societies can maximize benefits, States should seek market liberalisation and improvements in fisheries management concurrently.

48. As part of market liberalisation States should seek to eliminate trade distorting practices such as subsidies that are inconsistent with the sustainable development of fisheries and the responsible use of fish products, in particular subsidies that contribute to over-capacity, to over-fishing, and to illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing.10

11.2.6 States should not directly or indirectly create unnecessary or hidden barriers to trade which limit the consumer’s freedom of choice of supplier or that restrict market access.

49. Impacts on trade from non-tariff measures can arise from many sources, including technical measures such as requirements for product standards and conformity assessment, packaging and labelling. Sanitary and technical measures should not be more trade restrictive than necessary to fulfil legitimate objectives. Legitimate objectives are, inter alia; protection of human health and safety, animal or plant life or health, the environment or the consumer from deceptive practices.

50. Other market access conditions can include requirements for traceability, documentation, banking and finance. Market access conditions can also reflect concerns about national security and the potential for goods in transit to provide a conduit for terrorism. States need to be conscious of the costs and impacts on trade that may arise from conditioning market access.

51. Care needs to be taken to ensure that measures do not undermine fundamental commitments to non-discrimination and national treatment. These principles are of fundamental importance to the smooth flow of trade in fish and fish products. WTO Agreements, however, include exceptions that allow States in some circumstances to implement exceptional measures including measures against dumping, subsidies and special countervailing duties to offset subsidies with adverse effects and emergency measures to limit imports temporarily, designed to safeguard domestic industries.

52. States should avoid concealed or unannounced restrictions to international trade of fish and fish products and the misuse of exceptions to the fundamental principles of the WTO Agreements.

11.2.7 States should not condition access to markets to access to resources. This principle does not preclude the possibility of fishing agreements between States which include provisions referring to access to resources, trade and access to markets, transfer of technology, scientific research, training and other relevant elements.

53. As globalization evolves, the nature of access to markets and access to resources is expanding to include trade in services and quotas and intellectual property with links to investment. States should apply the principles of the CCRF to such trade.

54. Access to markets and access to fisheries should be negotiated on their separate merits, in a transparent manner, and in conformity with the relevant articles in UNCLOS. Distant-water fishing States seeking access to a coastal States’ Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) resources should not withhold market access if unsuccessful in gaining access to fisheries. Equally coastal State should not make access to distant-water fishing States’ markets a condition for allowing that State’s access to its fisheries. Coastal States have primary responsibility for determining how the living resources in EEZs are to be utilized. This includes determining the coastal State’s own catching capacity and in that context permitting other States to access any surplus of the allowable catch. In the EEZ, the coastal State has sovereign rights for the purpose of exploring, exploiting, conserving and managing the natural resources, whether living or non-living.

55. Coastal States can require the payment of fees and other forms of remuneration as part of licensing fishers, fishing vessels and equipment.

56. States should ensure that fisheries access agreements and associated services are negotiated in conformity with market principles, including transparency in negotiations and levels of access payments, removal of associated subsidies, de-linking of market access and aid from access fishing.

11.2.8 States should not link access to markets to the purchase of specific technology or sale of other products.

57. Trade in the fisheries sector includes a range of goods, services, and trade in fishing quotas or fishing licences/permits/joint ventures and related items. Trade takes place both as traditional cross-border trade between two companies or within the same company.

58. States should not condition access to markets to the purchase of specific technology, the provision of specific services or sale of other products. This equally applies to State owned companies. Negotiations should be conducted in accordance with commitments of WTO members related to MFN and national treatment principles. The same principles should be applied to capture fisheries and aquaculture related development assistance.

11.2.9 States should cooperate in complying with relevant international agreements regulating trade in endangered species.

59. States should fully participate and cooperate in the development, implementation and enforcement of measures to regulate trade in endangered species, in particular those adopted by CITES and other such measures as may be developed by relevant organizations, including RFMOs.

60. The Convention on Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) regulates international trade in species that are threatened with extinction at the species level or that may be so

---

11 Articles 61 and 62 of UNCLOS.
12 Article 56,1(a) of UNCLOS.
13 Article 62, 4(a) of UNCLOS.
14 In the case of coastal States that are developing countries, the same part of the Article identifies that other forms of remuneration can include financing, equipment and technology relating to the fishing industry. This should not be read as a definitive list as other relevant capacity building measures can also be addressed pursuant to article 62(4).
15 Fisheries access agreements are undergoing considerable change and are under review in various fora. Advice on the nature and terms and conditions of these agreements will be subject to the ongoing review (or include in introduction).
16 States should take note of the Hong Kong declaration regarding Least Developed Countries and Trade Related Investment Measures.
threatened as a result of international trade in specimens of the species. Several fish and shellfish species
are listed under CITES Appendices.  

61. States and RFMOs should cooperate with the FAO in the provision of scientific advice to CITES
in the context of the 2006 Memorandum of Understanding between the two organizations.

62. States should also facilitate effective participation by developing countries in the development,
implementation and enforcement of measures to regulate trade in endangered species, in particular those
adopted by CITES and other such measures as may be developed by relevant organizations including
RFMOs by providing assistance and through capacity building.

11.2.10 States should develop international agreements for trade in live specimens where there is a
risk of environmental damage in importing or exporting States.

63. States should note the risk posed by trade in live aquatic organisms destined for human
consumption and trade in live specimens for aquarium use and as breeding stock for aquaculture. The
intentional introduction of non-indigenous species into the aquatic environment needs to be approached
with precaution and in accordance with best practice and applicable law. This trade may pose an
environmental risk either through accidental introduction of the species concerned into the environment,
or from the introduction of other organisms or diseases that specimens may carry. States should assess the
risk posed by such trade in a fair, transparent and non-discriminatory manner, consistent with WTO
agreements and other applicable law.

64. States should take note of concerns regarding animal welfare, which includes fish and
crustaceans and consider the need to include appropriate provisions in international trade arrangements.
Care should be taken to ensure that the transport and storage of live specimens, where applicable, takes
place under acceptable conditions with due concern to animal welfare.

65. The WTO Agreements clarify that States have the right to take appropriate risk-based measures
to protect human, plant and animal life and health and the environment. The OIE standards provide a
framework for the prevention of the spread of animal diseases. States should use OIE standards,
guidelines and recommendations for fish health in live fish trade.

66. In negotiating international agreements, exporting and importing States should collaborate to
minimize environmental damage associated with trade in live species. States should encourage importers
and exporters to collaborate to avoid destructive fishing practices and minimize losses.

11.2.11 States should cooperate to promote adherence to, and effective implementation of relevant
international standards for trade in fish and fishery products and living aquatic resource
conservation.

67. Within the existing frameworks of international organisations, States should actively participate
and encourage other States, to the extent possible, to promote responsible and sustainable trade in fish
and fish products.

---

17 CITES lists nominated species under one of three appendices. Appendix I lists species that members have agreed
are most at risk of extinction. Trade in specimens from the wild of these species is usually prohibited. Appendix II
lists species that members have agreed may be threatened unless international trade in wild specimens is controlled.
Trade in Appendix II listed species is therefore generally permitted under specified conditions including
documentation and possibly agreed limits of total numbers of specimens permitted to enter international trade.
CITES members can also list species unilaterally under Appendix III. This requires all CITES members to
document trade in such species and report trade to the CITES Secretariat, but no limits on trade are imposed at a
global level. CITES has adopted revised criteria for listing commercially exploited aquatic of species on its
Appendices. The revised criteria were developed in consultation with the FAO and explicitly address the listing of
fish species

18 WTO SPS agreement and OIE.

19 Article 11.1.3 in the FAO Technical Guidelines for Responsible Fisheries – Responsible fish utilization, provides
additional guidance in relation to standard setting procedures.
68. In this respect States should promote adherence to the international standards for trade in fish and fish products. States should adopt, use or give effect to international standards of relevance to trade.\textsuperscript{20} Trade regulations should be consistent with WTO relevant agreements and provisions.

69. States should seek to ensure full cooperation on trade measures taken for resource conservation purposes. Such measures should be consistent with WTO requirements.

11.2.12 States should not undermine conservation measures for living aquatic resources in order to gain trade or investment benefits.

70. A precondition for responsible, sustainable trade in fish and fish products is appropriate and effective fisheries management systems and a sustainable resource base, contributing to long-term food security.

71. The many activities of States, including the adoption of rules and policies regarding trade, services and investment, can undermine the conservation measures adopted by States and relevant RFMOs. States should ensure coherence between actions and arrangements to promote fisheries trade, services and investment on the one hand and conservation objectives and actions being promoted at the domestic and international levels on the other hand. These rules and policies should be consistent with the States’ international obligations as established by relevant international organizations.

72. States should cooperate in the conservation and management of living aquatic resources in accordance with international law.\textsuperscript{21}

73. All States (including coastal, port, flag and market States) should cooperate and make best efforts to deter, prevent and eliminate trade in fish products originating from illegal fishing and illegal fishery activities as this trade undermines responsible trade, the sustainable use of resources and the activities of responsible operators.

74. States should ensure that activities, including activities to promote trade, investment, services and the use of subsidies, do not lead to illegal fishing activities. These include illegal fishing activities arising from overcapacity. States should also ensure that the import, export or charter of vessels does not contribute to overcapacity or illegal fishing. Flag States, port States, coastal States should cooperate, including through regional fisheries management organizations, as appropriate, to consider the non-discriminatory and judicious use of trade measures consistent with WTO agreements to remove incentives to illegal fishing.

75. Within EEZs it is primarily the coastal States responsibility to ensure compliance with management measures. Coastal States and other countries of origin, including flag States should ensure that products from illegal fishing are not put on the market. These States where appropriate, should seek the cooperation of market States and port States. Market States and port States should cooperate with such requests and avoid using unilateral measures.

76. States should support measures to deter, prevent and eliminate IUU fishing on the high seas through relevant international fisheries management bodies with a view to ensure sustainable and responsible fisheries, including the use of trade measures consistent with international law and WTO agreements.

11.2.13 States should cooperate to develop internationally acceptable rules or standards for trade in fish and fishery products in accordance with the principles, rights, and obligations established in the WTO Agreement.

77. In order to facilitate responsible and fair trade, States should participate and cooperate in the formulation of appropriate rules and standards for fish trade under the WTO framework, and also under

\textsuperscript{20} Such as international standards set by CAC, OIE, RFMOs, WCO.

\textsuperscript{21} UNCLOS, UNFSA and the FAO Compliance Agreement.
other relevant frameworks such as agreements regarding environmental protection and the sustainable use of fishery resources.

78. National measures should be consistent with international standards, guidelines and recommendations adopted under the WTO framework. Of particular importance to fish trade are the standards, guidelines and recommendations of the Codex Alimentarius for consumer protection and those of the OIE for fish health. If States maintain measures that aim at higher levels of protection than those of the Codex Alimentarius and OIE, such measures should be based on scientific evidence and appropriate risk assessment.

11.2.14 States should cooperate with each other and actively participate in relevant regional and multilateral fora, such as the WTO, in order to ensure equitable, non-discriminatory trade in fish and fishery products as well as wide adherence to multilaterally agreed fishery conservation measures.

79. States that are members of international organizations, including the WTO and regional fisheries management bodies, or have ratified or accepted binding international conventions have obligations to comply with their rules and requirements. States should actively participate in decision making processes so the agreements remain relevant to their objectives and to their members.

80. Recognizing that all countries should have the same opportunities, States, relevant intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations and financial institutions should provide developing countries and countries in transition with financial and technical assistance to actively participate in all aspects of the organizations, particularly to develop and maintain appropriate measures and standards.

81. States should endeavour at all times to act in conformity with the provisions of international organizations and agreements that they are party to and avoid acting unilaterally. Unilateral action is likely to exacerbate the issues that are of concern rather than resolve them.

11.2.15 States, aid agencies, multilateral development banks and other relevant international organizations should ensure that their policies and practices related to the promotion of international fish trade and export production do not result in environmental degradation or adversely impact the nutritional rights and needs of people for whom fish is critical to their health and well being and for whom other comparable sources of food are not readily available or affordable.

82. Fish and fish products constitute a major source of animal protein in some countries and regions. Furthermore, fish and fishery products can provide an important basis for maintaining the social fabric and employment in certain coastal areas. This is the case for both developed and developing countries but may be particularly important in some developing countries.

83. There are multiple objectives for the fisheries sector. States that provide assistance and countries that are recipients of assistance should ensure coherence between fisheries and development policies with a view to increase the effectiveness of both policy areas.

84. Attention should be paid to the challenges facing States where market liberalization and globalization put pressure on the exploitation of aquatic resources. Both the recipient and donor States need to bring the precautionary approach to bear when considering development assistance to specific projects.

85. In situations where production has given rise to externalities that are not internalised, governments concerned about the distribution of gains from trade may take measures that ensure a more equitable distribution of the trade benefits among all the stakeholders.

86. States and other organizations supporting projects related to international trade in fish products should adopt policies and procedures, including environmental and social assessments, to ensure that
adverse impacts on the environment and livelihood and food security needs are equitably addressed. Consultation with concerned communities should be part of these policies and procedures.

87. States and relevant organizations should cooperate with each other in the development and implementation of best practice, standards and guidelines for these activities. Changing conditions related to access to markets pose specific challenges to small scale producers. States may give specific attention through capacity building to these small scale producers to organize their production and market access.

88. States and relevant organizations should cooperate with each other in the development and implementation of best practice, standards and guidelines for these activities. Changing conditions related to access to markets pose specific challenges to small scale producers. States may give specific attention through capacity building to these small scale producers to organize their production and market access.

**Article 11.3 Laws and regulations relating to fish trade**

11.3.1 Laws, regulations and administrative procedures applicable to international trade in fish and fishery products should be transparent, as simple as possible, comprehensible and, when appropriate, based on scientific evidence.

88. Transparency requires that laws, regulations, administrative and operating procedures are publicly available and that decisions taken in consequence are readily understood. Transparency contributes to predictability and discourages corrupt practices.

89. Laws and regulations should avoid unnecessary requirements and duplication. States should provide plain language explanations and illustrative examples. FAO, other international and non-governmental organisations can facilitate transparency by providing information on the regulatory framework governing international trade in fish products.

90. Where laws, regulations and administrative procedures arise for technical reasons, States should ensure they are based on scientific evidence and referenced to internationally agreed norms.

11.3.2 States, in accordance with their national laws, should facilitate appropriate consultation with and participation of industry as well as environmental and consumer groups in the development and implementation of laws and regulations related to trade in fish and fishery products.

91. Laws and regulations should be developed and implemented in consultation with stakeholders. Stakeholders include all those with a legitimate interest in the subject matter. The objectives of consultation should be to enable regulators to understand and accommodate the concerns of all stakeholders who will be affected. Involving all stakeholders in the development of laws and regulations fosters greater knowledge, understanding and acceptance of regulation and encourages voluntary compliance.

11.3.3 States should simplify their laws, regulations and administrative procedures applicable to trade in fish and fishery products without jeopardizing their effectiveness.

92. When appropriate, States should simplify regulations to make them easier to understand, apply and enforce. Complicated regulations are costly to comply with, may discourage trade and compromise legal trade and compliance.

11.3.4 When a State introduces changes to its legal requirements affecting trade in fish and fishery products with other States, sufficient information and time should be given to allow the States and producers affected to introduce, as appropriate, the changes needed in their processes and procedures. In this connection, consultation with affected States on the time frame for implementation of the changes would be desirable. Due consideration should be given to requests from developing countries for temporary derogations from obligations.

---

22 The OECD (1992 Guidelines on Aid and Environment, Development Assistance Committee) and the World Bank have, for example, developed guidelines on best practices related to development assistance.
93. Procedures for States to notify changes, in a timely fashion, to legal requirements that are technical or related to food safety issues should be complied with. These procedures may require notification to other States through established procedures such as those described in the SPS and TBT agreements.

94. Where the changes affect trade in fish and fishery products of relevance to developing countries, appropriate consideration should be given to the capacity of those countries to comply. Appropriate flexibility may be needed vis-à-vis the ability of developing countries to implement necessary changes. Capacity building may be needed to meet and speed up the implementation of required changes.

11.3.5 States should periodically review laws and regulations applicable to international trade in fish and fishery products in order to determine whether the conditions which gave rise to their introduction continue to exist.

95. States should review periodically laws and regulations and the ways in which they are administered. There is a continuing need to ensure that legal measures and regulations applying to the trade in fish and fishery products are effective and necessary. States should also ensure that laws and regulations are implemented in an efficient and cost effective manner.

11.3.6 States should harmonize as far as possible the standards applicable to international trade in fish and fishery products in accordance with relevant internationally recognized provisions.

96. States should harmonize technical and safety standards where possible and participate actively in the development of standards by Codex and OIE. In the absence of harmonization, States should make every effort to recognize different regulatory processes as equivalent when they can be shown to achieve the same outcome. The same approach should be encouraged for other standards affecting international trade in fish and fish products.

11.3.7 States should collect, disseminate and exchange timely, accurate and pertinent statistical information on international trade in fish and fishery products through relevant national institutions and international organizations.

97. States should collect and disseminate accurate and timely information, including statistical information, on international trade. This is a key element for the understanding of domestic and international markets, and the impacts of trade and fisheries management policies. To improve its usefulness, the information collected by States should distinguish between aquaculture and capture fisheries. International organizations, non-governmental organizations and regional fisheries management bodies and national or regional institutions play an important role in providing the public with statistical information. Information as disseminated by these organisations can contribute significantly to improving cooperation with the sector.

98. Developed countries are encouraged to provide capacity building to developing countries with regard to the collection and dissemination of fishery and trade related information, including statistical information.

99. FAO and the FISH INFONetwork provide extensive information on fish trade. States should ensure that information related to fish trade is readily available to interested parties. Information services should meet the needs of stakeholders, including harvesters, processors, retailers, non-governmental organisations and consumers.

11.3.8 States should promptly notify interested States, WTO and other appropriate international organizations on the development of and changes to laws, regulations and administrative procedures applicable to international trade in fish and fishery products.

23 The SPS and TBT are relevant agreements.
24 Refer to the FISH INFOnetwork Web site www.fishinfonet.com or to www.globefish.org for additional information.
100. States should regularly revise laws, regulations and procedures to take into consideration new evidence, technical and scientific developments. Trade is a dynamic activity and relies heavily on timely and accurate information. Prompt, transparent and widespread notification of developments and changes to laws, regulations, administrative and operating procedures is fundamental if delays and unnecessary costs and inefficiencies are to be avoided in international fish trade.

101. States should promptly notify and exchange information to facilitate the functioning of the trade system and to encourage compliance by States and trading enterprises. A number of WTO Agreements contain obligations for States to notify and these obligations aid transparency and compliance. Where no such similar obligations apply, States should nonetheless inform trading partners directly of changes and developments relevant to the international trade in fish and fishery products.
The tenth session of the FAO Committee on Fisheries Sub-Committee on Fish Trade (COFI:FT), which met from 30 May to 2 June 2006, recommended that a Technical Consultation be organized to review the draft Technical Guidelines for Responsible Fish Trade taking into account all relevant aspects of international trade in fish products. COFI:FT noted that the guidelines should further reflect the current environment of international trade in fish products and the dynamic nature of trade, requiring an approach which is forward looking and pro-active. Sustainability of fisheries, illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing (IUU), and post-harvest practices were also important factors to be considered. The purpose of this Expert Consultation was to revise these guidelines taking into account the comments made by COFI:FT. The Expert Consultation agreed that the guidelines should provide practical advice to members on all matters relevant to sustainable fisheries and responsible trade in fish and fish products. It was also recommended that relevant articles in the Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries be revisited to reflect developments in the regulatory framework governing trade in fish and fish products that occurred since the Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries was enacted.